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The relation of volume with outcome in phacoemulsification surgery

M Habib, K Mandal, C V Bunce, S G Fraser

Background/aims: High case volume has been associated with better health outcomes for a variety of procedures and conditions including coronary angioplasty, carotid endarterectomy, colorectal surgery, and various types of cancer surgery. The association of volume and outcome has important implications for patient safety and healthcare delivery planning. The relation between surgical volume and outcome has not, as far as is known, been looked at for phacoemulsification alone.

Methods: All cataract surgery performed from 1996 to 2001 by six consultant surgeons was reviewed. Using theatre logbooks and cross checking with the hospital database, the total number of phacoemulsification procedures performed per surgeon per year was calculated. The total number of operations in which it was judged that significant intraoperative complications occurred was also counted.

Results: When the data were pooled for all the surgeons there was evidence that complication rate decreased over time (Spearman’s rho = -0.319, p = 0.058). If the data were pooled from all the years and all the surgeons then there was strong evidence of a decrease in complication rate with an increase in the number of cases (Spearman’s rho = -0.63, p<0.01).

Conclusions: This study is the first to describe a possible relation between volume of surgery and the outcome (as measured by complication rates) for phacoemulsification. There are however some caveats in that the issue of case mix was not addressed and that the results are from a single unit and may not necessarily be generalisable.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted wholly at Sunderland Eye Infirmary. This is a single specialty ophthalmic hospital geographically separated from the main general hospital. It has its own dedicated ophthalmic operating theatres and the operating theatre staff are not rotated to other departments or sites. Medical records are contained on site which also has the benefit of dedicated audit staff and a specialty specific patient database.

Data were collected retrospectively from the first operation in January 1996 to the final operation in December 2001—that is, six complete calendar years. Entry criteria for the study were:

- Cataract surgery performed with the intention that the nucleus would be removed by phacoemulsification.
- Surgery performed by a consultant ophthalmologist.

A total of 16 975 cases were identified that conformed to these criteria over the 6 years.

The data were collected using hand searching of theatre logbooks for this period of time and cross checking with the hospital database. The data collected and checked from these sources were:

(1) Date of operation
(2) Name of surgeon performing operation
(3) Significant complications that were noted to have occurred during the operation. These consisted of:

(a) Posterior capsule rupture with or without anterior vitrectomy
(b) Loss of nuclear fragments into the vitreous
(c) Sulcus placed posterior chamber lens
(d) Anterior chamber lens implantation.

If more than one of these complications occurred it was noted only as one event.

The data were collated by an experienced ophthalmic trainee and double checked by a consultant ophthalmologist (who was not one of the surgeons operating on the patients).

Results

Six consultant ophthalmologists who removed cataracts with phacoemulsification and who operated throughout the 6 years of the study were included. Table 1 shows the number of phacoemulsifications (phacos) performed and the total number of complications for each surgeon for each year of the study. Table 2 expresses these data as complication percentages per surgeon per year.

When the data were pooled for all the surgeons there was evidence that complication rate decreased over time (Spearman’s rho = -0.319, p = 0.058). If the data was then pooled from all the years and all the surgeons then there was strong evidence of a decrease in complication rate with an
increase in the number of cases (Spearman’s rho = 0.63, p<0.01). Figure 1 compares the number of cases performed in any year and by any surgeon with the percentage complications associated with that number.

We attempted to make comparisons between surgeons by dividing the surgeons into “high” and “low” volume. High volume surgeons were designated as those who performed more than 400 cases per year while low volume was taken as fewer than 400 cases per year (this figure was arrived at by looking at the figures and by comparison with the published literature). This meant that three surgeons were considered high volume and three were considered low volume. In the majority of years there was little overlap between the groups with the difference between those considered low and those considered high usually at least 200 cases. Table 3 compares the average complication rate of high volume surgeons with low volume throughout the study period. This is illustrated in figure 2.

The individual data for different surgeons did not always show a statistically different decrease in complication rate either over time or with increased volume.

DISCUSSION

In modern healthcare systems there will always be financial, patient safety, and legal pressures to assess outcomes. Accurate and valid health outcomes are not easy to evaluate and may, sometimes, only be obtained with unjustifiable expenditure. Data on volume appear a relatively cheap and simple measure of outcomes and for this reason, measuring and understanding the relation between volume and outcome in the delivery of health services has been the focus of much research since the 1980s. Good evidence exists that certain procedures (although not all) show a direct relation between increased volume and improved quality of outcomes—that is, bigger numbers mean better results (mortality and/or morbidity). It has been suggested that there are two possible reasons for this relation:

1. Physicians (and hospitals) develop more effective skills if they treat more patients (practice makes perfect), or
2. Physicians (and hospitals) achieving better outcomes receive more referrals and thus accrue larger volumes (selective referral).

Volume and outcome studies look at either hospital or clinician volume—although a few studies have looked at both. Hospital volume studies are those that compare data for one or more procedures between low and high volume hospitals. Physician or clinician volume studies (such as this study) compare data between high and low volume doctors. The main areas that have been studied are cardiac procedures and cancer treatment but other areas, both medical and surgical, have been explored and there are some examples of these listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Number of phacoemulsifications (phacos) performed and the total number of complications for each surgeon for each year of the study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year</strong></td>
<td><strong>1996</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon</td>
<td>Cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Number of phacos performed and percentage that were complicated for each surgeon and for each year of the study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year</strong></td>
<td><strong>1996</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon</td>
<td>Cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The volume of cataract surgery for each surgeon operating surgeons from a number of hospitals were performed by either standard extracapsular cataract surgery (ECCE) or phacoemulsification. 772 US patients undergoing first eye cataract extraction.

As far as ophthalmology is concerned, there has been only one study that has looked into the possibility of a relation between variations in cataract clinical practice and outcomes. 10 Schein et al conducted a prospective cohort study of 772 US patients undergoing first eye cataract extraction. Operating surgeons from a number of hospitals were involved. The volume of cataract surgery for each surgeon was calculated and stratified as moderate (51–200), high (201–399) or very high (>400), compared, it can be seen that low volume surgeons always had a higher average complication rate than the high volume ones. It is important to note that the absolute risk between high and low volume surgeons would be small and that all surgeons had complications rates within published guidelines. What is more interesting is the lowering of the complication rate as the volume performed in any particular year increased (that is, the surgeons had lower complication rates in years when they performed more cases). This was statistically significant (p<0.01) and is pictorially represented by the bar chart in figure 1 (note: this is for all surgeons and for any year). It is important to realise that this lowering was independent of the year on year improvement and is at least suggestive of a volume/outcome relation.

Our study did not address quality issues such as visual outcome and patient satisfaction (using complication rate as a surrogate for these) but there have been a number of studies which have shown that intraoperative complications tend to be associated with poorer final visual acuity. It is impossible to discuss the relation of volume and outcome without addressing the issue of case mix. Unmeasured differences in patient populations between doctors or hospital with different volumes will result in confounding of the results. Some surgeons may be doing a larger proportion of the more difficult cases than other surgeons. This means they are likely to be doing operations that take more time (so they have a reduced patient volume) and are more likely to have complications (because they are operating on more difficult cases). It is obvious that comparisons of surgeons who do more straightforward operations with those who do more complicated operations will be misleading. Not surprisingly, it has been shown that

### Table 3: Comparison of average complication rate of high volume surgeons (>400 cases per year) with low volume (<400) throughout the study period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High vol surgeon (&gt;400 cases per year)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low volume surgeon (&lt;400 cases per year)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As far as ophthalmology is concerned, there has been only one study that has looked into the possibility of a relation between variations in cataract clinical practice and outcomes. 10 Schein et al conducted a prospective cohort study of 772 US patients undergoing first eye cataract extraction. Operating surgeons from a number of hospitals were involved. The volume of cataract surgery for each surgeon was calculated and stratified as moderate (51–200), high (201–399) or very high (>400), compared, it can be seen that low volume surgeons always had a higher average complication rate than the high volume ones. It is important to note that the absolute risk between high and low volume surgeons would be small and that all surgeons had complications rates within published guidelines. What is more interesting is the lowering of the complication rate as the volume performed in any particular year increased (that is, the surgeons had lower complication rates in years when they performed more cases). This was statistically significant (p<0.01) and is pictorially represented by the bar chart in figure 1 (note: this is for all surgeons and for any year). It is important to realise that this lowering was independent of the year on year improvement and is at least suggestive of a volume/outcome relation.

Our study did not address quality issues such as visual outcome and patient satisfaction (using complication rate as a surrogate for these) but there have been a number of studies which have shown that intraoperative complications tend to be associated with poorer final visual acuity. It is impossible to discuss the relation of volume and outcome without addressing the issue of case mix. Unmeasured differences in patient populations between doctors or hospital with different volumes will result in confounding of the results. Some surgeons may be doing a larger proportion of the more difficult cases than other surgeons. This means they are likely to be doing operations that take more time (so they have a reduced patient volume) and are more likely to have complications (because they are operating on more difficult cases). It is obvious that comparisons of surgeons who do more straightforward operations with those who do more complicated operations will be misleading. Not surprisingly, it has been shown that

### Figure 2: Comparison of average complications for high volume surgeons versus low volume surgeons.
the more volume/outcome data are adjusted for case mix the weaker is the relation between increased volume and improved outcome.12

As far as cataract surgery is concerned, it may be reasonable to assume that case mix is fairly even spread between most surgeons. In our study we did not look at case mix, but experience in the unit suggests that the three high volume surgeons were doing at least as many potentially complicated case as the low volume surgeons. In fact, it may be that the high volume surgeons were doing more of the complicated cases because they were seen as more able to deal with them (selective referral). This would, contrary to most case mix confounding, mean that their overall complication rate, if risk adjusted, would make the results of high volume surgeons look even better. Because of the importance of the effect of case mix, we are addressing it in a follow up study.

If the findings of our study are correct what is the mechanism of lower complications with increased volume of cases? One possibility is the “practice makes perfect” hypothesis in that because the high volume surgeons were doing more case they were simply getting better at doing the operations. A further possibility, that is not mentioned in the literature, is that “better” surgeons (that is, those with fewer complications) are more able (or willing) to do high case volumes. This would of course only hold if the lower volume surgeons were not doing more of the difficult cases. The “selective referral” hypothesis seems the least likely to explain the findings, as in a publicly funded healthcare system such as the NHS, it would be very unusual for a surgeon to have a limit to his/her cataract surgery workload because of lack of referrals.

In conclusion, this study is the first to describe a possible relation between volume of surgery and the outcome (as measured by complication rates) for phacoemulsification. There are however some caveats to add in that the issue of case mix was not addressed and that the results are from a single unit and may not necessarily be generalisable. If other units do have similar results, there are important implications for training as well as for public health policy, health service planning, and, not least, with regard to patient safety.
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