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Is screening for interferon retinopathy in hepatitis C justified?

F M Cuthbertson, M Davies, M McKibbin

Background/aim: In the treatment of hepatitis C, the National Institute for Clinical Excellence advocates use of a combination of interferon alfa and ribavirin for selected patients. Retinopathy is a well recognised side effect of interferon therapy and is characterised by retinal haemorrhages, cotton wool spots, and macular oedema. The aim of this study was to document the incidence and natural history of the retinopathy in patients treated with a long acting (pegylated) interferon and ribavirin for hepatitis C and to assess the need to screen for retinal complications.

Methods: All patients started on treatment from September 2002 to August 2003 were invited to participate in the study. The past medical and ocular history, visual symptoms, and the results of a full ophthalmological assessment performed 3 months after starting treatment were noted. Any patient with retinal changes was followed up at 3 month intervals until the changes resolved.

Results: Of the 25 patients examined, four had evidence of retinopathy including deep retinal haemorrhage and cotton wool spots. Two of the patients were diabetic and one hypertensive. None had any visual symptoms and in all four the retinopathy resolved while the patients completed their course of treatment.

Conclusions: The incidence of retinopathy with pegylated interferon is low. The retinal complications resolve while treatment is continued and are asymptomatic. This study does not support routine screening for retinopathy in patients treated with pegylated interferon and ribavirin for hepatitis C.

Hepatitis C is a blood borne RNA virus that can cause chronic liver disease. In the United Kingdom, the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) advocates treatment with a combination of interferon alfa and ribavirin for patients with moderate to severe hepatitis C infection. Treatment is recommended for all adults who have not had previous interferon alfa monotherapy, as well as for those who have had previous treatment leading to an initial response and have then relapsed.

Interferon associated retinopathy was first described in 1990 by Ikebe et al. Features include haemorrhages and cotton wool spots around the disc and through the posterior pole, optic disc hyperaemia, and macular oedema. In addition, fluorescein angiography may demonstrate poorly perfused areas of retina. The reported incidence of retinopathy as a side effect of interferon varies widely in the literature. There is some evidence to suggest that the incidence of the retinopathy may be dose dependent. Treatment regimens with subcutaneous doses of interferon varying from \(3 \times 10^6\) units three times a week to \(9 \times 10^6\) units six times a week have been used for the hepatitis viruses, with higher doses used in the treatment of various malignancies. Case series and reports have shown an increased incidence of retinopathy in patients on higher and more frequent doses.

Recently, a long acting form of interferon alfa has been developed, known as pegylated or polyethylene glycol conjugated interferon. This drug has slower absorption, a reduced volume of distribution, and lower elimination rates, as well as showing a more sustained virological response. Plasma levels of the drug are kept more stable, with a lower peak level. The local treatment protocol in our area uses pegylated interferon in combination with ribavirin. This encompasses a dose of 1.5 \(\mu\)g/kg of pegylated interferon, with an average 70 kg person receiving 105 \(\mu\)g per week. The dose of ribavirin used is also weight dependent with a total of 800 mg split over the day for people weighing 30–64 kg, 1000 mg for 65–86 kg, and 1200 mg over 86 kg.

There are no previous reports in the literature relating to the incidence and severity of ocular side effects in patients treated with this regimen. Given the apparent dose dependent nature of interferon associated retinopathy and the altered pharmacokinetics of pegylated interferon, the aims of this study were to document the incidence and natural history of interferon associated retinopathy, to record any associated visual symptoms or systemic conditions, and to assess whether screening for this complication would be worthwhile.

METHODS

Patients started on combination treatment with pegylated interferon and ribavirin for hepatitis C during the study period were referred for ophthalmic examination by the hepatology team. Patients were normally examined by an ophthalmologist (FC) as close to 3 months after starting their treatment as possible. Patients with new visual symptoms were also seen sooner. Those patients with evidence of retinopathy at the 3 month stage were followed up at 3 month intervals until the retinopathy resolved. No changes to the interferon and ribavirin treatment regimen were made for these patients.

Full ocular and past medical histories were taken, and ocular examination was performed. This consisted of visual acuity assessment, slit lamp examination, applanation tonometry, and dilated fundal examination. Fundal photographs to document any abnormal findings were taken where appropriate. Full blood count parameters, blood pressure, and viral genotype were also recorded.

RESULTS

Between August 2002 and August 2003 32 patients were referred for screening, of whom 25 attended for ophthalmic assessment. All the patients were on treatment with pegylated interferon alfa and ribavirin according to the local protocol. There were 15 men and 10 women, with ages ranging from 26 to 73 years (see table 1). Of the seven
patients who did not attend for ophthalmological assessment, one died, two had their treatment stopped for unrelated reasons, and four failed to attend despite a number of appointments being offered.

At the 3 month examination, four of the 25 patients (16%) had evidence of retinopathy consisting of cotton wool spots and/or haemorrhages (fig 1). This was bilateral in three patients and unilateral in one. None of these patients had macular oedema, disc changes or visual symptoms. Of the patients reporting visual symptoms, none of these were attributable to interferon retinopathy.

Diabetes mellitus was present in two of the 25 patients examined, both of whom had retinopathy. Systemic hypertension was present in four of the 25 patients, but only one had retinopathy. Full blood count abnormalities (haemoglobin ≤10 g/dl, white cell count ≤4 or platelets ≤100) were present in eight out of the 25 patients, only one of whom developed retinopathy. At the time of the 3 month examination when the retinopathy was noted, both the haemoglobin and platelets were back within normal limits. In the 25 patients examined, the most common viral genotype was 3a. The genotypes of the hepatitis C virus in affected patients were type 1 in one patient, type 2 in one patient, and type 3a in the remaining two.

For the four patients with retinopathy at the 3 month visit, further visits were arranged. After an additional 3 months,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Full blood count abnormalities</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Viral genotype</th>
<th>Retinopathy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Low Hb</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Low platelets</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Low platelets, low WCC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes, both eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Low WCC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Low platelets</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Low Hb</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes, both eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Low Hb, low WCC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Yes, both eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Yes, left eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Low Hb</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hb, haemoglobin; WCC, white cell count.

Table 1  Summary of patient details and findings

Figure 1  Retinopathy seen in patient 1 (A, B), patient 2 (C), and patient 3 (D).
the retinopathy had disappeared in all patients except one, whose retinopathy had gone by 9 months after the initiation of treatment. All patients completed their planned courses of treatment without any dosage alteration.

DISCUSSION
Ocular side effects are well recognised complications of interferon and ribavirin therapy for hepatitis C, with the most common of these being an ischaemic retinopathy characterised by haemorrhages and cotton wool spots. Other features include optic disc hyperaemia and macular oedema. The incidence of reported retinopathy in patients on treatment with interferon for hepatitis viruses in the literature is very variable, with figures from fairly small scale studies ranging from 18% to 86%. Some of these studies have suggested that the retinopathy may be dose dependent or more common in patients with diabetes, hypertension, and abnormal full blood count parameters. It is notable that many of the previous studies looking at incidence of retinopathy were carried out with patients on high doses of interferon ranging from $6 \times 10^6$ to $10 \times 10^6$ units three times a week, which would be associated with high peak concentrations in tissues. Previous recommended treatment protocols have been based on the use of standard interferon alfa with ribavirin. They suggest that all patients receive 6 months of treatment initially, with a further 6 months offered to those with the type 1 viral genotype who have shown a good initial response to treatment with clearance of the virus. The dose of interferon used in standard treatment is 3 million $(3 \times 10^6)$ units subcutaneously three times a week. Following the recent availability of pegylated interferon, local treatment protocols have been adapted to use this. The advantages include less frequent injections, improved bioavailability but a lower total dose.

The incidence of retinopathy in our patient population was four out of 25 (16%), which is lower than in any of the previously published studies. None of the four patients with retinopathy were symptomatic. In each case, the retinopathy resolved without long term sequelae. No patient had premature termination or alteration of their treatment regimen. Looking at the possible risk factors for developing interferon associated retinopathy, the presence of diabetes mellitus and systemic hypertension in this and other studies is similar. In this study, both the diabetic patients developed retinopathy but the number of cases is small. We found no convincing association of retinopathy with abnormal full blood count parameters or viral genotype.

Although the incidence of interferon associated retinopathy may be dose dependent, the exact mechanism by which this retinopathy develops remains unknown. The similarity of the clinical features to diabetic and hypertensive retinopathy suggests an ischaemic mechanism. Others have suggested that the deposition of immune complexes in the retinal vasculature leads to capillary non-perfusion and the formation of cotton wool spots.

By carrying out just one basic screening assessment at 3 months after starting the treatment it could be argued that there is potential to miss patients with retinal changes. Previous case series have commented on the time of onset of retinopathy in relation to the start of treatment. Most report onset of the retinopathy in the first 8–12 weeks following the start of treatment with just a few noticing retinopathy later. Our feeling was that there was sufficient evidence in previous studies to point to the most likely time for retinopathy to develop as being within the first 3 months, and that any earlier complications were unlikely to have resolved by then. It would theoretically be possible to miss earlier retinopathy that had remained asymptomatic and had resolved by the 3 month visit, but this is not likely to be of any clinical significance. Changes at a later date with a normal 3 month examination were unlikely.

This study confirms previous reports that retinopathy is a temporary and asymptomatic complication of interferon therapy, but suggests that the incidence of retinopathy with pegylated interferon is less than with standard interferon. As there are no visual symptoms or sequelae, we feel that routine screening for interferon associated retinopathy is not justified.

Authors’ affiliations
F M Cuthbertson, M Davies, M McKibbin, St James University Hospital, Beckett Street, Leeds LS9 7TF, UK

Correspondence to: Fiona M Cuthbertson, St James University Hospital, Beckett Street, Leeds LS9 7TF, UK; fmcuthbertson@hotmail.com

Accepted 5 April 2004

REFERENCES
Is screening for interferon retinopathy in hepatitis C justified?

F M Cuthbertson, M Davies and M McKibbin

Br J Ophthalmol 2004 88: 1518-1520
doi: 10.1136/bjo.2004.043968

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://bjo.bmj.com/content/88/12/1518

These include:

References
This article cites 18 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at:
http://bjo.bmj.com/content/88/12/1518#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

- Retina (1608)
- Epidemiology (1075)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/