Corneal deposits after the topical use of ofloxacin in two children with vernal keratoconjunctivitis

Topical fluoroquinolones are broad spectrum, bactericidal agents with activity against both Gram positive and Gram negative corneal pathogens. Single drug administration with topical fluoroquinolones has been effectively used in treatment of bacterial ulcerative keratitis. The drugs are safe, but a white crystalline deposit, that in most cases spontaneously dissolved, has been reported with ciprofloxacin 0.3% and norfloxacin 0.3%. Up to now this side effect has not been described with ofloxacin 0.3%. We present two children with severe vernal conjunctivitis who developed corneal deposits after use of ofloxacin 0.3% eye drops.

Material and methods

Patient 1

A 6 year old boy with vernal keratoconjunctivitis (VKC) developed a painful right eye while on holiday. His ophthalmologist diagnosed a corneal ulcer and prescribed ofloxacin eye drops followed by ofloxacin ointment four times a day for 3 weeks, associated with prednisolone drops. As the inflammation in the right eye persisted, the child was referred to our department. Slit lamp examination revealed mild VKC and a corneal ulcer with a dense, white, sharply demarcated crystalline deposit (Fig 1). All topical treatment was discontinued, but since the deposit did not spontaneously disappear and inflammation persisted, corneal scraping was performed 7 days later to remove the deposit. The sample was analysed by low contrast microscopy.

Comment

Topical ofloxacin 0.3% has been used in the treatment of patients with bacterial keratitis, in an animal model of keratitis and has been tested in an in vitro tear model. So far no reports of corneal deposits have been made. There is only one case report in which deposits are described after the combined use of cyclosporin and ofloxacin, however, the authors attributed the deposit to the use of cyclosporin, although they did not conduct an analysis on the corneal deposits.

We report for the first time two children who demonstrate corneal deposits of ofloxacin adherent to a corneal erosion caused by VKC. Analysis of a corneal deposit proved the presence of ofloxacin while treatment had been discontinued for 7 days, indicative of ofloxacin depot formation by precipitation.

The exact factors contributing to the formation of the fluoroquinolone precipitate are unknown, but pH solubility profiles are of importance. Ofloxacin, like the other fluoroquinolones, exhibits pH dependent solubility. In the in vitro tear model tear drug concentration of ofloxacin remained below solubility at all pH values (pH 6.4–7.2).

One could argue that VKC associated ulcers occur in a different “microenvironment” compared to bacterial ulcers. Mast cell activation leads to the release of different mediators and inflammatory cells, such as histamine, serotonin, heparin, prostaglandins, protease, tryptase and eosinophils, all of which can contribute to a “microenvironment” potentially promoting ofloxacin precipitation in one way or the other. It would be interesting to find out whether there are significant changes, pH or other, in the tears of children with VKC.

In conclusion, we can say that ofloxacin does not seem to form corneal deposits when used in treatment of bacterial ulcers, contrary to ciprofloxacin or norfloxacin. However, clinicians should be aware that deposits can occur after the use of ofloxacin in VKC associated ulcers.

Patient 2

A 4 year old boy was referred for severe bilateral VKC with a corneal erosion in the right eye. One month before referral the child had had a corneal erosion in the right eye caused by the rubbing of a giant papilla on his upper eyelid. After an initial good response to terramycin ointment with fluorometholone and emadine, an increase in symptoms was observed. A topical treatment with ofloxacin ointment and patching was initiated, but the deposit was observed. A topical treatment with ofloxacin and emadine, an increase in symptoms was observed.

The sample was analysed by low contrast microscopy. In the in vitro tear model the tear drug concentration of ofloxacin remained below solubility at all pH values (pH 6.4–7.2).

One could argue that VKC associated ulcers occur in a different “microenvironment” compared to bacterial ulcers. Mast cell activation leads to the release of different mediators and inflammatory cells, such as histamine, serotonin, heparin, mast cells, tryptase and eosinophils, all of which can contribute to a “microenvironment” potentially promoting ofloxacin precipitation in one way or the other. It would be interesting to find out whether there are significant changes, pH or other, in the tears of children with VKC.

In conclusion, we can say that ofloxacin does not seem to form corneal deposits when used in treatment of bacterial ulcers, contrary to ciprofloxacin or norfloxacin. However, clinicians should be aware that deposits can occur after the use of ofloxacin in VKC associated ulcers.
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Chromosomal translocation in a family with ocular anomalies: indications for karyotype analysis

Hereditary isolated congenital malformations such as congenital cataracts or anterior segment anomalies are usually considered to be the result of a single gene mutation. The identification of associated abnormalities—dysmorphic features, a malformation in another organ system, or mental handicap, help to identify those who carry more severe genetic defects such as chromosome rearrangements. We describe a family where two healthy male, a father and son, had juvenile cataracts. They both had offspring with more severe ocular anomalies including anterior segment dysgenesis, as well as mental retardation and dysmorphic features. Recent karyotype analysis revealed a balanced...
translocation in both father and son and a derived unbalanced translocation in their more severely affected children. Analysis of the translocation breakpoints led to the identification of a new human disease gene, *MAF*, in lens and anterior segment development. Critically, for the family, the finding of the translocation provides an explanation for the severe ocular and other anomalies in the individuals with the unbalanced derivative karyotype. In addition, it allows the option of prenatal diagnosis for future offspring for individuals carrying the balanced translocation.

**Family report**

A man with juvenile onset cataracts, and a family history of this, sought genetic advice with his partner. This man (II.3, Fig 1) was the father of three children with ocular anomalies and developmental delay. He had juvenile onset, progressive cataracts which were removed at the age of 24 years. The cataracts were identified as widespread dot opacities, with anterior and posterior sutural densities. General and other ocular examination was normal. This couple's only living child, a daughter (III.1, Fig 1), had been noted at birth to have opaque corneas, small lens remnants in each eye, and very flat anterior chambers with rims of abnormal iris tissue. Bilateral microphthalmia was present with axial lengths of 12 mm. Fundal examination and an ERG were normal. Bilateral corneal grafts were attempted, but rejection occurred. This child had significant developmental delay with learning and motor difficulties, saying approximately five single words and only just walking at the age of 5 years. She had microcephaly and dysmorphic features (Fig 2A and B). Two previous siblings, now dead, had also had ocular and other anomalies. The elder of these (III.1, Fig 1) had unilateral cataract, global developmental delay, and similar facial features to III.3 (Fig 2C). She died age 6 years as a result of aspiration pneumonia. Her younger sibling (III.2, Fig 1) had cloudy corneas at birth. She died soon after birth as a result of laryngeal stenosis (Fig 2D).

Analysis of the family history revealed that the patient's father (I.1, Fig 1), had also had juvenile onset cataracts but was otherwise well. He had two other children with ocular anomalies and mental impairment. The elder daughter (III.1, Fig 1), had juvenile onset cataracts and died age 29 years because of cardiac arrhythmia. The second daughter (III.2, Fig 1), developed cataracts in early childhood and had bilateral dense cataracts at the age of 30 years. Both these individuals had limited speech and required assistance with personal care. These women have dysmorphic facial features similar to those seen in their developmentally delayed nieces.

Karyotype analyses were requested on surviving family members. These demonstrated that the two otherwise normal men (I.1 and II.3), with juvenile onset cataracts, were carriers of the same balanced translocation 46,XY,der(5)(pter→q15.3)(16p13.3;q23.2) (Fig 1). Their two surviving offspring (II.2 and III.3) with more severe ocular anomalies, mental retardation, and dysmorphic facial features both had the same balanced derivative karyotypes, 46,XX,der(5)(pter→q15.3)(16p13.3;q23.2) (Fig 1). Tissue had been taken at necropy for a karyotype analysis on III.2 which had also shown additional material on chromosome 5. This would be consistent with the unbalanced karyotype seen in her sibling, but unfortunately contact with the family about this at the time did not proceed. Karyotype analyses were not performed in II.1 or III.1 before their deaths and had not been performed in III.3 before the genetic referral.

**Comment**

The diagnosis of the chromosomal translocation has significant implications for management and options for individuals in this family. The indications for karyotype analysis are not specifically emphasised to ophthalmologists as this is generally not part of the ophthalmologist's role in patient care. Nevertheless, chromosomal anomalies are frequently reported in the ophthalmic literature and contributions to identification of several genes in human eye disease, including *PAX6, FOXC1, PITX2*, and *MAF* from this family, have been made through analysis of chromosome abnormalities.

In assessing the child with a congenital ocular anomaly, the presence of at least one other anomaly including another malformation or mental retardation, with or without dysmorphic features, is an indication for karyotype analysis. For the child, the finding of a chromosomal abnormality identifies the likely underlying cause, gives information regarding prognosis and directs management options. For the family, the origin of the chromosomal abnormality is critical in determining the likelihood of recurrence in a future pregnancy.

The parents of a child with a chromosome abnormality should be offered karyotype analysis. If one of the parents is a carrier of a balanced form of the translocation, this carries a risk of recurrence of up to 20% or sometimes higher of having a malformed and mentally retarded child as a result of transmission of an unbalanced chromosome complement. Couples where one partner is a balanced translocation carrier can be offered prenatal diagnosis in a future pregnancy. If a parent is a balanced translocation carrier, one of his or her parents may also be a carrier so that siblings and other more distant relatives may also carry the translocation with its attendant risks for a future pregnancy. If the parental karyotypes are normal, the chromosomal abnormality has probably arisen as a de novo event in the egg or sperm that went to make that child, and the likelihood of recurrence in a future pregnancy is low. Such risk analyses vary between families depending on family history and the particular chromosomal rearrangement: referral to a clinical genetics unit for assessment is recommended.

When a patient is seen with an ocular abnormality associated with mental retardation, dysmorphic features, or another malformation, a request or referral for karyotype analysis is indicated because of the significant

---

**Figure 1** Pedigree and clinical features of translocation family. Individuals with the balanced translocation (B) have cataract alone, while those with the unbalanced derivative karyotype (U) have additional features including other ocular anomalies, mental retardation, dysmorphic features, and other malformations. In individuals with the balanced translocation (B), 46,XY, der(5q15.16)p15.3q23.2), telomeric portions of 5p and 16q are translocated with no obvious loss of chromosomal material. Individuals with the unbalanced translocation (U), 46,XX, der(5)(pter→q15.3)q23.2), have inherited the derived chromosome 5 (der(5)) from their father as well as his normal chromosome 16 and their mother’s normal 16, so they are trisomic for the telomeric portion of 16q containing MAF and monosomic for a small telomeric portion of 5p. NK = not known.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I.1</th>
<th>II.1</th>
<th>II.2</th>
<th>II.3</th>
<th>III.1</th>
<th>III.2</th>
<th>III.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior segment abnormal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microphthalmia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental retardation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysmorphic features</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laryngeal stenosis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature death</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend**

- **Cataract**: Cataract and other ocular anomalies, mental retardation, dysmorphism
- **B**: Balanced translocation
- **U**: Unbalanced translocation

---

The parents of a child with a chromosome abnormality should be offered karyotype analysis. If one of the parents is a carrier of a balanced form of the translocation, this carries a risk of recurrence of up to 20% or sometimes higher of having a malformed and mentally retarded child as a result of transmission of an unbalanced chromosome complement. Couples where one partner is a balanced translocation carrier can be offered prenatal diagnosis in a future pregnancy. If a parent is a balanced translocation carrier, one of his or her parents may also be a carrier so that siblings and other more distant relatives may also carry the translocation with its attendant risks for a future pregnancy. If the parental karyotypes are normal, the chromosomal abnormality has probably arisen as a de novo event in the egg or sperm that went to make that child, and the likelihood of recurrence in a future pregnancy is low. Such risk analyses vary between families depending on family history and the particular chromosomal rearrangement: referral to a clinical genetics unit for assessment is recommended.

When a patient is seen with an ocular abnormality associated with mental retardation, dysmorphic features, or another malformation, a request or referral for karyotype analysis is indicated because of the significant

---

The parents of a child with a chromosome abnormality should be offered karyotype analysis. If one of the parents is a carrier of a balanced form of the translocation, this carries a risk of recurrence of up to 20% or sometimes higher of having a malformed and mentally retarded child as a result of transmission of an unbalanced chromosome complement. Couples where one partner is a balanced translocation carrier can be offered prenatal diagnosis in a future pregnancy. If a parent is a balanced translocation carrier, one of his or her parents may also be a carrier so that siblings and other more distant relatives may also carry the translocation with its attendant risks for a future pregnancy. If the parental karyotypes are normal, the chromosomal abnormality has probably arisen as a de novo event in the egg or sperm that went to make that child, and the likelihood of recurrence in a future pregnancy is low. Such risk analyses vary between families depending on family history and the particular chromosomal rearrangement: referral to a clinical genetics unit for assessment is recommended.

When a patient is seen with an ocular abnormality associated with mental retardation, dysmorphic features, or another malformation, a request or referral for karyotype analysis is indicated because of the significant
Topiramate induced myopic shift and angle closure glaucoma

Topiramate (Topamax; Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Raritan, NJ, USA) is an oral sulfamate medication used primarily for seizure treatment. We report two cases of topiramate induced uveal effusions. In one case, prompt discontinuation of topiramate prevented bilateral angle closure glaucoma. To our knowledge, case 1 is the first case in the ophthalmic literature describing myopic shift without glaucoma.

Case 1

A 42 year old woman presented with a complaint of 1 day of blurry vision. Her medical history was notable for hypertension and seizures. She had never worn glasses. Medications included hydrochlorothiazide, Depakote, and Flovent. Topamax was started 2.5 weeks before examination which revealed 20/200 in both eyes without correction (20/20 both eyes with −4.00−0.75 × 76 right eye −4.00−1.00 × 90 left eye). Pupils and pressures were normal. Anterior chambers were shallow, and gonioscopy revealed angles open to posterior trabecular meshwork in both eyes. Cup-disc ratios were 0.3 in both eyes. Ultrasound revealed uveal effusions (Fig.1A and 2A). Topamax was stopped. By day 3, the uveal effusions had decreased (Fig.1B and 2B). By 1 week, she was able to see 20/20 (plano in both eyes). Chambers were now open to ciliary body in both eyes.

Case 2

A 50 year old woman presented with a complaint of 3 days of blurry vision. Her medical history was notable for uterine cancer, hypertension, asthma, depression, and morbid obesity. Drugs included Prozac, Vioxx, Zestril, and Flovent. Topamax was started 2 weeks earlier for weight loss. She saw 20/30 in both eyes with her glasses (+2.00 right eye +2.50 left eye). Pressures were 60 mm Hg in both eyes. Pupils were barely reactive without APD. Anterior chambers were shallow without angle structures on gonioscopy. Since her pressures only decreased to the 30s despite...
maximal medications, laser iridotomy was performed in her right eye. She had stopped to tipramate 1 day before presentation. On the second day, pressures were normal and B scan confirmed uveal effusions. By day 3, her vision was 20/20 in both eyes, and her pressures were normal on brimonidine 0.2% in both eyes. Gonioscopy revealed posterior trabecular meshwork right eye and no angle structures left eye (anterior chamber depths 2.69 mm right eye, 2.20 mm left eye). After 1.5 weeks, pressures were normal without medications. Angles were open to scleral spur in both eyes. No uveal effusions were seen.

Comment
Drug induced myopia has been associated with sulfonamida such as acetazolamide,2 sulindacoxyxazole/trimethoprim,3 indapamide, promethazine, spironolactone, isosorbide dinitrate, and bromocriptine.2 Other drugs include tetracycline,7 corticosteroids, hydrochlorothiazide, penicillamine, quinine, metronidazole, isoretinoin, and aspirin.2 Recently, bilateral angle closure glaucoma with uveal effusions have been associated with topiramate.11 Although the mechanism for topiramate induced myopia is unknown, it may partly be from topiramate's weak carbonic anhydrase inhibitor activity or a prostaglandin mediated effect.1 Since rechallenging at lower doses does not cause recurrence of myopia,2 allergic hypersensitivity is unlikely. Uveal effusions with ciliary body swelling cause forward rotation of the lens-iris diaphragm, causing myopia and angle closure glaucoma. Including the three other cases in the ophthalmic literature which reported angle closure glaucoma,1 4 all were women between ages 34 and 53. The onset of high pressures varied from 1 day to 2.5 weeks.5 Angle closure glaucoma has been noted in a 5 year old (Clark T, personal communication, 19 April 2002). Although peripheral iridotomy may relieve some pupillary block (as suggested by case 2), most glaucoma cases resolve without miotics or iridotomy. Patients who develop blurred vision should promptly discontinue topiramate to prevent progression to angle closure glaucoma. Pediatric and developmentally delayed patients on topiramate should be closely monitored during the first 2 weeks of treatment.
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LASIK induced choroidal infarcts
Laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) is now commonly used for correction of refractive error. Anterior segment complications relating to flap occurs occasionally.1 However, posterior segment complications are rare.2 Choroidal infarct following LASIK, to our knowledge, has never been reported. Here we report a case of bilateral choroidal infarct following a routine LASIK procedure.

Case report
A 23 years old woman underwent a LASIK excimer procedure to both eyes for correction of hypermetropia. Her preoperative refractive errors were right eye +5.00 and left eye +3.75, improving vision to 6/6 in the right eye and 6/5 in the left eye. However, the axial length was not measured. She had no other ocular pathology. The anterior segments and the fundi were normal. She was generally healthy and her blood pressure was 120/76 mm Hg. Her routine blood tests and chest x ray were within normal limits. She did not have any history of thrombophilic phenomenon, therefore a routine thrombophilia screening test was not performed.

An uncomplicated routine LASIK procedure was performed on 31 August 2001 in both eyes. The keratome used was Hansatome. Postoperatively, she complained of reduced and wavy vision, with floaters in both eyes. However, 2 months later (3 November 2001) she had been referred to one us (RBH). On examination now her vision was 6/18 with +1.0 D sph/+1.75D cyl @ 75° and 6/18, with −1.25 D sph/+1.50 D cyl @ 70° in right and left eye respectively. There were normal LASIK scars and no corneal staining. The intraocular pressure (IOP) was 20 mm Hg in both eyes. The anterior chambers were quiet and pupils reacted normally. The retinal examination showed multiple yellow-grey deep retinal lesions at posterior poles in both eyes. In each eye, there was at least one larger triangular shaped lesion measuring approximately 2 × 3 disc diameters in size temporal to the macula. There were several smaller satellite lesions around the macula, which were somewhat polygonal in shape. These lesions had sharp, well defined borders with a mild degree of retinal oedema. It should be noted that the lesions were almost symmetric in each eye. The discs and blood vessels appeared normal. Fluorescein angiography showed normal transit of dye through the discs and blood vessels. The arteriovenous phase in each eye showed two typical triangular hyperfluorescent areas with apex pointing posteriorly. The smaller polygonal lesions showed punctate hyperfluoresence. The intensity of hyperfluorescence increased with the concentration of circulating dye during the transit and faded away towards the later phases of the angiography. The lesions were situated at a deeper level in comparison with the retinal blood vessels. The late phase in both eyes showed generalised staining of all lesions (Fig 1).
The patient was treated with 60 mg of oral prednisolone in two divided dosage for 3 weeks which was then reduced to 20 mg twice daily. She was reviewed fortnightly. She was also given flurbiprofen sodium 0.05% as local anti-inflammatory drops to both eyes three times daily for 4 weeks.

After 6 weeks the retinal oedema settled with visible fine peppery pigmentation over the lesions. Fluorescein angiography was repeated which showed increasing hypofluorescence of all lesions during the transit with minimal staining towards the late phase. On her final review her visual acuities were right eye 6/9 with +1.0 D sph/+0.50 D cyl @ 180° and in left eye 6/6 with −1.0 D sph−0.50 D cyl @ 180°. The IOP was 18 mm Hg in each eye. The anterior chambers were quiet. The fundos showed well defined fine pigmented lesions with well defined borders. There were no fresh lesions or change in size of earlier lesions.

Comment

Flap related complications following LASIK surgery are well documented.1, 2 Posterior segment complications such as bilateral macular haemorrhage,3 premacular subhyaloid haemorrhage,4 and/or ischemic optic neuropathy (AION),5 retinal detachment,6 field defects,7 and macular hole8 have also been reported but to the best of our knowledge this is first case report of choroidal infarcts occurring after LASIK.

During the flap making stage of LASIK, application of suction may increase the IOP to as much as 60 mm Hg or even more. This increase in IOP can result in poor perfusion leading to circulatory imbalance of the retina and the choroid. Alteration of perfusion pressure in susceptible individuals may precipitate clinical features of AION or choroidal infarct. In our case the increase in IOP might have reached much higher or be sustained for a much longer period. This might have been the basis of infarction of choriocapillaris lobules. The smaller lobules coalesce to form larger lesions. Subsequent pigment changes seen were due to changes in the overlying retinal pigment epithelium.

Bilateral almost symmetrical lesions indicate that it could not have occurred exclusively as a result of raised IOP during LASIK. Therefore it is possible that this patient must have had other risk factors and she was susceptible to the effect of raised IOP. The refractive surgeons must be aware of the general physical status of a patient’s health while considering keratorefractive surgery where a rise of IOP may be an associated factor.9
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A case of Boerhaave’s syndrome associated with raised intraocular pressure

We would like to report a fatal case of post-ectmic oesophageal perforation (Boerhaave’s syndrome). The condition was attributed to nausea and retching caused by raised intraocular pressure (IOP). We believe the case highlights the importance of early diagnosis and management of such rare cases.

Case report

An 81 year old man presented with a painful right eye. He felt nauseated and was retching. An examination revealed a right temporal haemorrhage, 6/18 right eye and 6/12 pinhole 6/5 left eye. The right eye was mildly inflamed and pseudohypoa as a result of recent surgery. Right IOP was 58 mm Hg. The left eye showed no abnormality. Past ocular history included complicated right cataract surgery requiring pars plana vitrectomy and removal of lens fragment 6 weeks previously. Five weeks later he developed an inferior retinal detachment which was repaired by further vitrectomy, cryotherapy, encircling band, and gas tamponade (SF6, 20%). Following discharge he had been troubled by nausea and retching. Past medical history included moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and treated essential hypertension. Medical examination was consistent with this. He was admitted and treated with intravenous Diamox and Maxolon, topical Alphagan and Trusopt. IOP was 33 mm Hg 5 hours later. Early the following morning he had one episode of blood stained vomitus following which he developed sudden retrosternal chest pain and dyspnoea. GTN spray and Gaviscon did not alleviate his symptoms. On examination he was very distressed and unable to lie flat. Cardiovascular and respiratory examination demonstrated no new pathology. His blood pressure, ECG, and oxygen saturation were normal. Abdominal examination revealed general tenderness and guarding in all quadrants. Abdominal and chest x-rays were unre- markable as was abdominal ultrasound. Urgent surgical and medical opinions were sought. At lunch time he developed further sudden severe dyspnoea and became confused. Blood gases revealed severe acidosis with reduced PaO2 and PaCO2. Physicians believed this was a mixed metabolic and respiratory acidosis induced by pulmonary thromboembolism. He was anticoagulated and transferred to the high dependency unit. Repeat chest x ray at 20:00 showed a right sided pneumothorax. A chest drain was inserted. The pleuritic fluid sample contained bile, Gram positive cocci, and Gram negative rods. The patient, who by now was in septic shock, was commenced on broad spectrum intravenous antibiotics. Emergency laparotomy confirmed oesophageal perforation. After discussion with his family no further intervention was deemed justifiable. Our patient died a few hours later.

Comment

Boerhaave syndrome is transmural perforation of the oesophagus to be distinguished from Mallory-Weiss syndrome, non-transmural oesophageal tear also associated with vomiting.10 It is the result of a sudden rise in intraluminal oesophageal pressure produced during vomiting. Pain is the most consistent symptom, present in 70–90% of patients, and is usually related to the site of disruption (Table 1).11 Chest x ray suggests the diagnosis in 90% of patients, but immediately after disruption the chest film may be normal.12 Pneumomediastinum, surgical emphysema, mediastinal widening, or a mediastinal air-fluid level must prompt investigation to rule out oesophageal perforation.13 Survival depends upon early recognition and appropriate surgical intervention. The overall mortality rate is approximately 35%. Surgical repair within 24 hours carries 70–75% chance of survival. This falls to 35–50% if surgery is delayed longer than 24 hours and to approximately 10% if delayed longer than 48 hours.14 Surgical intervention is the optimum management in most cases.15 This case highlights two very important points, firstly, the importance of adequate IOP control and associated nausea, secondly, although the above case is rare familiarity of the case and its inclusion in the differential diagnosis can be potentially life saving.

Table 1 Symptoms and signs of oesophageal perforation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Signs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting (common)</td>
<td>Tachycardia (common)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain (common, may radiate to the back)</td>
<td>Fever (common)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest hyperoxygenity or dulness (common)</td>
<td>Surgical emphysema (common)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia (swallowing may precipitate coughing)</td>
<td>Haematemesis (uncommon)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea (common, due to pleuritic pain or pleural effusion)</td>
<td>Protasis (rare)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A 47 year old woman reported a 3 month history of rapid visual loss in the right eye followed by a decrease in her left eye vision 2 months later. Twenty days before the onset of visual symptoms the patient had a seizure. Twenty days before the onset of visual symptoms the patient had a seizure.

Severe bilateral necrotising retinitis caused by Toxoplasma gondii in a patient with systemic lupus erythematosus and diabetes mellitus

Ocular toxoplasmosis may be remarkably atypical in situations of evident immunosuppression such as acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, malignancy, and use of chronic immunosuppressive drug therapy. Aggressive forms in immunocompetent hosts are very rare. We present a case of severe, bilateral necrotising retinitis by Toxoplasma gondii initially misdiagnosed as an acute retinal necrosis (ARN) syndrome, in a patient with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and diabetes mellitus type 2, who was taking medium dose prednisone.

Case report

A 47 year old woman reported a 3 month history of rapid visual loss in the right eye followed by a decrease in her left eye vision 2 months later. Twenty days before the onset of ocular symptoms the patient had a seizure. Her medical history showed a SLE, with an active lupus central nervous system disease controlled with prednisone (0.5 mg/kg/day), and type 2 diabetes mellitus. At her first visit to our service, visual acuity was hand movement in both eyes. Slit lamp examination showed 3+ aqueous cells and flare, and 2+ anterior vitreous cells in both eyes. The fundus showed a 2+ vitreous haze and almost 360° creamy white necrotising retinitis extending from the ora serrata to the posterior pole, including the macula in both eyes (Fig 1A and B). Thumbprint patches at the border between necrotic and scatty normal retina could be observed, and also diffuse vascular attenuation.

Cerebral spinal fluid analysis showed pleocytosis, hypergammaglobulinaemia, and negative serology, including VDRL. Complement level was normal, anti-double stranded DNA and anti-cardiolipin (ACL) antibodies were negative, and activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) was normal, not fulfilling the international consensus criteria to antiphospholipid syndrome. Serum anti-Toxoplasma and anti-herpes virus IgG were positive by enzyme immunoassays, while anti-HIV-1 and HIV-2 was negative. Tests for specific IgM were all negative; serum FTA-Abs was positive; VDRL was negative. Complete blood count and platelets were normal. Chest x-ray was also normal.

A presumptive diagnosis of ARN was made in a patient with active systemic lupus disease. Intravenous aciclovir was introduced and prednisone therapy was maintained. Owing to failure of the antiviral treatment and ocular disease progression, the patient underwent a chorioretinal biopsy of the right eye for a definite diagnosis, mainly to discharge a tumoral retinochoroidal infiltration. Two blocks of choroid and retina, and also a vitreous sample, were obtained. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was unexpectedly positive for T gondii and negative for the herpesvirus family (CMV, HSV-1 and HIV-2, VZV). TREPONEMA pallidum, and Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The biopsy showed a necrotic retina, with few remaining retinal cells. Within the necrotic retina there were occasional lymphocytes and a number of T gondii cysts (Fig 1C). The choroid was densely infiltrated with lymphocytes and plasma cells (Fig 1D). T gondii in the necrotic retina was confirmed by immunohistochemistry (Fig 1E). Inside intact cyst walls, viable Toxoplasma gondii bradyzoites bearing micronemes, polysaccharide granules, and apical complex were observed at electron microscopy (Fig 1F). Desmont's coefficient was negative (anti-T gondii vitreous titre = 2256; anti-T gondii serous titre = 2736; total vitreous IgG = 678 mg/dl; total serous IgG = 1314 mg/dl).

Figure 1  (A) Fundus appearance of the left eye. (B) Fundus appearance of the right eye. (C) Light micrograph shows necrotic retina with occasional inflammatory cells and Toxoplasma cyst (arrow). (D) Light micrograph shows the necrotic retinal pigment epithelium with Toxoplasma cysts (arrow) and the choroid with a dense infiltrate of lymphocyte and plasma cells (haematoxylin and eosin, bar = 10 µm). (E) Immunohistochemistry with alkaline phosphatase. Two Toxoplasma cysts (arrows) positively dyed pink (bar = 10 µm). (F) Electron micrograph of a paraffin embedded tissue post processed for electron microscopy of the chorioretinal biopsy shows two Toxoplasma cysts with micronemes (inset, arrow) and white spherules with hazy borders amylopectin granules (inset, asterisk). The inset shows higher magnification of a bradyzoite, showing typical apical structures. Magnification is represented in standard bars.
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Based on these results, aciclovir was discontinued and oral anti-Toxoplasma treatment was initiated with pyrimethamine, sulfadiazine, and folic acid supplementation. After a 1 month treatment retinal lesions started to heal, yet no improvement of visual acuity was observed.

Comment
The present case was atypical because of an extensive bilateral necrotising retinitis and obliterative vasculitis, with no pre-existing choriretinal scars. Three factors could have conferred a mild degree of immunosuppression to this patient: SLE, diabetes mellitus, and prednisone at medium dose. 

It is recognised that retinal vasculitis and immunosuppression increase the risk of a herpetic and, to a lesser extent, a toxoplasmic infection. Ocular toxoplasmosis associated with collagen vascular disorders is a rare event and has been described after aggressive immunosuppressive therapy. On the other hand, retinal vasculitis occurs in less than 10% of SLE patients, particularly in those presenting with antiphospholipid syndrome, which makes them susceptible to retinal infections. In the present case, laboratory findings consistent with antiphospholipid syndrome were absent. The pre-existence of widespread SLE retinal vasculopathy could be inferred by the active lupus central nervous system disease. Therefore we speculate that the active SLE associated with diabetes mellitus and the use of corticosteroids increased the patient’s susceptibility to infection leading to this atypically severe ocular toxoplasmosis.

The diagnostic agent was initially diagnosed as T.gondii based on PCR analysis of a vitreous sample and confirmed by histopathological findings of the choriretinal biopsy. In the present case, the biopsy was also useful to discharge any tumoral infiltration. Although the local production of anti-Toxoplasma antibodies is also considered a useful parameter, the high intraocular total IgG titre found in this case, probably caused by a breakdown of the blood-aqueous barrier, was responsible for the false negative Desmont’s coefficient. The simple observation of Toxoplasma cysts is not indicative of active infection. However, the presence of multiple cysts, aside from a positive immunohistochemistry test in a symptomatic patient, allowed an accurate diagnosis.

Taking into account that the fundus characteristics strongly suggested a herpetic acute retinal necrosis, one could interpret the PCR as being a false negative result because of both, either a previous aciclovir treatment or a lack of sensitivity. Nevertheless, the disease progression despite antiviral therapy, the absence of any viral particles on electron microscopy, in conjunction with all the evidence pointing to a toxoplasmic retinitis makes the possibility of a combined infection still possible, but remote.

Based on the present case that showed an atypically severe form of ocular toxoplasmosis in a patient with a vasculopathy caused by collagen and metabolic vascular disorders, we suggest that cases of severe necrotising retinitis be evaluated expeditiously to exclude conditions that mimic ARN. A less invasive vitreal PCR and, when indicated, an early retinchoroidal biopsy may yield a definitive diagnosis avoiding any delay in introducing effective treatment.
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Idiopathic dilated episcleral veins and increased intraocular pressure
Intraocular pressure (IOP) is dependent on the rate of aqueous production, facility of outflow, and episcleral venous pressure. Increased IOP and visual field loss may result from an elevation of episcleral venous pressure, the causes of which include large vessel venous obstruction (venous sinus thrombosis and superior vena cava syndrome), arteriovenous shunts or fistulae, Sturge-Weber syndrome, scleritis, thyroid related orbitopathy, and orbital tumours. Increased episcleral venous pressure may result in elevated IOP and optic neuropathy, even in the absence of these entities. We report a 34 year old man with idiopathic dilated episcleral veins (IDEV) and increased IOP with visual field loss.

Case report
A 34 year old man presented for neuro-ophthalmic evaluation because of decreased vision in the right eye and injection of both eyes. His intraocular pressure (IOP) had been elevated in the right eye more than the left for the past 13 years, reaching 32 mm Hg in the right eye. His eyes appeared red for as long as he could remember, but details of his previous ophthalmic examinations were unavailable. Because of the bilateral injection he saw two other neuro-ophthalmologists, who suspected an arteriovenous fistula. One year before presentation he underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the head and orbits and catheter angiography on two occasions, which were both normal. He had no other previous medical problems, was taking no drugs, and had no history of head trauma or surgery.

Correlation of cytomegalovirus DNA levels with response to antiviral therapy in cardiac and renal allograft recipients. Transplantation 1997;63:957–63.
drugs, and had no recent history of trauma to the head or neck.

External examination revealed no evidence of cutaneous angiomatosis. Best corrected visual acuity was 20/25 in the right eye and 20/20 in the left eye. Although he correctly identified eight of eight Ishihara pseudoisochromatic colour plates with each eye, he had a 35% subjective red desaturation on the right, with a right relative afferent papillary defect (RAPD). There was no exophthalmos and no increased resistance to retropropulsion of the globes into the orbits. Dilated episcleral veins were apparent in each eye (Fig 1), while the conjunctival vessels were normal. Intraocular pressure was 22 mm Hg on the right and 16 mm Hg on the left, and the mires of the Goldmann applanation tonometer were normally pulsatile. Gonioscopy revealed bilaterally open angles. The Goldmann tonometry were normally pulsatile mires. We did not measure the episcleral blood flow of the ultrasonography were not published. The superior ophthalmic vein was of normal calibre and there was no evidence of reversal of flow in either orbit (Fig 3).

Comment

Arteriovenous fistula is the most frequent cause of ocular injection with increased IOP due to elevated episcleral venous pressure. The ocular injection results from engorged, arterialized conjunctival vessels, which is different from the injection seen in IDEV.

Traumatic high flow fistulas may present with proptosis, eyelid oedema, and conjunctival chemosis, and are often easily identified clinically. Spontaneous, frequently low flow fistulas may present with progressive unilateral or bilateral injection, and may be more difficult to diagnose.

There is, however, an infrequent syndrome of ocular injection and increased IOP which is idiopathic. Although originally described by Minas and Podos, the same entity is termed the Radius Maumenee syndrome in the German literature. In one report 10 of 64 patients with glaucoma due to elevated episcleral venous pressure had this syndrome. In another report of six patients with unilateral dilated episcleral vessels and one patient with bilateral involvement, the IOP in the affected eyes ranged between 21 and 44 mm Hg. In all six patients with apparently unilateral involvement the IOP was higher in the eye with the dilated vessels. Episcleral venous pressure was measured to be twice the normal value in the affected eyes of these patients.

Catheter angiography in these patients is normal, without abnormal arteriovenous communications. Other adjunctive testing, including neuroimaging, orbital venography, and fluorescein angiography are also normal. Although abnormalities in ocular blood flow have been suggested, the pathogenesis of this condition remains unclear.

Orbital colour Doppler imaging provides a non-invasive means of imaging orbital and ocular blood flow and, in patients with fistulas, characteristically shows reversal of blood flow in a dilated superior ophthalmic vein. The superior ophthalmic veins were of normal calibre in our patient, and both orbits showed normal retrograde flow in the orbital veins. Similar findings were reported in the only other patient with this syndrome who underwent orbital colour Doppler imaging, and increased arterial and venous flow in the episcleral vessels was noted in the eye with dilated episcleral vessels; however, the images of the ultrasonography were not published. We did not measure the episcleral blood flow in our patient.

Although the cause of this disorder remains elusive it should be distinguished from other disorders, most commonly the arteriovenous fistula (Table 1), which can result in dilated episcleral vessels and increased IOP. In patients with IDEV a congenital abnormality in

### Table 1 Differentiating features of arteriovenous fistula and IDEV and increased IOP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Arteriovenous fistula</th>
<th>Idiopathic dilated episcleral veins (IDEV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>Most often acquired</td>
<td>May be congenital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predisposing factors</td>
<td>Trauma, hypertension</td>
<td>Possible familial predisposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Pulsatile bruit or tinnitus, pain, diplopia</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological signs</td>
<td>Ocular misalignment, unilateral or bilateral sixth nerve paresis, oculomotor paresis</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External examination</td>
<td>Dilated, Corkscrew conjunctival and episcleral vessels, conjunctival chemosis, eyelid oedema, proptosis</td>
<td>Dilated episcleral veins, conjunctival vessels of normal calibre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior segment</td>
<td>Cataract, iris, and angle neovascularisation with orbital ischaemic syndrome</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital signs</td>
<td>Bruit, proptosis</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldmann tonometry</td>
<td>Abnormally pulsatile mires</td>
<td>Normally pulsatile mires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funduscopy</td>
<td>Dilated, engorged retinal vessels. Occasionally ocular ischaemic syndrome, with optic disc oedema and macular oedema. Rarely central retinal vein occlusion.</td>
<td>Optic disc cupping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging</td>
<td>Dilated superior ophthalmic vein or cavernous sinus</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCDDI</td>
<td>Dilated superior ophthalmic veins with reversal of orbital venous flow</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter angiography</td>
<td>Arteriovenous fistula</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2** The left optic disc (A) is normal and the right optic disc (B) shows a vertically elongated cup. The retinal vessels are of normal calibre.

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3** Orbital colour Doppler imaging shows a normal calibre of the superior ophthalmic veins in the right (A) and left (B) orbits. The blue colour represents normal retrograde flow of the orbital veins.
vascular and familial predisposition have been suggested as the cause; however some reports suggest that the ocular injection is acquired.

Treatments have focused on reducing IOP with aqueous suppression. Filtration surgery has been favoured by some, and in one patient who underwent trabeculectomy the IOP was reduced but the dilated episcleral veins remained unchanged.

Presumably an alteration in aqueous outflow would preclude the use of IOP lowering agents which increase uveoscleral outflow; however, the earlier reports of IDEV preceded the availability of these agents.

Allergy to clear aetiology has been identified for IDEV; the findings in this syndrome have been characterised well enough to obviate the need for catheter angiography in patients with the clinical features of this syndrome, a relatively large vessel (the role of our Doppler imaging, and to avoid delaying the treatment of elevated IOP.
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Trypan blue assisted descemetorhexis for inadvertently retained Descemet’s membranes after penetrating keratoplasty

The presence of a retrocorneal membrane following an uneventful keratoplasty is a known entity. While the inflammatory membranes usually disappear with the use of topical steroids a YAG laser treatment has been used to make an opening in the opacified retained membrane. We describe a new technique of removal of retained Descemet’s membrane using trypan blue dye.

Case 1

A 27 year old man underwent penetrating keratoplasty in his left eye for congenital hereditary endothelial dystrophy (CHED). The host cornea was initially trephined to 90% of the corneal depth with a 7.5 mm trephine (Storz Ophthalmics, St Louis, MO, USA). The anterior chamber was entered with a blade and the host cut was completed with corneal scissors. An 8 mm donor button was anchored with 16 interrupted sutures (10–0 monofilament nylon). On the first postoperative day, slit lamp biomicroscopy revealed a retained Descemet’s membrane and a supernumerary anterior chamber. The graft was clear with a best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of 20/40.

At 1 week, an additional inflammatory membrane was detected between the retained Descemet’s membrane and iris at 6 o’clock position extending from the anterior margin up to the lower third of the anterior chamber (Fig 1). The inflammatory membrane did not resolve with increased frequency of topical steroid and at 4 weeks we decided to excise these membranes.

The 10 o’clock and the 11 o’clock sutures were removed and the graft host junction was separated. Under air, 0.1 ml of 0.1% trypan blue (Vision blue, DORC, Netherlands) was injected over the host’s retained Descemet’s membrane and was left in contact with it for 10 seconds and then washed out. Both retained Descemet’s membrane and inflammatory membranes were stained with the dye.

Figure 1

Double anterior chamber in case 1 with congenital hereditary endothelial dystrophy.

Case 2

A 26 year old man underwent penetrating keratoplasty in his right eye for CHED with the same surgical technique and graft size as in case 1. On the first postoperative day, a transparent retained Descemet’s membrane along with formation of a supernumerary chamber was detected on slit lamp biomicroscopy. The graft was clear with no active inflammation in the anterior chamber and BCVA was 20/40.

Based on our experience of case 1 regarding the development of inflammatory membrane, we decided to excise the retained Descemet’s membrane at 1 week using the same technique. Postoperatively, slit lamp biomicroscopy did not reveal any evidence of supernumerary chamber or retrocorneal membrane.

Postoperatively, the patients received 2 hourly 0.1% betamethasone sodium phosphate eye drops, 0.3% ciprofloxacin eye drops four times daily and preservative-free artificial tears eye drops four times daily. At 2 months of follow up, the grafts were clear with a single anterior chamber and the visual acuity of 20/40 was maintained in both the eyes.

Comment

Both these cases with retained host Descemet’s membrane following penetrating keratoplasty were that of CHED. In these cases, longstanding stromal oedema may cause loosening of the attachment of the Descemet’s membrane and predispose its separation from the overlying stroma as has also been reported in a case of interstitial keratitis. Hence, inadvertent, incomplete trephination of such oedematous corneas may cause retention of the host Descemet’s membrane.

Although both the patients had good vision and the grafts and retrocorneal membranes were clear, we decided to excise these membranes as an inflammatory membrane started growing in the first case. We thought that the host’s retained Descemet’s membrane is acting as a scaffold and further growth of inflammatory membranes may jeopardise the graft.

Trypan blue is commonly used to stain the anterior lens capsule for capsulorhexis in cataract surgery. As both lens capsule and
Descemet's membrane are basement membranes, we attempted to stain the retained Descemet's layer with trypan blue to enhance its visibility during the surgery. In both the cases an optimal staining of the membranes helped in achieving a controlled circular tear of the membrane without affecting graft clarity.

We called our technique of dye assisted removal of retained Descemet's membrane “descemetorhexis” as the retained Descemet's membrane were torn in a circular fashion at the graft-host junction.
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Orbital haemorrhage complication following postoperative thrombolysis

Thrombolytic agents, such as tissue plasminogen activator (tPA), are commonly employed early in the management of patients with acute myocardial infarction. In this setting the rapid transient of coronary thrombi achieves reperfusion and has well established survival benefits.1 Often the anticoagulant heparin is simultaneously administered to prevent reocclusion.2 Both therapies may have haemorrhagic complications. We report a case of retrobulbar haemorrhage as a complication of thrombolytic therapy instituted for an acute myocardial infarction occurring 2 days after routine cataract surgery.

Case report
A 72 year old man underwent uncomplicated right phacoemulsification and posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation. Before surgery, a peribulbar block was administered, using a single transcutaneous inferior injection of local anaesthetic. The patient had no past medical history of a haematological disorder. Two months previously he had undergone uneventful left sided cataract surgery. The day postoperative ocular examination was unremarkable and the patient was generally well. Two days following surgery the patient was admitted to the emergency department with an acute inferolateral myocardial infarct. The attending cardiologist and the patient's ophthalmologist agreed that the benefits of thrombolysis outweighed the risk to the eye and vision. A 10 unit dose of tPA was administered to the patient with a concomitant heparin infusion; this was followed half an hour later by a second dose. Aspirin was also prescribed.

Two hours after the initial dose of tPA peri-orbital swelling of the right eye was noted. Over the next hour there was increasing peri-orbital oedema and the patient reported mild ocular discomfort, loss of vision, nausea, and vomiting. During one episode of emesis there was an abrupt onset of frank periorcular haemorrhage. The heparin infusion was stopped. Examination of the affected eye revealed proptosis, subconjunctival haemorrhoma, a retina, iris, and pupiluarly intact defect, a hazy cornea, and severely restricted extraocular movements. Vision was no perception of light. A computed tomograph scan demonstrated a large retrobulbar haematoma in proximity of the lateral rectus muscle (Fig 1). The patient was given intravenous acetazolamide and hydrocortisone. Canthotomy and cantholysis were performed to facilitate a complete reduction of the membrane without affecting graft survival benefits.1 Tenon's haemorrhage following streptokinase given 2 hours after scleral buckling surgery,3 and three cases of orbital haemorrhage following thrombolytic therapy administered with 1–5 days after cataract or eyelid surgery.1,3

The retrobulbar haemorrhage in our patient is most likely the result of thrombolytic therapy and the result of lysis of a haemostatic plug in an arterial vessel within the orbit that was punctured during the delivery of the anesthetic.4 Reformation of a haemostatic plug was probably inhibited by the antplatelet and anticoagulant effects of aspirin and heparin.

Prompt recognition and immediate delivery of appropriate treatment are important in the preservation of good visual acuity.1,3 In our case lateral cantholysis did not adequately relieve the retro-orbital haemorrhage. The extent of our patient's coronary artery disease precluded the general anaesthetic necessary for orbitotomy and, because of its life threatening nature, its management took precedence.

Pertinent in the consideration of decompressive orbitotomy was the patient's ongoing systemic effects of tPA, heparin, and aspirin. The circulating half life of tPA is 6 minutes, although the duration of the local lytic effect from fibrin bound tPA is not known.5 The effective biological half life of heparin is approximately 1 hour.6 Many hours after their last administration, neither was likely to be having any ongoing systemic effects of concern. The duration of action of aspirin, however, continues the life of affected platelets, 7–10 days, as it irreversibly inhibits the enzyme responsible for platelet aggregation.7 This effect gradually dissipates and, as new platelets are formed. Although not necessarily prohibitive to surgery, the implications of ongoing platelet dysfunction include difficulty in achieving haemostasis with the potential for poor surgical visualisation and extensive blood loss.

Primary percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) may be performed as an alternative to thrombolytic therapy. With improved mortality and lower rates of intra-procedural haemorrhage, primary PTCA would seem an attractive alternative especially in those patients at high risk of haemorrhage.7 However, it should be noted that a case of orbital haemorrhage following PTCA has also been reported.8

This patient originally had bilateral cataracts and 2 months earlier had undergone uneventful left sided cataract surgery. Had the cataract operations been conducted in close succession, a similar situation as described here may have presented the potential for complete blindness. Although rare, such unforeseen circumstances may need to be considered when determining outcomes of successive surgery for bilateral cataracts.

This case highlights that, in the context of relative contraindications to thrombolytic therapy, cataract surgery with injected local anaesthesia is to be considered major surgery. Thrombolytic therapy required shortly after cataract surgery has the potential to result in sight threatening haemorrhagic complications.

The authors hold no financial or proprietary interest in any product mentioned in this letter.
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The IOL flip: rescue for foldable lens implantation gone wrong

Phacoemulsification surgery offers many advantages in comparison with extracapsular cataract extraction (ECCE). In particular, faster visual rehabilitation and better control over surgically induced astigmatism are well-established benefits over ECCE. These advantages are largely due to the small size of the cataract incision.

Inadvertent insertion of a posterior chamber intraocular lens (IOL) with a reversed optic in situ without enlarging the wound, thus placing the patient, has in this situation become a foe to the surgeon. Faced with this situation, the surgeon has a few options. The simplest would be to leave the IOL inverted. Indeed, this has been advocated by some authors. The second option is to attempt to reverse the IOL by means of forceps, slight misalignment of the optic is of concern. Should the surgeon decide that the IOL optic is tilted, it would be simpler to dial out the superior haptic and reimplant the lens. The haptics of PMMA IOLs are also much stiffer than foldable IOLs and can damage both the posterior capsule and the endothelium during the flipping process. This manoeuvre is not recommended for cases where the integrity of the capsule bag is lost or in doubt, as there is a potential for worsening any capsular rent.

The idea of the technique is to cause a rotational movement about the diameter of the IOL optic, similar to flipping a coin, while at the same time protecting the corneal endothelium and posterior capsule. The technique proceeds as follows:

1. The anterior chamber is deepened with viscoelastic to create space between the optic/haptics and the endothelium. The capsule bag behind the optic is distended with viscoelastic to facilitate safe flipping of the IOL.

2. This step flips the IOL. The one-piece poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) lenses. PMMA IOL implantation is usually through an enlarged incision, and it would be simpler to dial out the superior haptic and reimplant the lens. The haptics of PMMA IOLs are also much stiffer than foldable IOLs and can damage both the posterior capsule and the endothelium during the flipping process. This manoeuvre is not recommended for cases where the integrity of the capsule bag is lost.

Comment

Most of the problems causing IOL inversion are related to inadequate attention to details during lens insertion. The use of injectable IOL systems, particularly those that require elaborate rotational movements on the part of the surgeon in order to correctly place the IOL into the capsular bag may result in an inverted IOL. Correct insertion of the IOL within the cartridge is a guarantee of correct placement into the eye. In the AMO Unfolder system, particular care has to be taken to avoid inversion. During injection, the free end of the leading haptic has to be directed to the surgeon’s left, with the loop held in the horizontal position at all times via a series of pronation movements of the right handed surgeon’s wrist, in order for the IOL to be correctly oriented. Failure to pronate the wrist in an adequate and timely fashion will result in an incorrect placement. Other systems that do not require complicated manipulations may reduce the possibility of incorrect implantation.

Forces insertion of foldable IOLs also may lead to reversed placement. The correct orientation of the IOL should be checked before folding in all cases, particularly if the lens has been dropped during transfer. Incorrect orientation of the “S” configuration is performed by the lens haptics and optic. Recognition of the “S” must signal to the surgeon to “stop” and check. Occasionally the trailing haptic may be trapped within the incision by the jaws of the inserting forceps, inducing spontaneous flipping within the eye. Recognised early, this can be aborted by placing the forceps tips over the optic to prevent further rotation, while releasing the trapped haptic with Kelman-McPherson forceps.

Although inverted lens implantation is eminently preventable with proper attention at each step of lens implantation, it still is a problem that occurs with enough frequency to be of concern. Should the surgeon decide that correction of the reversal is appropriate, the IOL flip offers a simple, quick and safe way out of a tight spot.
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Figure 1 Multifocal electroretinogram (mfERG) of the patient’s right eye compared to a normal response. (A) Shows a normal mfERG; the central and peripheral responses are grouped and averaged. The normal range of the central response is 74–122 nV and the normal range of the peripheral response is 61–108 nV. The normal range for mfERG implicit times is 32–42 ms. The ranges are the 5% and 95% confidence limits derived from 50 age-matched controls. (B) Shows the mfERG of the patient’s right eye performed in 1999 at presentation to the regional uveitis clinic. The average central response is 30 nV and the average peripheral response is 31 nV. (C) Shows the mfERG of the right eye performed 1 year later. The average central response is reduced to 21 nV and the average peripheral response is 30 nV. The implicit time is delayed by 12–54 ms. (D) Shows the mfERG of the patient’s right eye performed 1 year later, 6 months after starting the antioxidant preparation. The average central response shows a marked improvement to 95 nV and the average peripheral has improved to 83 nV. The implicit time delay has also improved by 6 ms to 48 ms. (E) Goldmann perimetry findings before commencing antioxidant treatment. (F) Goldmann perimetry findings 5 months after commencing antioxidant treatment.

Recovery of visual function in a patient with birdshot chorioretinitis

Birdshot chorioretinopathy (BSCR) is a rare inflammatory disease, which generally follows a chronic course of progressive loss of vision to 6/60 or worse. However, the vision may stabilise or, rarely, improve slightly. Commonly prescribed treatment regimens, including oral steroids, and one or two immunosuppressive agents, may stabilise but generally do not cure the condition.

We describe a case of BSCR in which there was a marked improvement in Snellen acuity and retinal function, measured with wide field multifocal electroretinography (WF-mfERG), in a patient intolerant of conventional treatment, who self medicated with an antioxidant preparation.

Case report

A 53 year old white woman was referred with a 2 year history of decreased vision and floaters affecting both eyes. Snellen acuity was 6/24, N8 in the right eye and 6/12, N8 in the left eye. Moderate vitritis, cystoid macular oedema, swelling of the optic discs, and the presence of scattered, deep, pale creamy white lesions, were compatible with a diagnosis of BSCR, supported by positive HLA-A29 serology.

A reducing dose of oral prednisolone in conjunction with cyclosporin was commenced. There was no improvement in visual symptoms and, owing to the development of cellulitis around the umbilicus, cyclosporin was stopped.

She was referred to the regional uveitis service. WF-mfERG was performed at presentation, using a custom built system comprising a 61 hexagonal array. This test facilitates localised assessment of retinal function, within a 90° retinal field. WF-mfERG showed a global reduction of P1 amplitude responses in both eyes (Fig 1B). A lower dose of cyclosporin was tried but subsequently discontinued because of significant gastrointestinal symptoms.

Over the next 18 months, tacrolimus, azathioprine, and mycophenolate were all prescribed separately in conjunction with a maintenance dose of 5 mg of prednisolone. Tacrolimus 1 mg twice daily was discontinued after 2 weeks because of intolerable side effects. Treatment with azathioprine 50 mg three times daily continued for 7 months with no improvement in vision. Mycophenolate 1 mg twice daily was stopped at the patient’s request after a year, owing to progressive deterioration of vision and a general feeling of malaise. In addition, prednisolone was tailed...
and 6 months later, the visual acuity and retinitis also improved in both eyes (Fig 1D). The amplitude and implicit time of the nV in the left eye (normal range 74–122 nV), 95 nV in the right eye and from 35 nV to 167 nV after commencing the antioxidant preparation. The role of antioxidant treatment in BSCR needs further appraisal, perhaps using the HLA-A29 transgenic mouse, which has been shown to spontaneously develop a retinopathy that is histologically very similar to that found in BSCR.6

Table 1 List of ingredients in antioxidant preparation. The amount per serving was specified only for those three ingredients listed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Amount per serving</th>
<th>Percentage of recommended daily intake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Astaxanthin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grape seed extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decaffeinated green tea extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumeric extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemary officinalis extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha lipic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellagic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinol extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioflavonoid complex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotenoid complex</td>
<td>9500 IU</td>
<td>190%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-enzyme Q-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-acetyl cysteine</td>
<td>82 mg</td>
<td>137%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esterified vitamin C</td>
<td>14 IU</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercitin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White pine bark extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taurine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inositol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium sulphate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenomethione</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc monomethionine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment

WF-mFERG investigations showed a marked improvement in visual function of both eyes after commencing the antioxidant preparation. This observation mirrored the recovery of Snellen acuity and visual field scores in patients with known risk factors such as raised intraocular pressure, arterial hypertension, atherosclerotic disease, or diabetes mellitus. In addition, central retinal venous occlusion infrequently occurs in individuals with hyperviscosity from leukaemia, polycythaemia, Waldenstrom’s macroglobulinaemia, sickle cell disease, and periphlebitis. However, it has also been described in patients with antiphospholipid antibodies and rarely in patients with thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) (pentalogy of fever, microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia, thrombocytopenia, neurological abnormalities, and renal impairment).6 We describe central retinal venous occlusion in a 26 year old female patient with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), in whom both TTP and antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) appear to have contributed to the pathogenesis. To our knowledge, co-existence of TTP and APS has not been previously reported in a case of central retinal venous occlusion.

Case report

The patient presented in 1994, aged 19, with arthralgia and fatigue. Haemoglobin was 3.5 g/dl and platelet count 15 × 10^4. Investigations revealed SLE (antineuclear antibodies were positive at a titre of 1:640 and anti-double stranded DNA antibodies were 1:320) and systemic symptoms of a chronic inflammatory disease. Haematological features included leucocytosis, polycythaemia, and thrombocytopenia. Co-existing thrombotic and haemorrhagic phenomena included anaemia, thrombocytopenia, neurological abnormalities, and renal impairment. The patient was positive for antinuclear antibodies, anti-dsDNA antibodies, anti-ssDNA antibodies, anti-Sm antibodies, anti-RNP antibodies, anti-SSB antibodies, and anti-PM/1.5 antibodies. The patient was negative for anti-cardiolipin antibodies and anti-beta2-GP1 antibodies.

The pathophysiology of BSCR is unclear; therefore the role of antioxidants in reversing the damage caused by BSCR is purely speculative. In this case it is unclear whether the improvement in visual function, recorded with WF-mFERG was spontaneous, whether it was a late result of previous conventional treatment, or whether it was secondary to the commencement of a complex non-prescribed antioxidant preparation. The role of antioxidant treatment in BSCR needs further appraisal, perhaps using the HLA-A29 transgenic mouse, which has been shown to spontaneously develop a retinopathy that is histologically very similar to that found in BSCR.6

Central retinal venous occlusion with co-existent thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and antiphospholipid syndrome

Central retinal venous occlusion usually occurs in elderly patients with known risk factors such as raised intraocular pressure, arterial hypertension, atherosclerotic disease, or diabetes mellitus. In addition, central retinal venous occlusion infrequently occurs in individuals with hyperviscosity from leukaemia, polycythaemia, Waldenstrom’s macroglobulinaemia, sickle cell disease, and periphlebitis.7 However, it has also been described in patients with antiphospholipid antibodies and rarely in patients with thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) (pentalogy of fever, microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia, thrombocytopenia, neurological abnormalities, and renal impairment).6 We describe central retinal venous occlusion in a 26 year old female patient with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), in whom both TTP and antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) appear to have contributed to the pathogenesis. To our knowledge, co-existence of TTP and APS has not been previously reported in a case of central retinal venous occlusion.
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with Evans' syndrome (combined autoimmune haemolytic anaemia and immune thrombocytopenia). Tests for APS were not performed. The patient responded to corticosteroids and azathioprine, and aspirin was withdrawn. After a week's therapy with cryoprecipitate poor fresh frozen plasma (FFP) twice daily was started. The patient responded to corticosteroids, blood transfusion and, for the presumptive diagnosis of immune thrombocytopenia, aspirin and cryoprecipitate poor FFP led to control of APS and TTP, the severe visual impairment due to central retinal venous occlusion failed to recover.

P T Murphy
Department of Haematology, Royal Shrewsbury Hospital, Shrewsbury, UK
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Department of Ophthalmology
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Further considerations of retinopathy with renal failure

We were interested to read the letter by Patel et al reporting the unusual and striking fundus appearance of retinal arterial calcification in association with chronic renal failure. However, we feel that two important comment, which merit further discussion.
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Comments on myopia in secondary school students in Tanzania

I refer to the interesting article by Wedner et al published recently in the BJO. The authors have concluded, without enough justification, that “an eye screening programme for significant refractive errors is indicated in this population.” I believe more data are required for such a conclusion.

Wedner et al could have reported the true positive predictive rate for the detection of myopia using the stated referral criterion of “worse than 6/12” on the Snellen visual acuity chart: 141/174 or 81% (95%CI: 74 to 86%). However, they have referred to the prevalence of “significant” myopia being 141/2511 or 5.6%.

In epidemiological convention it is incorrect to apply this denominator and report this prevalence rate because the reference standard for the determination of refractive errors—that is, refraction, has not been performed in the majority of the 2511 students. The positive predictive value of a screening test is influenced by the prevalence of the condition to be screened (that is, myopia). Nevertheless, without experimental data on the false negative rate of the screening test (that is, myopia), the actual prevalence cannot be determined given the study design. In a study conducted in Singapore, for example, each one of the 1003 children in the sample has been subjected to refraction as well as the screening test (visual acuity). With a cut-off criterion of logMAR 0.30, the positive predictive value was as high as 95.4% (95%CI: 92.6 to 97.2), but the actual prevalence of myopia was only 33.8% (95%CI: 30.9 to 36.8). At least one of the studies cited by Wedner et al has employed refraction in the entire study sample and this may very well be a reason for the previously reported relatively higher myopia prevalence rates (in the true sense of the word).

In the discussion section of the paper, it was assumed without justification, that only the “lower degrees of hyperopia” would be undetected by their visual acuity test. In fact, screening for all types of refractive errors could escape the best compromise threshold on visual acuity testing. If a subject could pass the visual acuity criterion on one occasion, one should not assume that he is not myopic or even, to have such low myopia that he is functionally normal.

L Tong
11, 3rd Hospital Avenue, Singapore 168751; louistong@hotmail.com
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Left handed non-dominant hand phacoemulsification

We appreciate the commendable work by Kageyama et al, which was published in the BJO.1 We have also studied the results of left handed non-dominant hand phacoemulsification prospectively, performed in left eyes of 29 patients with an immature senile cataract and against the rule astigmatism. Phacoemulsification was performed by an experienced surgeon (RBV) under peribulbar anaesthesia. The surgeon sat at the head end of the patient while performing these operations (on left eyes). In all the cases, a 3.2 mm clear corneal temporal incision was made with a diamond knife held in the left hand of the surgeon. Following a continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis and hydroprocedures a phaco chop nucleus technique was performed using Storz Protegé phaco machine. The chopper was held in the right hand of the surgeon and inserted from the 12 o’clock side port and the phaco probe was held in the left hand of the surgeon and introduced from the main incision on the temporal side. This was followed by automated irrigation aspiration and implantation of an Acrysof foldable intraocular lens (Alcon MA 60 BA) in the capsular bag.

In our study of 29 patients the mean age of the patients was slightly younger, 63.50 (SD 9.61) years (range 43–80 years), than patients of Kageyama et al. Out of 29 eyes, nucleus hardness was grade 3 or more in 17 eyes in our series. It would be worthwhile to know the nuclear hardness in the series reported by Kageyama et al as the manoeuvres in hard cataract may be more difficult to perform with the non-dominant hand.

The mean ultrasound power used in our cases was 25.7% (9.5%) and the mean effective phacoemulsification time was 11 (11) seconds. No posterior capsular tear or vitreous loss was present in our cases and this could be attributed to the fact that an experienced surgeon performed the surgery. However, there were any anterior capsular tears noted by Kageyama et al, which occurred in two eyes in our series during the chopping procedure? Nevertheless, none of these cases had a posterior extension of the tear with vitreous loss. Twenty seven eyes (93.1%) achieved a best corrected visual acuity of 20/20 at 4 weeks after the surgery, while two eyes had a best corrected visual acuity of 20/40 because of the presence of age related macular degeneration. It would be also worthwhile to know the amount of astigmatism preoperatively and postoperatively reported by Kageyama et al, as one of the advantages of a temporal incision phaco is less surgically induced astigmatism.2 The mean preoperative keratometric ATR astigmatism was 0.54 (0.44) D (range 0.25 to 2 D) and the mean postoperative ATR astigmatism was 0.25 (0.64) D (range –0.75 to 1.75D) (Wilcoxon signed rank test, p = 0.0267) in our series. We had a similar mean endothelial loss of 6.02% (+44) for nuclear management, as the chopping procedure? Nevertheless, none of these cases could be attributed to the fact that an experienced surgeon could be replaced by dominant-handed technicians. I know which hand I would rather my surgeon use.

T R G Poole
Meansfields Eye Hospital, City Road, London EC1V 2PD, UK; trgp@fsh.co.uk
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Left handed non-dominant hand phacoemulsification

This interesting paper seems to lack one essential attribute—common sense. To operate “from the top” with the non-dominant hand in the temporal position surely does not equate to a comfortable operating position for the surgeon. A better and more practical solution, to avoid moving equipment around between cases, is to book only right eyes on one operating list, and left eyes on another.

We have to be careful not to trivialise an operation that is becoming faster and faster to perform as ultrasound and lens technology improves. Perhaps non-dominant handed surgeons could be replaced by dominant-handed technicians. I know which hand I would rather my surgeon use.

T R G Poole
Meansfields Eye Hospital, City Road, London EC1V 2PD, UK; trgp@fsh.co.uk

Monitoring cataract surgical outcomes

The latest issue of Community Eye Health (No 44) discusses the monitoring of cataract surgical outcomes in the Third World. For further information please contact: Journal of Community Eye Health, International Centre for Eye Health, Institute of Ophthalmology, 11–43 Bath Street, London EC1V 9EL, UK (tel: +44 (0)20 7608 6910; fax: +44 (0)20 7250 3207; email: eyeresources@ucl.ac.uk; website: www.jche.co.uk). Annual subscription (4 issues) UK£235/US$40. Free to workers in developing countries.

International Centre for Eye Health

The International Centre for Eye Health has published a new edition of the Standard List of Medicines, Equipment, Instruments and Optical Supplies (2001) for eye care services in developing countries. It is compiled by the Task Force of the International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness. Further details: Sue Stevens, International Centre for Eye Health, 11–43 Bath Street, London EC1V 9EL, UK
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NOTICES
Second Sight
Second Sight, a UK based charity whose aims are to eliminate the backlog of cataract blind in India by the year 2020 and to establish strong links between Indian and British ophthalmologists, is regularly sending volunteer surgeons to India. Details can be found at the charity website (www.secondsight.org.uk) or by contacting Dr Lucy Mathen (lucymathen@yahoo.com).

Specific Eye Conditions (SPECS)
Specific Eye Conditions (SPECS) is a not for profit organisation which acts as an umbrella organisation for support groups of any condition or syndrome with an integral eye disorder. SPECS represents over fifty different organisations related to eye disorders ranging from conditions that are relatively common to very rare syndromes. We also include groups who offer support of a more general nature to visually impaired and blind people. Support groups meet regularly in the Boardroom at Moorfields Eye Hospital to offer support to each other, share experiences, and explore new ways of working together. The website www.eyeconditions.org.uk acts as a portal giving direct access to support groups’ own sites. The SPECS web page is a valuable resource for professionals and may also be of interest to people with a visual impairment or who are blind. For further details about SPECS contact: Kay Parkinson, SPECS Development Officer (tel: +44 (0)1803 524238; email: k@eyeconditions.org.uk; web site: www.eyeconditions.org.uk).

The British Retinitis Pigmentosa Society
The British Retinitis Pigmentosa Society (BRPS) was formed in 1975 to bring together people with retinitis pigmentosa and their families. The principle aims of BRPS are to raise funds to support the programme of medical research into an eventual cure for this hereditary disease, and through the BRPS welfare service, help members and their families cope with the everyday concerns caused by retinitis pigmentosa. Part of the welfare service is the telephone help line (+44 (0)1280 860 363), which is a useful resource for any queries or worries relating to the problems retinitis pigmentosa can bring. This service is especially valuable for those recently diagnosed with retinitis pigmentosa, and all calls are taken in the strictest confidence. Many people with retinitis pigmentosa have found the Society helpful, providing encouragement and support through the help line, the welfare network, and the BRPS branches throughout the UK. (tel: +44 (0)1280 821 334; email: lynda@brps.demon.co.uk; web site: www.brps.demon.co.uk)

Surgical Eye Expeditions International
Volunteer ophthalmologists in active surgical practice are needed to participate in short term, sight restoring eye surgery clinics around the world. Contact: Harry S Brown, Surgical Eye Expeditions International, 27 East De La Guerra, C-2, Santa Barbara, CA 93101-9858, USA (tel: +805 963 3303; fax: +805 963 3564; email: hsbrown.md@cox.net or seeintl@seeintl.org; web site: www.seeintl.org).

Detachment Course with international faculty on: Retinal and Vitreous Surgery with Case Presentations preceding the Annual Meeting of Iranian Society of Ophthalmology
The detachment course with international faculty on: Retinal and Vitreous Surgery with Case Presentations preceding Annual Meeting of Iranian Society of Ophthalmology will be held on 29–30 November 2003 and 1–4 December 2003 respectively, at the Razi Conference Center, Hemmat Hyw, Tehran, Iran. Further details: Scientific programme: Prof Ingrid Kreissig, University of Tuebingen, Schleichstr. 12, Breuningerbau, 72076 Tuebingen, Germany (tel: +49 7071 295209; email: ingrid.kreissig@med.uni-tuebingen.de.) Local organisation: Dr Arman Masheyekhi, Dr Siamak Moradian, Dept of Ophthalmology, Labbafinejad Medical Center, Pasdaran Ave, Boostan 9, Tehran, 16666, Iran (fax: +98 21 254 9039; email: labbali@hotmail.com).
Orbital haemorrhage complication following postoperative thrombolysis

J K Leong, R Ghabrial, P J McCluskey and S Mulligan

Br J Ophthalmol 2003 87: 655-656
doi: 10.1136/bjo.87.5.655

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://bjo.bmj.com/content/87/5/655

These include:
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