Posterior capsule opacification

Risk factors for posterior capsule opacification
S D McLeod

Resolving nebulous data

Improvements in techniques, instrumentation, and intraocular lens design appear to have been associated with declining rates of posterior capsule opacification following cataract surgery.1 While morbidity associated with posterior capsule opacification and its treatment, Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy, is limited, progressive opacification affects quality of vision and visual function, while capsulotomy requires additional patient visits, consumes additional surgical resources, and introduces the potential risks of cystoid macular oedema and retinal detachment. Therefore, a better understanding of the mechanisms of posterior capsule opacification and its retardation would have obvious immediate benefits. Moreover, control of capsular optical and biomechanical characteristics following cataract extraction is essential if dynamic accommodative intraocular lenses, which change in optical conjugation power in response to ciliary body motion translated via the zonules and capsular bag, are to provide consistent and sustained performance.

In addition to providing further evidence suggesting an increased risk for posterior capsule opacity associated with specific features of intraocular lens design independent of material, the study presented by Mian and co-authors in this issue of the BJO (p 1453) supports the observation that we as yet do not have a complete understanding of all of the features that govern the behaviour of the capsular bag following cataract surgery; in this case, inability to exercise control over this process resulted in laser capsulotomy in up to 7.5% of cases at 24 months. Moreover, the comprehensive review provided by the authors draws attention to inconsistency in the literature with regard to its assessment of risk factors and strategies for the development of posterior capsule opacification after cataract surgery. This, in turn, obscures research directions for strategies to control the process of capsule opacification.

Well informed and sceptical readers will point out, as the authors acknowledge, that some previous studies, which have specifically addressed the issue of the relative risk for posterior capsule opacification associated with one piece acrylic compared with three piece acrylic intraocular lenses, have failed to demonstrate a significant difference in risk for posterior capsule opacity.2,3 As a previous study by Wallin and colleagues4 supports the authors' observations of an increased risk associated with the one piece design, one must consider explanations for these discrepancies, in so far as they may represent inconsistencies in study design or reporting.

One must first ask if a negative study that fails to identify a difference in outcomes is based upon the evaluation of relatively insensitive or unreliable parameters. This is a particular problem in the evaluation of posterior capsule opacification. Laser capsulotomy represents but a proxy for the process of opacification; it might be argued that it is a perfectly reasonable measure to study if our goal is to reduce the additional burden that the procedure places on patients and the medical system but, in the event that opacification which fails to meet criteria for treatment induces some compromise of visual function, there remains benefit to the reduction of these sub-interventional threshold levels of capsule opacity. Moreover, the goal of capsule control for reliable long term accommodative intraocular lens function requires an understanding of the mechanisms of posterior capsule fibrosis at an earlier stage than that associated with the need for laser intervention.

The problem of posterior capsular opacification has not yet been conquered

Aslam and colleagues5 have presented, in the BJO, a systematic analysis of strategies to evaluate posterior capsule opacity and have pointed out that at present there is no entirely satisfactory method of comprehensively quantifying capsule opacity in vivo. In addition to regional quantitation of the optical density of capsule opacity, typically scored subjectively or by analysis of digitised photographs, capsule opacity has fibrotic and lens epithelial proliferative components that require three dimensional measurement. In order to assess the extent of opacification, researchers such as Sacu and colleagues have resorted to multiple modes of evaluation including a subjective score that ranks subtypes of fibrotic opacity, automated analysis of digitised photographs, and need for Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy.6 Hayashi and Hayashi7 have argued that multiple methods are indeed required to adequately describe these various features, and have suggested that retroillumination photography is helpful in describing the area of involvement, but Scheimpflug photography can further provide a measure of density. Aslam and colleagues have contended, however, that Scheimpflug imaging is hampered by its restriction to the examination of slit images and its analysis of back scattered rather than forward scattered light. Alternatively, this group has suggested an analysis system that attempts to analyse the three dimensional quality of capsular opacity ("texture") through quantitation of measures of entropy in the intensity histogram of digitised retroilluminated photographic images.8 While these provide more direct measures than capsulotomy rates, the validity of their description of the complex patterns and varying degrees of capsule opacity have yet to be broadly confirmed.

Another explanation for inconsistent findings in studies of risks for capsule opacity would be that characteristics of the populations under study might vary significantly. For example, along with material properties and lens design, there is evidence that surgical technique, including factors such as the degree of capsulorhexis cover over the optic or cortical clean up might influence the course and pattern of subsequent capsule opacity.9 While Mian et al did not provide details of their management of the relation between the capsulorhexis edge and optic, or of cortical clean up, Smith and colleagues,10 in a study of the relation between capsule and lens edge overlap found that incomplete anterior capsule overlap, was associated with a significant increase in capsule opacity, and that this effect was indeed moderated by lens design. In order to compare studies and refine our protocols, these factors must be controlled as best we can.

Significant advances have been made in the design of intraocular lenses for implantation after cataract surgery, and a wide range of options are now available for patients and surgeons. However, the problem of posterior capsular opacification has not yet been conquered. It is to be hoped that more sophisticated methods of assessing and comparing the
development of capsule opacity will help to guide research to this end.
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Tissue plasminogen activator

Tissue plasminogen activator therapy for the eye

R C Tripathi, B J Tripathi

Past, present, and future

The systemic (intravenous) administration of genetically modified (recombinant) tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) for thrombolysis in coronary arteries was approved by the US Federal Drug Administration in 1988. Since then, use of this approved drug has been extended to many non-approved indications, especially in the eye.

Tissue plasminogen activator is a naturally occurring serine protease produced by a variety of mammalian tissues, especially endothelial cells. Ocular tissues that contain tPA include the conjunctiva, cornea, trabecular meshwork, lens, vitreous, and retina. In normal adult human eyes, the aqueous humour contains a significant amount of tPA that is some 30 times more than in plasma. The major enzymatic action of tPA is the conversion of plasminogen (a zymogen) into plasmin, an active serine protease that hydrolyses fibrin. Compared to other fibrinolytic agents (for example, urokinase and streptokinase), tPA has several advantages: fibrin forms a ternary complex with tPA and plasminogen, which increases the rate of plasminogen activation several hundred-fold; in addition, tPA serves to protect plasmin from antiplasmin inhibitors until complete clot lysis is achieved. Even though cost effective, urokinase and streptokinase did not gain popularity because of their toxicity.

Since fibrin clots can occur in several sites of the body, including the eye, the notion was conceived that tPA therapy could be effective for the rapid dissolution of fibrin clots in the anterior chamber of the human eye, as well as for lysis of fibrin clots after vitreectomy and failed blebs after glaucoma filtering surgery. As clinicians, we have to weigh the possible risks versus the benefits in deciding whether to pursue the prophylactic use of tPA.

In this issue of the BrJ (p 1458), Siatri and colleagues report the results of a prospective, double masked randomised clinical trial in paediatric patients to evaluate the efficacy of 20 µg tPA, administered intracamerally at the completion of congenital cataract surgery, with the aim of preventing severe fibrinous effusion and its sequelae. The rationale for this approach is based on the frequent occurrence of fibrin exudation in paediatric patients, which may cause complications including delayed visual recovery, after an otherwise successful cataract surgery. The randomisation in the study presumes that all patients would develop a fibrinous reaction after the surgical procedure, irrespective of tissue manipulation. The results show that compared to controls, the number of eyes that had anterior chamber reaction and fibrin formation was significantly reduced (p = 0.02 to 0.01) on days 1, 3, 7, and 14 after surgery and intracameral delivery of tPA. However, after 1–3 months of follow up, the difference between the two groups was statistically insignificant.

Prophylactic use of tPA is akin to the concept of using antibiotics preoperatively or intraoperatively to prevent postoperative infection of the eye. As clinicians, we have to weigh the possible risks versus the benefits in deciding whether to pursue such an approach. Because of the reactivity of ocular tissues and fibrinous exudation, especially in children, and in view of the fact that post-surgical intracameral administration of tPA in a child’s eye requires general anaesthesia or short sedation, it may be reasonable to use tPA prophylactically. The half life of tPA in the blood circulation is short (about 5 minutes). However, it is possible that in the closed cavity of the anterior chamber of the eye, and with the low daily turnover of aqueous humour, tPA persists for several hours, which may justify intracameral delivery at the conclusion of surgery. We must also consider that paediatric patients who require surgical removal of congenital cataract often have many other ocular and systemic disorders and therefore warrant careful individual evaluation before administration of prophylactic tPA.

An amount of 25 µg or more of tPA has been widely used intracamerally or intravitreally. Based on extrapolation of the therapeutic serum concentration of tPA achieved with intravenous therapy for coronary thrombolysis, we advocated that 10 µg would be an equivalent and safe dose for intracameral administration. Several reports in the literature support the effectiveness of 10 µg tPA for rapid (within minutes to a few hours) fibrinolysis in the anterior chamber and some investigators even recommend a dose as low as 3 µg. Indeed,
untoward side effects such as intraocular haemorrhage/rebleed/hyphaema, especially after surgical trauma, as well as corneal and retinal toxicity, have been reported with the use of 25 µg or higher doses of tPA. Although an optimal intracameral therapeutic or prophylactic dose of this very potent drug has not been determined, 10 µg or less of tPA appears to achieve the desired fibrinolytic action in the anterior chamber with potentially minimal complications of rebleed and toxicity to the cornea and retina.

The topical application of tPA to dissolve fibrin clot in the anterior chamber has been advocated by several investigators, although studies in human eyes and experimental animal models have produced equivocal results. Because of the large molecular size (68 kDa) of tPA, its penetration across the intact cornea may be limited. Transconjunctival or subconjunctival, sub-Tenon’s capsule and trans-scleral routes deserve consideration, especially if clinicians prefer to initiate tPA therapy postoperatively after paediatric or adult cataract surgery. With this approach, the need for a short duration of general anaesthesia or sedation for intracameral injection especially in children, can be avoided and tPA could be administered in the postoperative follow up period on an as needed basis. This concept poses a challenge to clinicians and the pharmaceutical industry interested in developing novel methods for tPA drug delivery.
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Detecting ocular-visual function changes in diabetes

C A Westall

It is essential for the chosen biomarkers to assess accurately ocular function as well as reproducible change

In the 21st century we are nearing the time when treatment of ocular disease is becoming a reality. As such, the ability to monitor disease progression and/or disease recovery is as important as the ability to detect disease related ocular change. Minimising measurement variability is intrinsic to monitoring accurately any chosen ocular-visual biomarker that best represents disease progression over time. This is the topic of the paper by Gilmore and co-workers in this issue of BJO (p 1462). These authors have described the measurement variability of the short wavelength (SW) automated perimetry (SWAP) in patients with diabetes. SWAP has been used primarily to detect vision loss and to monitor progressive visual field loss in glaucoma.1 SWAP is more sensitive in detecting glaucomatous changes than standard white on white (WW) perimeter.2 Gilmore and co-workers used the psychophysical frequency of seeing analysis as a measure of within examination variability, where the slope of the frequency of seeing curve represents threshold variability. Earlier Chauhan and co-workers’ integrated a psychophysical frequency of seeing paradigm into WW automated perimetry testing. They found that in patients with glaucoma and those with suspicion of glaucoma the variability in frequency of seeing was not necessarily explained by the response threshold or threshold deviation. Change in the slope of the frequency of seeing curve probably represents spontaneous change in threshold. Gilmore and colleagues find the higher estimate of within examination variability makes SWAP a less valuable biomarker in monitoring progression of certain ocular diseases. The increased sensitivity of SWAP has to be tempered with the higher within test variability of SWAP over standard WW perimeter.

Diabetic retinopathy is the single most common cause of blindness in the developed world.4 The prevalence of diabetes is increasing and potential blindness is threatening a rapidly growing number of working age individuals. Currently, there is a one in 300–500 chance for a child developing type 1 diabetes by 18–20 years of age.5,6 Of these, 98% will show evidence of retinal microvascular changes 15–20 years after diagnosis.6

Current treatments for diabetic retinopathy are based on the extent of the retinal vasculopathy. The progression of vascular abnormality involves change from background, to pre-proliferative, to proliferative disease over time. The Diabetic Retinopathy Study (DRS)1 and the Early Treatment in Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS)19 set the current standard of care. Treatment for proliferative retinopathy involves pan-retinal laser photocoagulation (PRP) used to ablate the peripheral retina and laser ablative therapy given when high risk proliferative retinopathy develops.

In the future, neuroprotective therapies might conceivably delay onset of proliferative retinal change in diabetes

But, what if the neuronal component of the retina is compromised along with, or even before, the earliest retinal microvascular complications become apparent? This is an area receiving increasing attention and is the focus of collaboration between the Hospital for Sick Children and St Michael’s Hospital (Dr Shelley Boyd) in Toronto. Direct, non-invasive neuroretinal function testing of the human visual system demonstrates functional changes in the neuroretina of individuals with diabetes. Colour processing, in particular the processing of short wavelength stimulus, is abnormal in diabetes.10–13 Adults with type 1 diabetes show reduced blue-yellow colour vision discrimination before the onset of retinopathy.14 The deficit in the short wavelength pathway was the focus of the study by Gilmore and colleagues, who tested frequency of seeing (FOS) areas of known decreased SW sensitivity. The importance of this SW sensitivity loss in diabetes is probably linked to the abnormal function of the SW cones. Yamamoto et al15,16 demonstrated that the short wavelength (S) cones were compromised selectively in adults with type 1 diabetes. These changes were evident with or without evident retinal vasculopathy. A significant (p<0.001) selective reduction in the amplitude of the short wavelength cone response suggests a defect at the level of the S-cone photoreceptor.

Our group and others have found deficits in the integrity of the SW or S-cone pathway in patients with type 1 diabetes, with no evidence of retinopathy, using the colour visual evoked potentials (VEP).17,18 The SW VEP latencies (time to response to blue-yellow stimulus) of those with diabetes are delayed when compared with those without diabetes.19 We investigated the association between glucose control (HbA1c) and colour vision in preteen (<12.9 years of age) children with type 1 diabetes using the colour VEP. Glucose control was well controlled and did not affect the S-cone pathway in this young group of children with diabetes. However, pubertal status was associated significantly (p = 0.0114) and selectively with S-VEP latency: pubertal children with type 1 diabetes had delayed S-VEP latencies (mean S-VEP latency = 144.3 ms) when compared with the pre-pubertal children with type 1 diabetes (mean S-VEP latency = 134.8 ms).20

An exciting development is the use of the multifocal electroretinogram (mfERG) to study multiple small regions of the retina individually.21–27 This enables precise mapping of the neural retina. Multifocal ERG studies of adults with diabetes demonstrate clearly local deficits of retinal function.19,22 Significant delays in the first order mfERG response, which is predominantly derived from bipolar cells,20 were found.20,21 Importantly, Han et al20,21 found localised functional abnormalities that predicted the site of new vasculopathy (microaneurysms or leakage) observed 1 year later on clinical examination and confirmed by 50° fundus photography.

Very recently, high frequency components of mfERG recordings have been found to resemble oscillatory potentials of the full field ERG. Wu and Sutter28 found that multifocal oscillatory potentials (mOPs) were produced best by a flicker stimulus slowed by the insertion of dark stimulus frames. Bearse and colleagues29 showed that the inner retinal mfOP response, derived from the slow flash mfERG recording, was abnormal in patients with diabetes. In addition response abnormalities were
associated with the site of vascular leakage or haemorrhage.

Because diabetes is generally considered a disease of the retinal blood vessels current treatment paradigms are based on the extent of vascular damage and are typically given late in the disease, when significant end organ damage has already occurred. Perhaps the neuronal cells of the retina and visual pathways of the brain are damaged as well as retinal blood vessels in diabetes. In particular, our data show that abnormalities of the koniocellular pathway occur in adolescent children with diabetes in the absence of observable changes in the retinal vasculature. In the future, neuroprotective therapies might conceivably delay onset of proliferative retinal change in diabetes. In streptozotocin induced diabetic rats, neurons and glial cells in the inner plexiform and nuclear layers of the retina undergo apoptosis early in the course of diabetes and actually precede the development of microvascular lesions.

For studies investigating treatment paradigms it is essential for the chosen biomarkers to assess accurately ocular function as well as reproducible change. Gilmore and colleagues have set an excellent precedent in investigating variability in responses in paradigms already shown to be sensitive.
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Trypan blue staining of antiproliferative agents for trabeculectomy surgery and bleb needling

W Franks

Staining could be a useful tool in allowing comparison of the effects of different antiproliferative agents

H ealey and Crowston, in the September issue of *BJO*, describe a novel and ingenious study using trypan blue to stain antiproliferative agents used during trabeculectomy surgery and trabeculectomy bleb needling. Trypan blue is commercially available as Vision Blue (Dorc, Zuidland, Netherlands). It is widely used in cataract surgery to stain the anterior capsules, and in vitreoretinal surgery to improve the visibility of preretinal membranes and is therefore readily available in most eye theatres.

They describe a clinical safety and efficacy trial backed up by laboratory based studies of colouring mitomycin C or 5-fluorouracil with the vital dye trypan blue 0.1%. Addition of trypan blue in vitro had no effect on cell death rates in controls or on mitomycin C and 5-fluorouracil treated cells. In vivo, there was no difference in outcome in a series of eyes undergoing trabeculectomy surgery with trypan blue stained antiproliferative agent compared to controls. This technique has the potential to be useful both in research to compare outcomes of surgery as well as in clinical practice by enhancing safety in the use of antiproliferative agents and improving surgical technique.

Trabeculectomy is the surgical procedure of choice in most countries for treatment of chronic open angle glaucoma. Since the first description in 1968 by Cairns the operation has survived challenges from procedures such as laser trabeculoplasty, holmium laser sclerostomy, artificial drainage devices and, more recently, deep sclerectomy and viscocanalostomy. Some of the latter remain as lesser weapons in the glaucoma surgery armamentarium but none has stood the test of time to take trabeculectomy’s foremost place in the surgical management of glaucoma.

Trabeculectomy is the most effective treatment for glaucoma for reducing intraocular pressure and preventing visual field loss, but relatively few cases come to surgery because of fear of complications. Eye drops are the first line treatment of chronic open angle glaucoma and the number of different types available leads to a myriad of possible combinations. The popularity and variety of medical treatments were greatly increased by the introduction of prostaglandin agonist eye drops in the mid 1990s and have been associated with a halving of trabeculectomy cases, although the number performed at Moorfields Eye Hospital now appears to have stabilised (fig 1).

The realisation that patients with progressive visual field loss need lower target pressures, and that this can be difficult to achieve even with multiple eye drops, means that trabeculectomy surgery will continue to be an important treatment to prevent blinding disease. In countries where the cost of drops is prohibitively expensive and the supply uncertain, trabeculectomy is the only feasible sight saving treatment.

The most important refinement to trabeculectomy surgery has been the use of antiproliferative agents to reduce postoperative subconjunctival fibrosis, prevent bleb failure, and achieve better intraocular pressure control. Evidence is accumulating that at lower intraocular pressures visual field progression is slowed or even arrested. In the pre-antiproliferative era an intraocular pressure of 21 mm Hg or less was considered a successful outcome, whereas now intraocular pressures of 12 mm Hg are being sought and achieved with surgery using the more potent antiproliferative agents. Over the past few years nearly all cases of trabeculectomy at Moorfields Eye Hospital have been with mitomycin C (fig 2).

It was soon recognised that the use of antiproliferative agents was associated with cystic bleb formation. Cystic blebs lead to an uncomfortable eye, late wound leaks, hypotony, and an increased risk of bleb related infections with potentially devastating consequences. If a small treatment area is used subconjunctival fibrosis occurs at the margins of the trabeculectomy bleb, confining drainage of aqueous humour to the treated area. The pressure of aqueous humour in this confined subconjunctival space causes thinning of the overlying conjunctiva over time. This led to the idea that cystic bleb formation would be less likely if per-operative treatments with antiproliferative agents were applied over a wide area of the upper fornix. This results in a greater area for aqueous humour drainage and reduced local tissue pressure. This necessitated a change in surgical technique from limbal to fornix based conjunctival flaps. The downside to this change has been early bleb leaks because the antiproliferative agent inhibits healing of the anterior edge of the conjunctival flap. Surgeons learning trabeculectomy surgery are doing fewer cases at a time when the technique has become more demanding. By staining the antiproliferative agent with trypan blue it is clear, firstly, if an adequate area has been treated and, secondly, any areas of inadvertent treatment are highlighted. If the conjunctival edge is contaminated this will be visible and give an indication if additional sutures are needed to prevent wound leaks.

Healey and Crowston found that by using sponges pre-soaked with dyed antiproliferative agent the treatment area was larger than when the antiproliferative agent was injected into dry pre-placed sponges. This means that surgeons will need to be careful to do a thorough dissection of the subconjunctival space before inserting sponges as squeezing in wet sponges may cause leakage. In particular, extra care will need to be taken to prevent inadvertent treatment of the conjunctival edge by the dampened sponges and this will be easier to see if the antiproliferative agent is stained with trypan blue.

Healey and Crowston also describe the use of dyed 5-fluorouracil when needling failing trabeculectomy blebs.
Trypan blue staining can show both the extent of the treatment area as the antiproliferative agent is injected into the subconjunctival space and, very importantly, can make penetration of the antiproliferative agent into the anterior chamber via the sclerostomy visible. It will make leakage back through the injection site into the tear film more obvious and demonstrate whether there is a risk of 5-fluorouracil induced keratopathy.

Comparing surgical outcomes between centres in trials of new surgical techniques in trabeculectomy surgery is problematic. Intraocular pressure lowering and visual field stability are insufficient alone to assess success. The comfort and appearance of the trabeculectomy bleb are also important outcomes for the patient. Clarke et al have published a useful guide to bleb appearances to aid researchers in quantifying morphological outcomes of trabeculectomy surgical technique.24

The surgeon chooses the concentration of mitomycin C but the area treated is variable. Dyeing antiproliferative agents with trypan blue may aid in standardising treatment areas. This makes comparing outcomes of treating series of patients difficult as the area and therefore the dosage of antiproliferative agent are not standardised. Staining could be a useful tool in the future in developing protocols allowing comparison of the effects of treating standardised areas of different antiproliferative agents.

Trabeculectomy is likely to remain the most commonly performed surgical operation for glaucoma for many years to come. However, concern remains about potential complications. Healey and Crowston’s work will be of interest to clinicians as it promises to make surgery more predictable and to help in teaching safe surgical technique. It also promises to be of use in developing research protocols.

Some caution is necessary however. Trypan blue is not yet licensed for this application and, as the authors point out, further in vivo studies of fibrinolysis contraction may be needed before such approval is given.
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