A clinical and molecular genetic study of a rare dominantly inherited syndrome (MRCS) comprising of microcornea, rod-cone dystrophy, cataract, and posterior staphyloma
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Aim: To phenotype and genetically map the disease locus in a family presenting with autosomal dominant microcornea, rod-cone dystrophy, cataract, and posterior staphyloma.

Methods: Six affected and three unaffected members of the pedigree were examined. All individuals provided a history and underwent a full clinical examination with A-scan and B-scan ultrasonography and electrophysiological testing where appropriate. PCR based microsatellite marker genotyping using a positional candidate gene approach was then performed on DNA samples extracted from venous blood provided by each subject.

Results: The disorder is inherited as an autosomal dominant trait with variable expressivity and has a complex phenotype. Affected individuals had bilateral microcornea, pulverulent-like lens opacities, a rod-cone dystrophy and posterior staphyloma (MRCS). Using a positional candidate gene approach, the authors have evidence suggestive of linkage of this disorder to a region on 11q13 within the nanophthalmos 1 (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval. The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos (NNO1) genetic interval.

Conclusion: This rare developmental disorder has some phenotypic similarities to nanophthalmos and possibly maps to a locus within the genetic interval encompassing the NNO1 locus. Screening of candidate genes within this region continues.

Eye development is directed by the coordinated temporal and spatial interaction of a number of genes and their products. A mutation in any one of these developmental genes therefore would be predicted to manifest as a complex ocular phenotype affecting multiple structures within the eye. An increasing number of genes have been identified as causing various forms of anterior segment dysgenesis and abnormalities of ocular size. Some—for example PAX6,1 CHX10,2 and SIX1,3 are associated with small eyes and others, PITX2,4 PITX3,5 FOXC1,6 FOXE1,7 BAX,8 EYA1,9 MAF,10 and CYP1B1,11 are associated with anterior segment dysgenesis. A number of clinical disorders affecting development of the globe are recognised. Anophthalamos is a term used to describe complete absence of the globe; this is however a histological diagnosis and rare as small remnants of ocular tissue are usually present within the orbit.12 The term clinical anophthalamos is more appropriate to describe patients who appear to have no ocular structures on clinical examination. In microphthalamos, the eye is small and there is often associated ocular coloboma.13 Anophthalamos and microphthalamos probably form part of the same disease spectrum and both may be seen within the same family.14 Asymmetrical or strictly unilateral involvement may be seen. Both may be associated with other systemic abnormalities.

Nanophthalamos, described by some authors as “simple microphthalmia”,15 is an uncommon usually bilateral ocular condition characterised by a small eye with (a) a reduced axial length, (b) high hyperopia (+7.00 D to +13.00 D), (c) a high lens/eye volume ratio, (d) a high incidence of angle closure glaucoma,16 and (e) characteristic yellow macular pigmentation, chorioretinal folds, and crowded optic discs.16 Ocular ultrasound commonly reveals a thickened sclera composed of abnormal collagen fibrils17 which may obstruct the outflow of blood through the vortex veins resulting in recurrent or persistent choroidal effusions18 and non-rhegmatogenous retinal detachments. Rarely, nanophthalmos has been reported in association with a pigmentary retinopathy.19,20

Nanophthalamos is usually sporadic but may be inherited as an autosomal dominant or recessive trait. It can also be seen in association with other systemic congenital abnormalities. The genetic locus for the recessive form of nanophthalmos has not been identified but linkage analysis of a single dominant family has assigned a locus (NNO1)21 from 11p12 to 11q13 (an interval close to but excluding the BAX6 gene). In this paper, we report the findings in a three generation English family with an autosomal dominant ocular phenotype comprising microcornea, rod cone dystrophy, cataract, and posterior staphyloma (MRCS) possibly mapping genetically within the NNO1 locus on chromosome 11. The implications of this observation are discussed.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects
The clinical genetic database at Birmingham Women’s Hospital, Birmingham, UK, was used to identify a family, the affected members of which exhibited a complex developmental disorder of the eye. Eleven members of the family agreed to take part in the study (Fig 1). The study had the approval of the Moorfields Eye Hospital ethics committee. Informed consent for clinical and genetic investigations was obtained from all participants.
Clinical examination
An ophthalmic and general medical history was obtained and each patient underwent a systemic and full ophthalmic examination. The ophthalmic examination included assessment of visual acuity and detailed examination of the anterior and posterior segments and tonometry. Five individuals underwent electroretinography and two had electro-oculography. Older subjects had electroretinography performed according to ISCEV protocol, but the children who were unable to tolerate gold foil electrodes had electroretinograms performed with the skin electrodes. Six affected individuals also had A-scans and B-scan ultrasonography.

Genotyping
Genomic DNA was extracted from EDTA sequestered blood samples taken using the Nucleon II DNA extraction kit (Scotlab Bioscience). PCR based genotyping using microsatellite markers (dinucleotides, trinucleotides, and tetranucleotides) were used. The amplified PCR products were resolved by 6% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Protogel; National Diagnostics, Atlanta, GA, USA) and were visualised by staining with ethidium bromide. Subsequent genotyping and haplotype analysis were performed manually. We used a positional candidate gene approach to determine whether loci associated with small eyes or nanophthalmia were responsible for this unusual syndrome. Candidate loci were located on the long arm of chromosome 14 (CMIC and CHX10), chromosome 11 (NNO1 and PAX6) and the long arm of chromosome 15 (NNO2). Pedigree data were collated with pedigree mapping software (version 2.1.3; Cherwell Scientific Publishing Ltd, The Magdalen Centre, Oxford Science Park, Oxford, UK) and two point linkage analysis performed using the MLINK component of the LINKAGE program, version 5.1 using an autosomal dominant model, equal allele frequencies, a gene frequency of 10^-4, and a mutation rate of 10^-6.

Mutation screening
Mutation screening of a possible candidate gene, ROM1, within this region was performed. Coding exons and flanking splice junctions were screened for mutations by direct sequencing. Reactions were performed in a 50 µl volume with 200 ng of genomic DNA; 20 pmol of each primer; 200 µM each dATP, dCTP, dTTP, dGTP; 10 mM TRIS-HCl (pH 8.3); 1.5 mMol MgCl₂, and 0.5 U Taq DNA polymerase (Bioline Biotaq).

Table 1  Clinical evaluation of the phenotype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>II5</th>
<th>II7</th>
<th>III2</th>
<th>III4</th>
<th>IV1</th>
<th>IV2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>2/36</td>
<td>NPL</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>6/36</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1/18</td>
<td>NPL</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>6/18</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornea (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right (horizontal/vert)</td>
<td>9/9</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>8.5/8.25</td>
<td>9/8.7</td>
<td>8.25/8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left (horizontal/vert)</td>
<td>9/9</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>8.75/8.7</td>
<td>8.75/8.75</td>
<td>25/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>+15.00/+3.0×90</td>
<td>-0.75/-1.00×85</td>
<td>-1.00/-3.00×160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>+15.00/+3.0×90</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-1.75/-1.75×25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens</td>
<td>Aphakic</td>
<td>Aphakic</td>
<td>Aphakic</td>
<td>Aphakic</td>
<td>Pulverulent</td>
<td>Pulverulent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>25.04</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>16.44</td>
<td>22.68</td>
<td>23.04</td>
<td>22.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>25.12</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>16.63</td>
<td>18.73</td>
<td>22.92</td>
<td>22.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERG</td>
<td>Extinguished</td>
<td>Extinguished</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Severely reduced</td>
<td>Subnormal</td>
<td>Subnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOG (light rise)</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>110%</td>
<td>150%</td>
<td>Not done</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1  Family pedigree and haplotype analysis using microsatellite markers on 11q13. Affected individuals are denoted by solid symbols.
the initial denaturation step at 95°C for 3 minutes, the samples were processed through 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 55–60°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 45 seconds. A final extension step was performed at 72°C for 5 minutes. After amplification, PCR products were processed using a PCR purification kit (Quickstep PCR Purification Kit) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A volume of 1.5 µl of the purified PCR product was used in a sequencing reaction. Both the forward and reverse strands of the PCR products were directly sequenced (ABI 373 sequencer, using the ABI prism BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit; PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Sequencing reactions were set up according to the manufacturer's instructions, using either the forward or reverse primers.

RESULTS
Six individuals, three males and three females (age range 9–56 years), were found to be affected. The disorder was inherited as an autosomal dominant trait. Typically patients complained of night blindness during their teenage years and poor vision due to cataracts before the age of 30. Cataract surgery was performed in the second or third decade. One affected member (III:2) was unavailable to attend for a comprehensive assessment, but review of his clinical records revealed the findings of microcornea, a pigmentary retinopathy, and previous cataract surgery. Older individuals had poor vision (ranging from no perception of light to 20/400) at the time of examination while the younger individuals retained good visual acuities (20/30) (Table 1). All individuals had small corneas (Table 1 and Fig 2A and B) and two older individuals (II5 and II7) had chronic angle closure glaucoma. Younger individuals had pulverulent-like cataracts, whereas older individuals were aphakic as a result of previous cataract surgery. The phakic individuals were moderately myopic (Table 1).

All affected members had retinal abnormalities consisting of peripheral retinal pigment epithelial atrophy and retinal pigmentation. In addition, there was evidence of a posterior staphyloma in nine of 12 eyes. The younger individuals showed a clearcut demarcation line, which may be related to the boundary of the staphyloma. Anterior to this line was evidence of retinal pigmentation (Fig 2C). Older individuals displayed no such boundary and pigmentation was seen throughout the posterior pole (Fig 2D) and into the posterior staphyloma.

No systemic disease or abnormality was identified as segregating with the retinal disease. Although clinical examination
phakic eyes were myopic (Table 1). However, measurements most eyes showed apparently normal axial lengths. Indeed the are similar to those found in nanophthalmia but in our family clinical findings of microcornea and angle closure glaucoma family and represent a rare ocular phenotype (MRCS). The features of microcornea, rod-cone dystrophy, pulverulent staphyloma) in the other.

one eye and a shortened axial length (without posterior staphyloma) in the other.

The association of microcornea/nanophthalmos and pig-
mence of the expression of one or more of these transmem-
bra transmembrane proteins that maintain cell homeostasis
that mutations within several genes encoding lens specific

diagnosis of nanophthalmia plus posterior staphyloma, cataract, and retinal dystrophy. Such an observation is also consistent with our molecular genetic analyses, which suggests that the disease gene maps within the NNO1 locus on chromosome 11.

The association of pulverulent cataract with nanophthal-
mis has not been previously recorded. The type of opacifica-
duction, so called because of its dust-like appearance, is one of the most common phenotypes seen in human non-syndromic congenital cataract. Molecular genetic analyses have revealed that mutations within several genes encoding lens specific transmembrane proteins that maintain cell homeostasis are among the many genes responsible. It is reasonable to suggest therefore that the disease causative gene in our family may encode a similar protein but one which is also expressed after embryogenesis. This dual role is also seen in another developmental function and probably a continuing function of a progressive rod-cone dystrophy with developmental structural abnormalities of the eye in our family also supports the notion that the causative gene may have both a developmental function and probably a continuing function after embryogenesis. This dual role is also seen in another developmental gene, PAX6, which gives rise to a developmen-
toral ocular abnormality and also has a role in maintenance and renewal of the corneal epithelium.

The association of microcornea/nanophthalmos and pigmentary retinopathy have been reported previously but a genetic locus has not been identified (Table 3). The spectrum of ocular signs described in other families certainly overlaps

| Locus | Marker | Distance from locus/gene | LOD score (Z) at recombination (θ) of 0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 |
|-------|--------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| CMIC  | D14S267| Within locus            | −∞              | −2.50           | −1.66           | −0.99           | −0.57           | −0.30           | −0.13           | −0.02           |
|       | D14S987| Within locus            | −∞              | −1.07           | −0.70           | −0.47           | −0.31           | −0.21           | −0.12           | −0.07           |
| CHX10 | D14S1047| 0.1Mb                    | −∞              | −0.90           | −0.41           | −0.18           | −0.05           | 0.023           | 0.039           | 0.069           | 0.06            |
| NNO2  | D15S165| Within locus            | −∞              | −2.60           | −1.52           | −0.94           | −0.37           | −0.32           | −0.15           | −0.05           | 0.008           |
|       | D15S118| 2 cM from locus         | −∞              | −1.10           | −0.63           | −0.40           | −0.27           | −0.19           | −0.12           | −0.07           | −0.04           |

| Table 2 | LOD score showing exclusion data for candidate genes and loci

| Locus | Marker | Distance from locus/gene | LOD score (Z) at recombination (θ) of 0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 |
|-------|--------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| CMIC  | D14S267| Within locus            | −∞              | −2.50           | −1.66           | −0.99           | −0.57           | −0.30           | −0.13           | −0.02           |
|       | D14S987| Within locus            | −∞              | −1.07           | −0.70           | −0.47           | −0.31           | −0.21           | −0.12           | −0.07           |
| CHX10 | D14S1047| 0.1Mb                    | −∞              | −0.90           | −0.41           | −0.18           | −0.05           | 0.023           | 0.039           | 0.069           | 0.06            |
| NNO2  | D15S165| Within locus            | −∞              | −2.60           | −1.52           | −0.94           | −0.37           | −0.32           | −0.15           | −0.05           | 0.008           |
|       | D15S118| 2 cM from locus         | −∞              | −1.10           | −0.63           | −0.40           | −0.27           | −0.19           | −0.12           | −0.07           | −0.04           |

| Table 3 | Families and sporadic reports of disorders similar to MRCS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Inheritance of trait</th>
<th>No affected Clinical features</th>
<th>Chromosomal location</th>
<th>Gene involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hermann3</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Microphthalmia, moderate myopia +/- retinitis pigmentosa</td>
<td>14q32 (CMIC)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackay20</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Nanophthalmos, retinal degeneration, angle closure glaucoma</td>
<td>14q23.3</td>
<td>CHX10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bateman4</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Microcornea, coloboma, posterior staphyloma</td>
<td>11p12-q13 (NNO1)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bessant et al25</td>
<td>AR 3</td>
<td>Microphthalmia, scleroderma, nystagmus</td>
<td>14q32 (CMIC)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peric et al23</td>
<td>AR (2 families) 4 and 1</td>
<td>Microphthalmia, bilateral iris coloboma, cataracts</td>
<td>14q23.3</td>
<td>CHX10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Othman et al23</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Nanophthalmos</td>
<td>11q12-q13 (NNO1)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morle2</td>
<td>AR 6</td>
<td>Colobomatous microphthalmia</td>
<td>15q12-q15 (NNO2)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buys21</td>
<td>AR 6</td>
<td>Nanophthalmos, retinitis pigmentosa, disc drusen</td>
<td>15q12-q15 (NNO2)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghose et al22</td>
<td>AR 6</td>
<td>Nanophthalmos, microcornea, pigmentary retinal dystrophy</td>
<td>15q12-q15 (NNO2)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the features of the syndrome seen in this family. Notwithstanding, no previously published family has all the components of MRCS. Hermann in 1958 described an autosomal dominant disorder consisting of microphthalmia and pigmentary retinopathy, which has some similarities to the family reported here. The individuals were moderately myopic suggesting, in the presence of microphthalmia, that posterior staphyloma may have been present; axial length measurements were not available at that time. In contrast with our family the expressivity was extremely variable in the French family; of the 19 family members examined, six individuals had microphthalmia and pigmentary retinopathy and seven individuals microphthalmos alone. Although the association of microcornea and posterior staphyloma has been specifically reported, no mention of pigmentary retinopathy was made in the published pedigree.

To test the hypothesis that the congenital anomalies observed in our family result from a mutation within an ocular developmental gene, we used microsatellite marker genotyping to exclude genetic linkage in our family to several candidate loci. After excluding linkage to other candidate loci, a positive LOD score (2.01 at θ=0) was obtained for the marker D11S1765 within the NNO1 locus. D11S4076 (a marker which is 0.84 cM telomeric to D11S1765) produced a similarly positive result (1.96 at θ=0). The small family size militates against achieving a LOD score of 3, but the haplotype data and the position of the putative MRCS locus within a known nanophthalmos locus is suggestive of linkage. Nevertheless, one cannot exclude the possibility that the disease gene may not reside at the MRCS locus, even though no positive linkage was found at any of the known microphthalmia loci. Two point linkage and haplotype analysis to adjacent markers refined the putative disease interval (MRCS) to a map distance of 5.0 cM between markers D11S4191 and D11S1883 (Figs 1 and 4).

The putative MRCS locus on 11q13 is encompassed by the nanophthalmia locus, NNO1. The phenotypic similarities between this condition and the anomalies segregating in our family suggest allelic heterogeneity and if this were the case, the data would refine the NNO1 locus (originally localised to 14.7 cM region between 11p12 and 11q13) to a 5.0 cM interval on chromosome 11q13 (Fig 4). Within the region lie several genes that are expressed in the eye, ROM1, VMD2, GNG3, FLJ20539, and B3GAT3. ROM1 encodes a protein found in the outer segment disc membranes of the photoreceptor cells of the retina and, together with peripherin/RDS gene, has been implicated in the pathogenesis of digenic retinitis pigmentosa. Although ROM1 has not been shown to have a developmental role, we felt it warranted exclusion as a candidate gene. Direct sequencing of the coding and promoter regions of the gene however did not identify a mutation.

The family reported in this paper has a rare ocular phenotype consisting of microcornea, rod-cone dystrophy, cataract, and posterior staphyloma (MRCS). This is likely to be caused by a mutation in a major developmental regulator gene and molecular genetic analysis suggests that the gene locus may lie within the nanophthalmos locus on chromosome 11, possibly allelic with the as yet uncharacterised NNO1 gene. We now plan to try and identify additional families with this rare syndrome, which may help confirm the locus, and screen other candidate genes within the genetic interval. Identification of the causative gene is likely to contribute significantly to understanding the mechanisms underlying human eye development.
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