Ophthalmological manifestations in segmental neurofibromatosis type 1


Aims: To study the ophthalmological manifestations in individuals with the typical features of neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) circumscribed to one or more body segments, usually referred to as segmental NF1.

Methods: Visual acuity and colour tests, visual field examination, slit lamp biomicroscopy of the anterior segment, and a detailed examination of the retina by indirect ophthalmoscopy were performed at diagnosis and follow up in 72 consecutive subjects (29 males, 43 females; aged 1–64 years; mean age 14.6 years) seen at the university departments of paediatrics in Catania and Rome, Italy, during years 1990–2003, who had in restricted body areas: (1) typical pigmentary manifestations of NF1 (café au lait spots and freckling) only (n = 48); (2) NF1 pigmentary manifestations and neurofibromas alone (n = 2); (3) neurofibromas only (n = 15); and (4) plexiform neurofibromas only (n = 7).

Results: None of the 72 patients had Lisch nodules in the iris irrespective of age at eye examination or hypertelorism (a ‘minor’ NF1 feature) and none developed typical associated ophthalmological NF1 complications. An additional child had an isolated optic pathways glioma (OPG), which behaved both biologically and radiographically as an NF1 associated OPG.

Conclusions: This represents the first systematic study reporting on eye involvement in the largest series of individuals at different ages having segmental NF1. As one of the postulated mechanisms to explain segmental NF1 is somatic mosaicism for the NF1 gene (so far demonstrated only in two patients) the present findings could be explained either by the fact that the eye is too far from the mutated area with NF1 lesions in most cases or by the NF1 (or other ‘predisposing’ or ‘cooperating’) gene mutation restricted to too few cellular clones or to tissues embryologically different from the eye.

Subjects and Methods

The neurofibromatoses clinics at the departments of paediatrics of the universities of Catania (southern Italy) and Rome (central Italy) were established in 1990 and 1998, respectively, as consultation services primarily to assist in the diagnosis and management of the different forms of neurofibromatosis in children. Children (and also adults), have been referred to the clinics by paediatricians and adult physicians, geneticists, dermatologists, ophthalmologists, neurologists, general practitioners, and through the local and national neurofibromatosis associations because of: (1) a form of neurofibromatosis suspected as a result of presence of pigmentary lesions, cutaneous or subcutaneous nodules, or specific problems related to neurofibromatosis; (2) a previous diagnosis of NF1, NF2 or other forms of neurofibromatosis; (3) a first degree relative affected by neurofibromatosis. The clinics cater for families with neurofibromatosis primarily from the eastern provinces of Sicily (population of approximately 2.6 million inhabitants out of the six million inhabitants of the region Sicily) and the region of Rome (populations of approximately 2.9 million inhabitants), with additional referrals from other Italian regions.

From January 1990 to December 2003, 730 individuals from 412 families were evaluated at these clinics, of whom 600 had been referred and fully assessed for specific concerns regarding a suspect NF1. Overall, 388 out of the 600 had NF1 according to the NIH criteria13 revised15 (218 males, 170...
Clinical features and inclusion criteria for the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>No of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Café au lait spots and freckling only</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&gt; hyperpigmented background in involved area)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurofibromas only</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest region (skin)</td>
<td>5/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest region (major thoracic nerves)</td>
<td>3/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal region (skin)</td>
<td>4/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limb(skin + major nerves)</td>
<td>3/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Café au lait spots and freckling + neurofibromas</td>
<td>2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&gt; hyperpigmented background in involved area)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plexiform neurofibromas only</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalp temporal/occipital region</td>
<td>3/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal region</td>
<td>2/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic region</td>
<td>2/7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 woman also had an NF1 complication (that is, plexiform neurofibroma) (see fig 1A).

RESULTS

The localised NF1 manifestations in our study group fell into three main clinical subgroups: (1) pigmentation anomalies (café au lait spots and freckling) with (fig 1A) or without neurofibromas (n = 50); (2) (dermal and/or nodular) neurofibromas only (n = 15) (fig 1B); and (3) plexiform neurofibromas only (n = 7).

Clinical and ophthalmological examination of the probands revealed no NF1 pigmentary lesions (café au lait spots and/or freckling) or neurofibromas in the periorbital region or the eyelids. In the 15 patients who had neurofibromas only these were restricted to specific body areas (see table 1). Seven patients had plexiform neurofibromas as their only NF1 manifestations localised either under the scalp of the temporal or occipital regions (n = 3) sparing the skull bone including the orbit as revealed by computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the head or the
abdominal (n = 2) and pelvic regions (n = 2). We did not observe ptosis, exophthalmos, or buphthalmos at any stage of our diagnostic examination and at follow up. Lisch nodules were absent in probands and their relatives. Five parents (two fathers, three mothers) had dark brown naevi localised in the iris.

Funduscopy revealed no anomalies in the probands examined. Notably, out of the 72 patients 15 children (aged 3–11 years, average 7 years 4 months) and five adults (aged 35 and 67 years) with localised manifestations of NF1 were initially suspected—elsewhere in Italy—as having full blown NF1 and were enrolled in the full NF1 screening protocol used before 1995 in Italy which included, besides clinical and ophthalmological examination, heart and abdominal ultrasound, electroencephalographic examination (EEG), and brain MRI. These investigations all gave normal results. Three further children in this series after being diagnosed as having segmental NF1 had either episodes of waxing and waning vertigo or acute headache and were admitted to wards where a brain MRI scan was performed yielding normal results.

A 9 year old child was referred to the department in Catania at age 4 for progressive visual acuity deficits. Upon general examination at first referral he had no pigmented or nodular lesions and his general examination was normal. Weight, length and head circumference were at the 50th percentile. Neurological examination revealed an alert boy of normal intelligence. Visual acuity was 6/10 in the right eye and 8/10 in the left eye. The Farnsworth 100 colour test and the computerised visual field evaluation with Humphrey perimeter revealed a yellow-blue deficit in both eyes. Funduscopy showed enlarged retinal vessels with a grade 1 papilloedema. T1 weighted images at MRI of the brain showed distortion of the normal architecture and enlargement of both optic nerves (fig 2) and the chiasm; enlarged periorbital spaces with signal intensity identical to cerebrospinal fluid which did not enhance after gadolinium administration. After a 5 year follow up the visual acuity ameliorated and the MRI lesions remained stable with mild involution at his last MRI control (at age 9 years).

No NF1 gene deletions, mutations, or microlesions have been detected so far.

**DISCUSSION**

The calculated prevalence of segmental NF1 in the general population is one in 36 000–40 000 individuals, or 0.0027%.\(^6\) \(^9\) \(^10\) \(^14\) Clinically, affected patients manifest their NF1 features in a restricted area varying from one segment to one half of the body or more than one involved segment of the body on both sides of the midline either in a symmetrical or asymmetrical arrangement.\(^6\) \(^14\) What is important to understand is that segmental NF1 should not be regarded as a separate form of neurofibromatosis but as a localised phenotype of NF1.\(^7\) \(^17\) \(^18\) \(^24\)

Age at presentation of NF1 lesions in this series varied according to the presence of pigmentation anomalies only (birth to 2 years of age) or neurofibromas alone (from around puberty to young adulthood). Affected individuals manifested their NF1 features in exactly the same way as when they occur in the full blown disease. Despite that, none of the patients in this study group had typical NF1 ophthalmological manifestations, including eye complications, regardless of age at examination. It was of interest that the age spanning of this series included the ages most at risk for manifesting the typical NF1 ophthalmological features (for example, Lisch nodules) or NF1 ophthalmological complications (for example, orbital or intracranial plexiform neurofibromas associated or not with dysplasia of greater wing of sphenoid (1–2 years of age) and optic pathway gliomas (4–9 years of age)).\(^15\) \(^16\) Despite this age span and the relatively long follow up in this group and the full screening protocol by means of eye ultrasound and head MRI performed in some children in this group, we could not find any typical NF1 ophthalmological complications. Furthermore, most of these patients were at the age of having already developed the major pigmentary lesions of the disease\(^15\) \(^16\) \(^2\) and therefore the lack of café au lait spots and/or freckling in (restricted areas of) the eyelid and the orbit were also significant.

Lisch nodules were not encountered in the present series regardless of age at eye examination. Lisch nodules have been reported in isolated cases—when the eye was specifically searched for—in previous segmental NF1 series and were not always unilateral or ipsilateral to the side of NF1 manifestations (reviewed in Huson and Ruggieri\(^7\) and Ruggieri and Huson\(^7\)).\(^2\) Although it is difficult to find a reasonable explanation for these phenomena it can be postulated that this probably occurs because the eye is too far from the mutated area with NF1 lesions in most cases or because the NF1 (or other “predisposing” or “cooperating” gene) mutation affects tissues or clones different from the eye. Notably, however, patients with manifestations of NF1 restriction to the face only reported in previous studies\(^6\) \(^14\) (for example, patients having either plexiform neurofibromas or cutaneous and/or nodular neurofibromas of the orbital region or the face as their only NF1 manifestations) had no Lisch nodules regardless of age at eye examination.\(^7\) \(^14\) There are also two families (Tenconi R, personal communication, 1996 and Riccardi and Lewis\(^7\)) with parents having unilateral or bilateral Lisch nodules (that is, only one major diagnostic criterion for NF1) whose sons had generalised NF1. One may question the specificity of iris nodules in NF1 as these may (even though rarely) occur in individuals who do not have neurofibromatosis (or at least do not have additional evidence of neurofibromatosis).\(^20\) \(^21\) However, though not pathognomonic for neurofibromatosis, iris nodules occur predominantly in individuals with NF1 (90–100% of adults with NF1). Therefore, it might be assumed\(^7\) \(^14\) that individuals with isolated iris nodules and offspring with NF1 (or people with localised features of NF1 and Lisch nodules) may have NF1 (or other “predisposing” or “cooperating”) gene mutations limited to restricted body areas or specific organs or parts of organs (including, or not, the gonads).

Previous cases of typical NF1 ophthalmological complications in the setting of segmental NF1 have been reported in the literature—optic pathway gliomas or orbital plexiform
parents with localised manifestations of NF1 had children either with full blown or with localised disease. At present there are no means to exclude, in a given individual with localised manifestations of NF1, involvement of both somatic and gonadal tissue and, therefore, the exact level of risk is not definable with current knowledge. Studies of pigmentary anomalies in chimeric mice suggest the degree of gonadal involvement might be proportional to the area of skin involved. However, even though the segment of the body affected is distant from the gonads, there can be gonadal involvement with the chance of transmitting the full blown or segmental disease to offspring. Therefore, the presence or lack of Lisch nodules in the setting of segmental NF1 (and we would add in any case) should not be considered a predictor for transmission of NF1 to offspring.

In conclusion, even though ocular manifestations of NF1, including Lisch nodules and NF1 ophthalmological complications, have been reported in previous isolated cases of segmental NF1 we could not confirm these findings in the present systematic study. Whatever figures we take, this means that eye involvement is much less frequent than expected in patients with generalised disease and, therefore, close ophthalmological monitoring in the setting of segmental NF1 could be unnecessary. Furthermore, as the risk of typical NF1 eye complications in segmental NF1 is extremely low the use of neuroimaging screening may no longer be warranted.
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