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Aim: To prospectively assess the efficacy and complications of viscocanalostomy with a reticulated hyaluronic acid implant (VSRHAI) versus standard viscocanalostomy in patients with medically uncontrolled open angle glaucoma.

Methods: A consecutive series of 40 patients (40 eyes) with uncontrolled open angle glaucoma underwent non-penetrating antiglaucomatous surgery. After the excision of the deep scleral flap they were randomly assigned to either a standard viscocanalostomy or additional implantation of a reticulated hyaluronic acid implant. Follow up visits were over a period of 12 months after surgery.

Results: The mean preoperative intraocular pressure (IOP) was 26.5 (SD 6.1) mm Hg for all patients enrolled. The mean IOP was 8.1 (SD 5.6) mm Hg 1 day after surgery for the viscocanalostomy group (p<0.001) and 12.0 (SD 5.2) mm Hg for the VSRHAI group (p<0.001). The postoperative IOP difference between the two groups was statistically significant (p = 0.03). The success rate, defined as an IOP lower than 22 mm Hg without medication, was 40% in both groups at 12 months postoperatively (p = 0.90). The number of postoperative complications was equally low for both groups.

Conclusions: Both surgical procedures, viscocanalostomy and VSRHAI, provide comparable success rates over a 1 year follow up period. The specific intraoperative and postoperative complications of non-penetrating surgery were seen in our series, although the overall rate of postoperative complications proved equally low for both techniques.

During recent years the role of non-penetrating surgery in the management of glaucoma has become one of the most discussed topics among ophthalmic surgeons. The non-penetrating procedures are designed to avoid full thickness penetration into the anterior chamber, aiming to overcome the risk of severe postoperative complications due to overfiltration and hypotony which are well known from trabeculectomy. Several studies have shown that lowering of the intraocular pressure (IOP) can be achieved by non-penetrating antiglaucomatous surgery. Although the exact IOP reduction mechanism following these procedures is still not well defined, the intrascleral space created by deep sclerectomy is thought to be of superior significance for the transport of aqueous into the perilimbal drainage channels.

Variants of the basic non-penetrating surgical technique were developed to protect the intrascleral “decompression” space from scarring with subsequent loss of IOP control. Viscocanalostomy, first described by Stegmann in 1991, differs from basic deep sclerectomy in that Schlemm’s canal and the intrascleral space are injected with high molecular weight sodium hyaluronate. Early reports on the antiglaucomatous efficacy of viscocanalostomy were encouraging. However, recent reports indicate that viscocanalostomy does not reach standard trabeculectomy in terms of IOP reduction and success rate.

A different development led to intrascleral implants made of collagen (Aqua-Flow, Staar Surgical AG, Nidau, Switzerland) or reticulated hyaluronic acid. A recent retrospective pilot study of deep sclerectomy with implantation of reticulated hyaluronic acid into the intrascleral space demonstrated good results.

In theory the reticulation of the hyaluronate hinders its rapid degradation, thereby preventing the intrascleral lake from collapse and fibrosis. Although the potential advantages of this cross linked hyaluronic acid preparation have been repeatedly emphasised, there is still no significant evidence for its superiority to the classic non-reticulated sodium hyaluronate which is used during viscocanalostomy.

The lack of substantial prospective data comparing these non-penetrating antiglaucomatous techniques caused us to initiate a randomised trial to assess the efficacy and risk profile of viscocanalostomy with the reticulated hyaluronic acid implant (VSRHAI) compared with viscocanalostomy in patients with medically uncontrolled open angle glaucoma.

METHODS

A consecutive series of 40 patients (40 eyes) with uncontrolled open angle glaucoma underwent non-penetrating antiglaucomatous surgery. Patients were included in the study if they had primary or secondary open angle glaucoma with uncontrolled IOP while receiving maximal tolerable antiglaucomatous therapy. Patients with angle closure glaucoma, post-traumatic, uveitic, neovascular, or dysgenetic glaucoma were not considered for this study. Patients with a history of previous ocular surgery and younger than 21 years of age were excluded from the study. Possible alternatives, beneficial effects, and potential complications of the surgical procedure were explained in detail to all patients. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Before surgical intervention all patients underwent a baseline examination which included measurement of best corrected visual acuity (ETDRS charts, Lighthouse, Long Island, USA), visual field examination (30-2, Humphrey field analyser, Humphrey Instruments, Munich), biomicroscopy, gonioscopy, and Goldmann applanation tonometry.

All operations were performed as follows. The procedure commenced with the creation of a fornix based conjunctival flap. After the dissection of a superficial limbus based triangular scleral flap, a second limbus based scleral flap was carefully dissected beneath the previous one towards the choroid.
Schlemm’s canal was deroofed during the extension of the deep scleral flap to its limbal edges. After probing the artificial orifices, Schlemm’s canal was delicately filled with high molecular weight sodium hyaluronate (Healon GV, Pharmacia, Groningen, Netherlands). The deeper flap was then excised and the patients were assigned randomly to receive either a standard viscosocanalostomy or implantation of a reticulated hyaluronic acid implant (SKGEL 3.5, Corneal, Paris, France). Whenever the procedure was continued as viscosocanalostomy the superficial scleral flap was tightly closed with two 10-0 nylon sutures (10-0, CU-1, Alcon Surgical, Inc, Houston, TX, USA) and the viscoelastic substance was carefully injected under the scleral flap. When reticulated hyaluronic acid was needed the triangular implant was carefully injected under the scleral flap. When reticulated hyaluronic acid was needed the triangular implant was carefully injected under the scleral flap. A guarded filtering procedure was used. Postoperatively, examinations were performed on a daily base for 1 week. Follow up visits were applied 0.5, 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after surgery. At each follow up visit all the aforementioned examinations except visual field testing were repeated. The visual field examination was repeated at 6 and 12 months after surgery. Adjunctive subconjunctival 5-fluorouracil injections and laser goniopuncture were not applied during the follow up period.

Complete success was defined as IOP < 22 mm Hg without any additional glaucoma surgery or medication whereas qualified success was defined as IOP < 22 mm Hg with additional antiglaucoma medications. Particular attention was paid to postoperative complications.

Statistical analysis were performed using the SPSS 10.0 software package (SPSS Inc, Munich, Germany). Student’s t test was used to compare means. The χ² test was used for comparison of the qualitative data between the two groups. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were drawn. Intercurve analysis was performed using the log rank test.

**RESULTS**

Forty (20/20) patients, 33 men and seven women, completed the study. The mean age was 60.8 (SD 18.1) years. Thirty nine patients (97.5%) were white and one black African. Twenty seven patients had primary open angle glaucoma and 13 patients had secondary open angle glaucoma, of whom eight patients with pseudoxfoliation glaucoma and five eyes with pigmentary glaucoma. In two eyes an inadvertent visible perforation of the trabeculodescemetic membrane without iris plugging occurred during the dissection of the deep scleral flap. In one eye the dissection of the deep scleral flap was performed without complications, but a definite identification of Schlemm’s canal was not possible. In these three eyes the surgeon switched over to a guarded filtering procedure. These patients were excluded from the study and replaced. The mean preoperatory intraocular pressure (IOP) was 26.5 (SD 6.1) mm Hg for all patients enrolled. No difference with respect to the mean preoperatory IOP was observed between the two groups (p = 0.74).

One day after surgery the mean postoperative IOP was 8.1 (SD 5.6) mm Hg for the viscosocanalostomy group (p<0.001) and 12.0 (SD 5.2) mm Hg for the VSRHAI group (p<0.001), respectively. During the first week after surgery the viscosocanalostomy group showed a lower mean IOP than the VSRHAI group, which was only statistically significant on the first postoperative day (p=0.03) (Table 1). Survival analysis revealed no significant difference between the two groups with reference to both success criteria. At 12 months after
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**Table 1** Mean IOP and mean number of antiglaucoma medications during the postoperative course after viscosocanalostomy/VSRHAI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Viscosocanalostomy</th>
<th>VSRHAI</th>
<th>p Value (t test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean IOP (mm Hg) (SD)</td>
<td>Mean number of medications (SD)</td>
<td>Mean IOP (mm Hg) (SD)</td>
<td>Mean number of medications (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop 26.8 (6.4)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.1)</td>
<td>26.2 (6.0)</td>
<td>2.80 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop 1 8.1 (5.6)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.0 (5.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop 3 8.7 (6.5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.3 (9.1)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop 7 10.2 (6.1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11.8 (4.4)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FU 1/2 13.2 (6.8)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.5)</td>
<td>15.8 (5.8)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FU 1 16.0 (4.4)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.9)</td>
<td>17.8 (4.0)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FU 3 15.4 (4.3)</td>
<td>0.5 (1.3)</td>
<td>16.4 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FU 6 16.4 (6.5)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>15.9 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.6 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FU 9 14.7 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>15.1 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FU 12 16.5 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.7 (1.0)</td>
<td>17.0 (3.1)</td>
<td>0.6 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FU 1 = follow up examination 1 month after surgery; post op 1 = examination 1 day after surgery; IOP = intraocular pressure; Meds = antiglaucoma medications; SD = standard deviation, mean = mean value of those patients that fullfilled at least the qualified success criteria.

---

**Figure 1** Kaplan-Meier cumulative survival curves for an IOP of less than 22 mm Hg without additional antiglaucoma medications (complete success). Viscocanalostomy patients, thick line, VSRHAI patients, thin line. Intercurve analysis using the log rank test revealed no significant difference between the two groups (p=0.89).
surgery, the complete success rate, defined as an IOP lower than 22 mm Hg without medication, was 40% in both groups (p=0.90) (Fig 1). Additionally no difference in terms of qualified success was observed between the applied surgical techniques. In both groups qualified success was achieved in 85% of patients (p=0.99).

Post hoc power calculation including a median survival time of 49 days for the VSRHAI group and of 111 days for the viscocanalostomy group revealed a value of 0.83. This strongly indicates that there is truly no statistical difference between the two treatments.

As the targets of intraocular pressure are becoming increasingly stringent a survival analysis with reference to a target pressure of less than 16 mm Hg was additionally calculated (Fig 2). Even so this analysis did not bring out any difference between the surgical procedures (p=0.11).

Postoperatively, the mean number of antiglaucoma medications was significantly reduced in both groups. However, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups at each postoperative visit. At 12 months the mean number of antiglaucoma medications was 0.7 (SD 1.0) in the viscocanalostomy group and 0.6 (SD 1.0) in the VSRHAI group (p=0.96) (Table 1).

The frequency of complications for each group is listed in Table 2. Interestingly, bleb formation was seen in 13 eyes (65%) of the VSRHAI group and in 11 eyes (55%) after viscocanalostomy (p=0.52). In none of the eyes was significant cataract progression noted throughout the follow up period. Postoperatively a transient bleb leakage was seen in two eyes after viscocanalostomy. In one eye of the VSRHAI group resuturing of the conjunctiva was necessary owing to a persistent bleb leakage in the early postoperative period.

Conjunctival dehiscence with partial extrusion and exposure of the reticulated hyaluronic acid implant was observed in one patient of the VSRHAI group 2 weeks after the operation (see Fig 3). Topical gentamicin ointment was applied three times daily for the following week. The patient was then seen every other day. A gradual limbal readaptation of the conjunctiva over the surface of the exposed parts of the implant with formation of a filtration bleb was noted. Further follow up visits revealed a controlled IOP without additional medications.

In one eye of the VSRHAI group slight scleral ectasia adjacent to the site of sclerectomy was first observed at the 6 months visit. At the following visits, 9 and 12 months after surgery, no further progression of the ectatic lesion was noted.

**DISCUSSION**

The non-penetrating surgical procedures allow percolation of aqueous humour through the thinned trabeculodescemetic membrane into the intrascleral space. According to Stegmann’s theory the aqueous humour reaches Schlemm’s opened canal which drains into the episcleral veins. By leaving the trabeculodescemetic membrane intact sudden IOP drops due to overfiltration are avoided. Theoretically, the frequency

---

**Table 2** Incidence and percentage for each complication recorded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Viscocanalostomy</th>
<th>VSRHAI</th>
<th>χ² test p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perforation of descemet</td>
<td>2/43* 4.7</td>
<td>2/43* 4.7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-identification of canal</td>
<td>1/43* 2.3</td>
<td>1/43* 2.3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charoidal deroofing</td>
<td>2/43* 4.7</td>
<td>2/43* 4.7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotony</td>
<td>3/20 13</td>
<td>2/20 10</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotony</td>
<td>6/20 30</td>
<td>5/20 25</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charoidal detachment</td>
<td>0/20 0</td>
<td>1/20 5</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow anterior chamber</td>
<td>3/20 15</td>
<td>2/20 10</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resuturing of conjunctiva</td>
<td>0/20 0</td>
<td>1/20 5</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure of Skgel implant</td>
<td>NA NA</td>
<td>1/20 5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataract progression</td>
<td>0/20 0</td>
<td>0/20 0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleb formation</td>
<td>11/20 55</td>
<td>13/20 65</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical significance (χ² test) for differences between groups. NA = not applicable, VSRHAI = deep sclerectomy with a reticulated hyaluronic acid implant, *percentage calculated with respect to all procedures performed including those three patients which were excluded.
of postoperative complications encountered with trabeculectomy should be significantly lowered. Variants of the non-penetrating surgery include viscosocanlostomy and deep sclerectomy with or without surgical adjuncts, such as a systematic peeling of the inner endothelial walls of Schlemm’s canal and juxtacanalicular trabecular meshwork, which is meant to remain unaffected during deep sclerectomy. According to Stegmann’s theory the aqueous humour percolates through the thinned trabeculodescemetemic into the intrascleral space which allows the aqueous humour to enter the open ends of Schlemm’s canal and drains into the perilimbal collector channels. Thus, it is thought that the efficacy of non-penetrating antiglaucomatous surgery does not depend on a functioning filtering bleb. It has been shown in monkey eyes that the injection of a viscoelastic substance into Schlemm’s canal can easily cause ruptures of the inner and outer endothelial walls of the canal. Additionally, during unroofing of Schlemm’s canal by the perilimbal dissection of the deep scleral flap inadvertent damage to the inner wall of the canal is likely to occur. Following these results Johnson and Johnson recently hypothesised that viscosocanlostomy functions as a “gentle trabeculectomy”.

Although Nd:YAG laser goniopuncture has been put forward as an efficient and safe adjunct after deep sclerectomy where filtration through the trabeculodescemetemic membrane is considered to be insufficient, it perforates the thin trabeculodescemetemic membrane, thereby turning the initial operation into a penetrating procedure. As the authors intended to compare the antiglaucomatous effects and the safety profile of two non-penetrating procedures adjunctive Nd:YAG laser goniopuncture was not applied. In accordance with the original technique of viscosocanlostomy additional surgical adjuncts, such as a systematic peeling of the inner wall of Schlemm’s canal and juxtacanalicular trabecular tissue, were avoided because from our own experience this easily leads to perforation. However, aqueous drainage via a seemingly intact trabeculodescemetemic membrane just like in our series might be to weak to prevent the intrascleral space from scarring even with the use of an implant. This might explain both the high failure rate in each of the groups of our study and the lack of difference between the two treatments.
In conclusion, both surgical procedures, viscocanalostomy and VSRHAI, offer IOP reduction and success rates which are comparable over a 1 year follow up period. The specific intraoperative and postoperative complications of non-penetrating surgery were seen in our series, although the overall rate of postoperative complications proved equally low for both techniques.
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