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Do selective topical β antagonists for glaucoma have respiratory side effects?

J F Kirwan, J A Nightingale, C Bunce, R Wormald

Background/aims: Topical β antagonists are prescribed for glaucoma in approximately 500,000 people in the United Kingdom. The authors have previously shown that topical β antagonists are associated with an excess incidence of airways obstruction. They examined whether selective topical β antagonists are associated with excess airways obstruction.

Methods: A historical cohort study was performed to determine the incidence of airways obstruction in subjects with no previous history of airways obstruction, following treatment with topical β antagonists for glaucoma for the period 1993–7. Cases were defined as having received a first prescription of a drug specifically used in the management of airways obstruction.

Results: For selective topical β antagonists 12 of 324 treated subjects developed airways obstruction, compared with 112 of 9094 controls (adjusted hazard rate 3.0 (95% confidence interval 1.6 to 5.4)). For non-selective topical β antagonists, the corresponding figures were 69 of 2321 subjects compared with the same control group (adjusted hazard rate 2.2 (1.6 to 3.0)). There was no significant difference between groups (p = 0.47, χ²), both being associated with a significantly increased risk of airways obstruction.

Conclusion: Selective topical β antagonists do appear to have an excess risk of airways obstruction in this population setting and should be subject to the same prescribing caveats as non-selective topical β antagonists.

Methods

The Mediplus UK primary care database was used to identify subjects using selective and non-selective ophthalmic topical β antagonists for the first time from 1 January 1993 to 31 December 1997. This database contains anonymised general practice records. During this period, 904 653 patients were “active” (active patients are those who were alive and available for the prescription of a drug or a GP consultation up to the end of the study) in the database.

The study was based on a historical cohort. Patients receiving treatment with topical β antagonists were followed from their first GP prescription to 1 December 1999, to identify those who developed airways obstruction. When a subject was identified in the database as having received a prescription for a topical β antagonist, unexposed subjects were selected at random (up to a maximum of four, loosely matched for age). Patients over 30 years of age with no previous diagnosis of airways obstruction or prescription of drugs for the treatment of airways obstruction were included. Validation was performed by inspection of a random sample of 50 full longitudinal records, all of which agreed with the summarised data.

Subjects were considered to have developed airways obstruction when a prescription of a drug used for the management of airways obstruction was instigated (inhaled or oral β₂ agonists, inhaled corticosteroids, theophyllines, and inhaled anti-cholinergics). Incidence rate ratios of disease were calculated, with 95% confidence intervals, at one year. Adjusted analysis was performed using a proportional hazards model with age, sex, use of systemic β antagonists, use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, use of nitrates, smoking, season of presentation, and number of visits to GP after index date as covariates.

RESULTS

From the database, 3358 subjects who had received a first prescription of topical β antagonists during the study period and remained under surveillance for a minimum of 2 years were enumerated (group TBA-A) and 12 258 unexposed subjects (group C). Of the enumerated subjects, 477 had received a selective topical β antagonist (group TBA-S) and 2881 had received an unselective agent (group TBA-U).

Previous history of airways obstruction was present in 153 of group TBA-S (32.1%), 560 of group TBA-U (19.4%), and 1303 of group C (25.8%). Thus, there were 324, 2321, and 9091 subjects with no previous history of airways obstruction for analysis.

Details of subjects in the three groups are shown in table 1. Exposed subjects were slightly older than unexposed subjects (68.6 ± 67.5 years), less likely to smoke and to have used systemic β antagonists in the previous 12 months. Patients in the TBA-S group were very similar to the TBA-U group.

Incidence rate ratio data are shown in table 2. Incident airways obstruction was diagnosed in 12 of 324 TBA-S subjects (3.7%) and 56 of 12 258 TBA-U subjects (0.4%).
subjects (3.7%), 69 of 2321 TBA-U subjects (3.0%), and 112 of 9094 (1.2%) subjects from group C. Both TBA-S and TBA-U subjects had a significantly higher incidence of airways obstruction (odds ratios 3.1 and 2.5 respectively (p<0.001)) than group C, but not significantly different from each other (p = 0.47 ($\chi^2$)).

Results of proportional hazard modelling showed very similar results, with a hazard ratio of 2.96 for TBA-S and 2.22 for TBA-U.

**DISCUSSION**

This study suggests that selective topical β antagonists are associated with an increased risk of airways obstruction in individuals with no previous respiratory history. Furthermore, the magnitude of risk appears similar to that for unselective topical β antagonists. There were insufficient numbers to detect a difference between selective and unselective topical β antagonists in this study. The study had only 40% power to detect a 50% difference in risk between selective and unselective topical β antagonists, but had over 80% power to detect a relative risk of two or more for selective topical β antagonists compared to the control group. These data refute smaller, intervention studies that have suggested that selective topical β antagonists for glaucoma are less likely to have respiratory side effects. Early intervention studies reported that betaxolol (the only commercially available selective topical β antagonist) did not affect spirometry. However, these studies had limited power and used highly selected patient groups. Severe adverse respiratory effects with betaxolol are well described, in both asthmatics and those without a history of respiratory disease. Weinreb et al reported that betaxolol was well tolerated by most subjects with a known history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; however 5% withdrew because of respiratory symptoms. Diggory et al showed improvements in spirometry in subjects switched from an unselective topical β antagonist (timolol) to betaxolol, although a greater improvement appeared to be evident in subjects switched to another antiglaucoma drug. We were interested to examine whether these differences meant that selective agents might be safer than unselective agents in a population setting. The effects considered here pertain to those with no previous history of airways obstruction.

There are several possible limitations of this study. This type of study depends on patients reporting to their GP and the GP making a diagnosis. This may underestimate the prevalence of airways obstruction. Although inhaled corticosteroids may be used in other conditions such as bronchiectasis, their use in these conditions is far less common than their use in asthma and COPD. Reporting bias is also a possibility, although we consider this to be relatively unlikely as a diagnosis of “glaucoma” is not generally associated with “asthma” or “COPD.” In any study such as this there is a potential for confounding and it could be argued that the observed effect of selective topical β antagonists was due to residual confounding—that is, that those individuals prescribed selective drugs were had a degree of respiratory disease sufficient to affect prescribing but not registered on the database. This is unlikely for two reasons. Firstly, we used a broad definition of prior airways obstruction so that patients with “soft” respiratory symptoms would be excluded and combined this with a more demanding definition of incident airways obstruction requiring a prescription. Secondly, such an effect would only have affected part of the population prescribed a selective topical β antagonist as they are also used widely as first line drugs of those prescribed an unselective agent for the first time, 14.4% had some previous record of airways obstruction compared to 20.6% of those prescribed a selective agent. Although this is a statistically significant difference, the magnitude of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Characteristics of subjects and controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control (n = 9091)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years) (SD)</td>
<td>67.54 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient sex</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>4423 (48.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic β antagonists in previous 12 months</td>
<td>8106 (89.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>988 (10.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAID in previous 12 months†</td>
<td>7212 (79.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1882 (20.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrates prescribed</td>
<td>8786 (96.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>308 (3.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7568 (83.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker prescribed</td>
<td>1526 (16.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7212 (79.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8106 (89.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP consultations in the year before index date</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TBA-U = unselective topical β antagonist treated subjects; TBA-S = selective topical β antagonist treated subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Risk of subjects developing new airways obstruction following treatment with unselective and selective topical β antagonist compared to controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of new TBA cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA-U treated subjects</td>
<td>69/2321 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA-S treated subjects</td>
<td>12/324 (3.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TBA-U = unselective topical β antagonist treated subjects; TBA-S = selective topical β antagonist treated subjects.

*p<0.01; **p<0.001.
difference is not very large and the majority of cases had no previous respiratory history. Other confounding effects are also unlikely; apart from IOP and age, the major risk factors for glaucoma are ethnicity and family history of glaucoma. Airways obstruction in the older age group does not have a strong recognised ethnic bias. An inherent weakness in this type of study is that clinical data may not be thoroughly validated. However for prescribing information, the database is likely to be sound and systematic error is unlikely to account for our findings.

In summary, these data suggest that selective topical β antagonists do have respiratory side effects in a population setting and therefore their prescription for glaucoma and ocular hypertension should be subject to the same caveats as all topical β antagonists.
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Oral pilocarpine for Sjögren’s syndrome

Sjögren’s syndrome is an autoimmune disease that affects exocrine glands and causes keratoconjunctivitis sicca. Ocular complications include corneal ulceration, episcleritis, keratitis, and bacterial or viral infection. The use of artificial tears is the mainstay of treatment. It has been suggested that pilocarpine, a cholinergic parasympatheticomimetic agonist, might improve the symptoms of keratoconjunctivitis sicca. Researchers in Greece have used oral pilocarpine with good effect.

Eighty five women (mean age 58 years) with Sjögren’s syndrome were randomised to 12 weeks treatment with oral pilocarpine 5 mg twice daily plus artificial tears, artificial tears only, or occlusion of the inferior lachrymal puncta plus artificial tears. Changes in symptoms were assessed on a 100 mm visual analogue scale (VAS). Symptom improvement was 90% (pilocarpine) vs 30% (artificial tears only), vs 60% (punctal occlusion). Improvement with pilocarpine was significantly better than with punctal occlusion (p<0.05) and highly significantly better than with artificial tears alone (p<0.001). With the rose bengal test improvement was significantly greater in the pilocarpine group than in the other two groups but there were no significant differences between groups with Schirmer’s-1 test. Four of 29 patients on pilocarpine complained of headache and three of those also complained of nausea, vomiting, and sweating. No patient withdrew from the study because of adverse effects of pilocarpine.

Oral pilocarpine may give symptomatic relief for patients with Sjögren’s syndrome.
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