Background: Individuals with acute zonal occult outer retinopathy (AZOOR) present with initially progressive scotomata and photopsia. Characteristically, the extent of the visual field defect is unexplained by fundal examination, but there is marked retinal dysfunction evident electrophysiologically. It is the authors’ experience that a group of patients exhibit characteristic clinical and electrophysiological abnormalities, which serve as criteria for a working diagnosis.

Methods: A retrospective observational case series of 28 patients were identified with the clinical diagnosis of AZOOR who shared similar abnormal electrophysiology. Details of the history and ophthalmic findings were obtained from the case notes.

Results: Electrophysiology demonstrated a consistent pattern of dysfunction both at the photoreceptor/retinal pigment epithelium complex but also at inner retinal levels, essentially comprising a delayed 30 Hz flicker ERG and a reduction in the EOG light rise.

Conclusion: This study determines diagnostic criteria applicable to a group of patients with AZOOR, typically those with classic symptomatology. Electrophysiological testing can help avoid lengthy, costly, and potentially invasive investigations, and the unnecessary use of immunosuppressive therapy.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
All patients were identified retrospectively from the entire cohort of patients undergoing electrophysiological testing with the clinical suspicion of AZOOR. The department is a tertiary referral centre, and the case mix was therefore drawn not only from the local patient catchment area but also included a significant number of regional and supraregional referrals.

The history and results of clinical examination were obtained from the case notes. All individuals underwent electrophysiology performed to incorporate and exceed the ISCEV standards for EOG,2 pattern (PERG),3 and full field ERG.4 In addition, photopic ON/OFF responses5 and S cone specific ERGs6 were assessed in the majority of patients.

Statistical analysis
Data obtained were non-parametrically distributed and are thus expressed in terms of median, range, and 25th–75th interquartile range compared to our normal laboratory range (range +5%).

RESULTS
Patient characteristics
Twenty-eight patients were identified in whom there was a consistent pattern of electrophysiological abnormality. Most were young, generally healthy females (females 24 (86%), males four (14%); median age 43, range 23–75 years) who presented with blurred vision (median best corrected acuity 6/12, range 6/6 to hand movements). In all but one individual, one or more zones of acute visual field loss were documented by automated static threshold perimetry.

Abbreviations: AIBSE, acute idiopathic blind spot enlargement syndrome; AMN, acute macular neuroretinitis; AZOOR, acute zonal occult outer retinopathy; EOG, electro-oculography; ERG, electroretinogram; MCP, multifocal choroiditis with panuveitis; MEWDS, multiple evanescent white dots syndrome; MIC, multifocal inner choroiditis; PERG, pattern ERG; PIC, punctate inner choroiditis; P-POHS, pseudo-presumed ocular histoplasmosis syndrome
Scotomata were most frequently temporal and complete, initially progressive, then persistent, with photopsia typically projected into the major zone of field loss. Fifteen patients (54%) had unilateral symptoms and 13 (46%) bilateral symptoms at presentation. Four initially unilateral cases (14%) subsequently developed symptoms suggestive of AZOOR in the fellow eye.

Relevant ophthalmic findings
Eight patients were myopic. In 13 individuals (46%) there was a previous history of one of the “white dot” syndromes, most frequently MEWDS or PIC. Otherwise, no relevant fundal abnormalities were seen in the early stages of the disease. In those six patients where long term follow up data were available and in whom no evidence of a “white dot” syndrome was present, two were noted to develop a diffuse outer pigmentary retinopathy.

Electrophysiology
Five patients (18%) with unilateral symptomatology were found to have electrophysiological evidence suggestive of bilateral dysfunction. These were considered for the purposes of analysis as bilateral cases. Data are thus presented on 46 eyes.

The characteristic pattern of electrophysiological abnormality is shown in figure 1. Despite the suspicion of only focal retinal involvement symptomatically, and often no fundal abnormality, there was evidence of generalised dysfunction affecting not only the cone system, but also the retinal pigment epithelium as shown by a marked reduction in the light rise of the EOG in the absence of a comparable degree of rod ERG abnormality. The EOG light rise was consistently abnormal; either abolished, subnormal or showing significant relative reduction compared with the fellow eye. The P50 component of the PERG of affected eyes was reduced in amplitude with preservation of the P50:N95 ratio in keeping with macular dysfunction. In the full field ERGs, rod specific ERG amplitudes were often reduced without latency change; standard ERG a-wave and b- wave amplitudes were reduced without latency change; and oscillatory potentials, related to amacrine cell function, were attenuated. In all
affected eyes, the 30 Hz cone flicker response showed implicit time delay, often with associated amplitude reduction. ON and OFF responses, when recorded, were of increased latency; S-cone responses were reduced or poorly formed. Quantification of the anomalies is summarised in Table 1 and figures 2 and 3.

**DISCUSSION**

Acute zonal occult outer retinopathy (AZOOR) is currently a diagnosis made entirely on clinical grounds and is frequently a diagnosis of exclusion. Our cases have features in common with those of previous reports, but in particular with Gass's original cohort of patients. They were mostly relatively young and healthy females presenting with central photopsia or shimmering; had unilateral acute or subacute visual field loss that could not be explained by the fundal changes; there was reduced visual acuity and usually a unilateral presentation. Subsequent involvement of the fellow eye was observed in some individuals.

The cases are also defined by a characteristic pattern of electrophysiological abnormality. In particular, the most consistent abnormalities were a delay in the cone system derived 30 Hz flicker response and a reduction in the light rise of the electro-oculogram. Standard ERG response a-wave amplitudes could be reduced in keeping with photoreceptor dysfunction, but the magnitude of this reduction was not sufficient to account for the reduction in EOG. Where cone bipolar cell function was assessed with ON and OFF response recording, prolongation of b-wave implicit times indicated bipolar cell dysfunction.

Jacobson et al reported the electrophysiological findings in 24 patients with MEWDS, AIBSE, AMN, and P-POHS which they at the time grouped under the name AZOOR. Common to their patients were the additional complaints of rapidly progressive field defects and photopsia making them similar to our series and consistent with current categorisation. Their results were in agreement with previous reports suggesting that patients with AZOOR showed a pattern of visual dysfunction that was photoreceptor in origin. EOGs were not performed.

Our results provide significant refinement of previous electrophysiological data. We confirm dysfunction at the retinal pigment epithelium/photoreceptor complex and show that cones appear more affected than rods. However, the 30 Hz flicker ERG derives from the inner retina and thus calls into question whether AZOOR is indeed exclusively an outer retinopathy. Given the focal nature of the disease clinically, it is fascinating that electrophysiological dysfunction appears global. Such a pattern is not observed in other disorders. In particular, the "white dot syndromes" (where not associated with the subsequent development of AZOOR) or other inflammatory diseases of the retina or choroid typically show either no or only focal electrophysiological abnormality. Further, the reduction in the EOG light rise is not a characteristic finding in those conditions.

The cause of AZOOR remains unknown though several disease mechanisms have been suggested. The electrophysiological abnormalities prevailing in our cases, in which prolongation of implicit times was disproportionately greater than amplitude reductions, favour an inflammatory aetiology. Disorders such as MEWDS, PIC, and macular neuro-retinitis usually give restricted loss of function and thus are not routinely associated with an abnormal full field ERG; the presence of an abnormal full field ERG with implicit time shift signals generalised retinal dysfunction. Where inflammatory disease leads to generalised retinal dysfunction, for example birdshot chorioretinopathy, the 30 Hz flicker ERG is frequently delayed but may be of normal amplitude.

The lack of causative ophthalmoscopic abnormalities in our series implies that cell death does not occur early in the disease as would be expected with an infective aetiology such as a virally mediated retinitis. The pigmentary changes seen late in disease would thus be consistent with death of previously damaged or targeted cells followed by intraretinal pigment migration as occurs in inherited retinal degenerations. Given that approximately half our patients had suffered a preceding episode of MEWDS or PIC, it is tempting to propose that AZOOR is an autoimmune mediated disorder triggered by an as yet unascertained process precipitated by the previous inflammatory event. The transient nature of the diagnostic fundus abnormality in MEWDS may explain why many patients with AZOOR have normal fundi since there is often a delay between the onset of symptoms and the diagnosis of AZOOR. Increasing use of indocyanine green angiography may provide data that enable this hypothesis to be examined. It should be stressed that most patients with PIC, MEWDS, etc, do not proceed to develop AZOOR, but it cannot be excluded that MEWDS or PIC may be a limited form of AZOOR. The clinical features of these patients closely resemble the initial description of AZOOR, but our data do not support the later extension to include other conditions that might share a similar aetiology.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have demonstrated that there is a group of patients with the diagnosis of AZOOR in whom there is a distinctive pattern of clinical and electrophysiological abnormality. These provide a set of diagnostic criteria which clinically resemble those initially described by Gass. The patient presents with photopsia and a central field defect that is unexplained by any abnormality of the fundus. There is a delayed 30 Hz flicker ERG and a reduction in the EOG light rise. It is possible that the group of disorders such as MEWDS, PIC, MIC, macular neuro-retinitis, AIBSE, etc, may be precipitating factors, but most patients with these disorders do not proceed to develop AZOOR. The importance of electrophysiological assessment in establishing the diagnosis is evident. Interestingly, although the clinical features of these patients closely resemble the initial description of...
AZOOR: our data do not support the later extension,” to include other conditions that might share a similar aetiology. This study serves to alert the physician to consider the diagnosis of AZOOR in individuals presenting with scotomata unexplained by ocular examination, particularly where central photopsia are a prominent feature. We suggest that our study has demonstrated a characteristic pattern of clinical and electrophysiological abnormality that establishes the diagnosis thus avoiding lengthy, costly and invasive neurological and systemic investigations and the unnecessary use of immunosuppressive agents. The possible role of the “white dot” syndromes as precipitating factors requires further investigation.

Table 1  Electrophysiological abnormalities in patients with AZOOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrophysiological measure</th>
<th>Normal range</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>25th centile</th>
<th>75th centile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pattern ERG (μV)</td>
<td>&gt;2.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0–3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOG light rise (Arden index)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>100–300</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interocular EOG light rise difference</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10 unilateral cases only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotopic rod ERG latency (ms)</td>
<td>78–108</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>48–133</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotopic rod ERG latency (ms)</td>
<td>261–495</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0–405</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotopic rod ERG latency (ms)</td>
<td>9–15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.0–13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotopic rod ERG latency (ms)</td>
<td>366–866</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0–555</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotopic rod ERG latency (ms)</td>
<td>68–226</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0–155</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotopic rod ERG latency (ms)</td>
<td>23–28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24–46</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photopic ERG b-wave implicit time</td>
<td>26–34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26–42</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photopic ERG b-wave amplitude (μV)</td>
<td>101–336</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0–190</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON-b-wave amplitude (μV)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0–52</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON-b-wave latency (ms)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33–46</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFF-b-wave latency (ms)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0–93</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFF-d-wave latency (ms)</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>221–230</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERG, electroretinogram; PERG, pattern ERG; EOG, electro-oculography.

AZOOR, acute zonal occult outer retinopathy.
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