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**Aim:** To investigate the use of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide (IVTA) for the treatment of diabetic macular oedema (DMO) unresponsive to previous laser photocoagulation.

**Method:** A retrospective, interventional, non-comparative case series. There were 30 eyes of 22 consecutive patients with refractory DMO. An intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide at the dose of 4 mg in 0.1 ml was administered. Best corrected visual acuity was measured at each examination. In addition the central macular thickness was quantitatively measured by optical coherence tomography (OCT) examination at each visit. The amount of hard exudates deposition in the macula was subjectively evaluated using colour fundus photographs.

**Results:** 30 eyes of 22 patients completed 6 months or more of follow up and were included in the study. Mean (SD) visual acuity improved from 0.17 (0.12) at baseline to 0.34 (0.18), 0.36 (0.16), and 0.31 (0.17) at the 1, 3, and 6 month follow up respectively. Mean (SD) OCT macular thickness decreased from 476 (98.32) μm at baseline to 277.46 (96.77) μm, 255.33 (95.73) μm, and 331.25 (146.76) μm at the 1, 3, and 6 month follow up period respectively. 12 eyes received two, seven eyes completed 12 months and 18 months of follow up, respectively. The mean (SD) interval between the first and second IVTA injection was 5.7 (2.67) months and between the second and third was 5.7 (3.25) months. Hard exudates were present in the macula at baseline in all eyes. Progressive reduction in the number and size of the hard exudates was noted after IVTA in all cases. Intraocular pressure was raised above 21 mm Hg in 12 (40%) of 30 eyes. Two eyes developed posterior subcapsular cataract and two developed vitreous haemorrhage.

**Conclusions:** IVTA is a promising treatment for patients with DMO refractory to laser treatment. IVTA is effective in improving vision, reducing macular thickness, and inducing reabsorption of hard exudates. Further investigation is warranted to assess the safety of IVTA for the treatment of DMO.

**Abbreviations:** CSMO, clinically significant macular oedema; CMO, cystoid macular oedema; DMO, diabetic macular oedema; ETDRS, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; IVTA, intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide; OCT, optical coherence tomography; PPV, pars plana vitrectomy; PRP, panretinal photocoagulation; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; VH, vitreous haemorrhage
Given the difficulties of treating patients with diffuse macular oedema unresponsive to laser treatment we decided to evaluate whether the use of IVTA might be effective in reducing macular oedema and improving visual acuity. OCT examination was used to assess quantitative changes in the retinal thickness before and after IVTA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
We performed a retrospective chart review of the medical files of consecutive patients diagnosed with CSMO at the Columbia Ophthalmology Consultants practice, in the Edward S Harkness Eye Institute of the New York Presbyterian Hospital, in New York, USA. Thirty eyes of 22 diabetic patients were diagnosed with CSMO according to ETDRS criteria and were treated with IVTA between January 2002 and December 2002. All patients were evaluated and managed by one of three authors (WS, GB, SC). All of the patients were fully informed about the experimental character of the treatment. All of the patients signed an informed consent. In this consecutive series all eyes received at least two previous sessions of laser photocoagulation using ETDRS guidelines; the most recent laser treatment was at least 3 months before IVTA treatment. All eyes considered for IVTA had residual macular thickening on clinical examination with associated visual acuity loss from baseline. The thickening in the fovea measured more than 250 μm by OCT. No eyes had history of ocular hypertension or glaucoma.

IVTA was offered to treat residual macular oedema. At baseline all patients received a complete eye examination inclusive of best corrected visual acuity, applanation tonometry, slit lamp examination, lens status evaluation, dilated fundus examination, and biomicroscopy of the posterior pole with a contact lens.

All patients had baseline OCT measurements of the central macular thickness. For each eye the topographic mapping protocol of the OCT software was used (OCT 2000, and Stratus OCT, Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). This technique has been previously described, but briefly at each visit six consecutive linear OCT scans 3.01 mm in length were obtained at equally spaced angular orientations in a radial spoke pattern centred on fixation. Each of the six tomograms (B-scans) was oriented along a line intersecting the central fovea and contained 100 equally spaced axial profiles (A-scans) of optical reflectivity. Thus, a computer algorithm was used to profile the inner and outer retinal boundaries for each tomogram and retinal thickness was computed automatically.

Since the boundaries were not distinct, a computer algorithm has been developed to profile the inner and outer retinal boundaries for each tomogram. The OCT software also rendered a false colour topographic display. Colour fundus photographs were obtained at baseline and at each follow up visit. Colour fundus photographs were used to evaluate the presence of hard exudates in the macula at baseline and at each follow up visit.

An IVTA injection was performed as here described for all patients. Topical anaesthesia was obtained with tetracaine eye drops, followed by two drops of Ocufluos and 5% povidone-iodine solution. A cotton tip soaked with tetracaine was then held on the conjunctiva 3.5 mm inferotemporal to the limbus for 5 minutes. Triamcinolone acetonide (Kenalog 40; Apothecon, Princeton, NJ, USA) was then injected into the vitreous inferotemporally with a 30 gauge needle at a dose of 4 mg in 0.1 ml. Indirect ophthalmoscopy was used to confirm intravitreal location of the suspension and perfusion of the optic nerve head.

Patients were instructed to attend for follow up after 1 day, 1 week, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months. Patients who noticed a worsening of their vision were examined and if necessary re-treated before the scheduled follow up. Further follow up was then scheduled depending on the recurrence of the macular oedema and on the need to repeat the IVTA treatment. OCT examination was performed at baseline and at each follow up visit for all patients. Potential corticosteroid induced and injection related complications were also observed.

RESULTS
There were eight females and 14 males, for a total of 30 eyes. Mean (SD) age of the patients was 60.41 (11.74) years (median, 62.5 years; range, 37–79 years). Mean (SD) visual acuity was reduced to 0.17 (0.12) (median, 0.16; range 0.032–0.4). Mean (SD) intraocular pressure was 16 (2.77) mm Hg (median, 16 mm Hg; range, 11–21 mm Hg). Mean (SD) OCT macular thickness at baseline was 476 (98.32) μm (median, 504 μm; range, 253–669). Six eyes (20%) were pseudophakic. Two eyes (6%) had previous pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) surgery for non clearing vitreous haemorrhage (VH) and tractional retinal detachment at least 6 months before IVTA. All 30 eyes completed 6 months of follow up, 18 eyes completed 12 months of follow up and seven eyes completed 18 months of follow up. The mean follow up (SD) for all eyes was 11.7 (5.36) months (median, 12 months; range 6–22 months).

At the 1 month follow up mean (SD) visual acuity improved from 0.17 (0.12) at baseline of the study to 0.34 (0.18) (median, 0.36; range 0.05–0.8) (Fig 1). Mean (SD) OCT macular thickness at baseline was 476 (98.32) μm (median, 504 μm; range, 253–669).}

---

**Figure 1** Diagram showing log MAR visual acuity before and 1 month after the first intravitreal injection of 4 mg of triamcinolone acetonide.

**Figure 2** Diagram showing OCT macular thickness before and 1 month after the first intravitreal injection of 4 mg of triamcinolone acetonide.
macular thickness improved from 476 (98.32) μm at baseline of the study to 277.46 (96.77) μm (median, 251.5 μm; range 152–570 μm) (fig 2). At the 3 month follow up mean (SD) visual acuity was 0.36 (0.16) (median 0.37; range 0.1–0.8). Mean (SD) OCT macular thickness was 255.33 (95.73) μm (median, 247.86 μm; range 145–563 μm). At the 6 months follow up mean (SD) visual acuity was 0.31 (0.17) (median 0.32; range 0.05–0.6). Mean (SD) OCT macular thickness was 331.25 (146.76) μm (median 328.54 μm; range 198–705 μm).

In the first 6 months of follow up eight (27%) of 30 eyes experienced a decrease in vision and increase in OCT macular thickness and received a second IVTA injection. Of these eight eyes two received a second IVTA injection 2 months, three eyes 4 months, and three eyes 6 months after the first IVTA injection. Two patients received a second IVTA injection 7 months, one patient 9 months, and one patient 11 months after the first IVTA injection. A total of 12 eyes received two IVTA injections. The mean (SD) interval between the first and second IVTA treatment was 5.7 (2.67) months (median, 6 months; range, 2–11 months).

One month after the second IVTA injection the mean (SD) visual acuity improved from 0.27 (0.15) (median; 0.26; range, 0.05–0.4) to 0.42 (0.25) (median, 0.4; range 0.05–1.00).

Mean (SD) OCT macular thickness improved from 463.72 (115.80) μm (median, 430 μm; range 332–685 μm) to 213.33 (63.97) μm (median, 212 μm; range 147–299 μm). Of the 18 eyes, which completed 12 months of follow up mark mean (SD) visual acuity at the 12 month follow up was 0.36 (0.15) (median 0.36; range 0.1–0.8); mean (SD) OCT macular thickness was 267.91 (85.76) μm (median 253.87 μm; range 177–545 μm). Of the seven eyes, which completed 18 months of follow up two eyes (28%) of the same patient experienced a decrease in visual acuity and increase in OCT macular thickness and required a fourth IVTA injection, one eye 3 months and three eye 4 months after the third IVTA treatment. For these seven eyes at the 18 month follow up mean (SD) visual acuity was 0.35 (0.16) (median 0.36; range 0.1–0.8); mean (SD) OCT macular thickness was 255.58 μm (median 255.58 μm; range 163–456 μm). A graphic representation of the change in visual acuity as a function of total follow up time is presented in figure 3.

Hard exudates were present in the macula at baseline in all eyes. Progressive reduction in the number and size of the hard exudates was noted after IVTA in all cases (figs 4 and 5). During the study period, intraocular pressure was higher than 21 mm Hg in 12 of 30 eyes (40%). In all the eyes, intraocular pressure could be normalised by topical antiglaucomatous medication. Glaucomatous damage to the optic nerve as determined by biomicroscopic examination was not noticed in any eye.

Postoperative infectious endophthalmitis did not occur in any of the study eyes. One patient who received four IVTA injections in both eyes over an 18 month period developed subcapsular cataract reducing the vision in both eyes. One eye underwent uncomplicated cataract surgery. However, no grading of cataract formation in any patients or estimation of the impact of cataract formation on final visual acuity were assessed in this study. Two eyes of two different patients developed VH during the follow up period. In neither case did the occurrence of VH coincide with the intravitreal injections. One of these two eyes required PPV for non-clearing VH; the other eye received panretinal photocoagulation (PRP) after clearing of the VH.

Figure 3 Graphic representation of the change in visual acuity as a function of total follow up time.
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Hard exudates were present in the macula at baseline in all eyes. Progressive reduction in the number and size of the hard exudates was noted after IVTA in all cases (figs 4 and 5). During the study period, intraocular pressure was higher than 21 mm Hg in 12 of 30 eyes (40%). In all the eyes, intraocular pressure could be normalised by topical antiglaucomatous medication. Glaucomatous damage to the optic nerve as determined by biomicroscopic examination was not noticed in any eye.

Postoperative infectious endophthalmitis did not occur in any of the study eyes. One patient who received four IVTA injections in both eyes over an 18 month period developed subcapsular cataract reducing the vision in both eyes. One eye underwent uncomplicated cataract surgery. However, no grading of cataract formation in any patients or estimation of the impact of cataract formation on final visual acuity were assessed in this study. Two eyes of two different patients developed VH during the follow up period. In neither case did the occurrence of VH coincide with the intravitreal injections. One of these two eyes required PPV for non-clearing VH; the other eye received panretinal photocoagulation (PRP) after clearing of the VH.
DISCUSSION

The use of intravitreal corticosteroid was first advocated by Machemer et al for the treatment of proliferative vitreoretinopathy. McCuen et al demonstrated in an experimental rabbit model the lack of ocular toxicity of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide. Their results were in agreement with clinical observation of cases in which corticosteroids were accidentally injected into the eye, and no major toxic reactions were detected. Since then, for decades intravitreal steroids have been used in experimental trials in patients without noticing a significant toxic effect. The exact mechanism of action of corticosteroids in the treatment of macular oedema is unknown. However, the rationale behind their use lies in their ability to inhibit the arachidonic acid pathway, of which prostaglandin is a product. Corticosteroids may also downregulate the production of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Finally, triamcinolone acetonide has been shown experimentally to...
reduce the breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier.\textsuperscript{13} It is accepted that in diabetic macular oedema there is a breakdown of the blood-retina barrier, and that prostaglandins and VEGF may play a part in this process. There is indeed, a theoretic rationale for the use of corticosteroids in the treatment of diabetic macular oedema.

Martidis \textit{et al} recently reported on the use of IVTA at the dose of 4 mg in 0.1 ml for the treatment of refractory diabetic macular oedema.\textsuperscript{31} Sixteen eyes were enrolled in their study, 14 of the 16 eyes completed 1 month follow up, eight of the 16 eyes (50\%) completed 6 months follow up. They found a mean improvement in visual acuity of 2.4, 2.4, and 1.3 Snellen lines at the 1, 3, 6 month follow up intervals, respectively. The central macular thickness as measured by OCT decreased by 55\%, 57.5\%, and 38\% respectively, over these same intervals from an initial pretreatment mean (SD) of 540.3 (96.3) \textmu m. Intraocular pressure exceeded 21 mm Hg in five, three, and one eye respectively, during these intervals. One eye exhibited cataract progression at 6 months. No other complications were noted over a mean follow up of 6.2 months. Re-injection was performed after 6 months in three of eight eyes (37\%), because of recurrence of diabetic macular oedema.

Jonas \textit{et al} reported similar results with the use of IVTA at the dose of 25 mg in 0.2 ml in diabetic patients with diffuse macular oedema.\textsuperscript{32} They enrolled 26 eyes of 20 patients. Mean (SD) follow up was 6.64 (6.10) months. Mean (SD) visual acuity improved from 0.12 (0.08) at baseline to 0.19 (0.14) during follow up. One patient received a second intravitreal injection of 25 mg of triamcinolone acetonide. For the 22 patients for whom fluorescein angiograms were available during follow up, one patient demonstrated worsening of cataract in this series; one eye had cataract surgery. Two patients developed VH and one of them required PPV surgery for non-clearing VH. We thought that the occurrence of the VH was secondary to proliferative diabetic retinopathy and not related to IVTA injection. The occurrence of VH stresses the importance to carefully follow these patients for any sign of development of neovascularisation of the optic disc and/or elsewhere.

This study has several limitations. It is a retrospective study, it is not randomised, and has no control group. Visual acuity was measured on a Snellen chart, as opposed to the more standardised and accepted ETDRS chart. However, to our knowledge this study has a longer follow up than any published study on the use of IVTA for treatment of DMO. The anatomical data were well documented and quantitative assessment of macular thickness by OCT was available for each follow up visit.

IVTA is a promising therapy for patients with diabetic macular oedema refractory to laser treatment. IVTA is effective in improving vision, reducing macular thickness, and inducing reabsorption of hard exudates. Endo- phthalmitis is the most serious complication of IVTA, but fortunately did not occur in this study. Further investigation is warranted to assess the efficacy and safety of IVTA for the treatment of refractory diabetic macular oedema.

\textbf{Authors’ affiliations}

\textbf{A P Ciardella,} Denver Health Medical Center, Denver, CO, USA

\textbf{A P Ciardella,} University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, Denver, CO, USA

\textbf{EJ Klancnik, W Schiff, G Barile, K Langton, S Chang,} Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

None of the authors has any financial interest in the subject matter of the presentation.


Correspondence to: A P Ciardella, MD, Director of Ophthalmology, Denver Health Medical Center, 777 Bannock Street, Mail Code 0156, Denver, CO 80204, USA; Antonio.ciardella@dhha.org

Accepted for publication 19 February 2004.

\textbf{REFERENCES}


