Toxicological evaluation of preservative-containing and preservative-free topical prostaglandin analogues on a three-dimensional-reconstituted corneal epithelium system
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ABSTRACT

Aims Using an established three-dimensional (3D) toxicological model based on reconstituted human corneal epithelium (HCE), this study investigated the tolerability of four topical intraocular-pressure-lowering agents: the commercial solutions of benzalkonium chloride (BAC)-containing 0.005% latanoprost, 0.004% travoprost, 0.03% bimatoprost containing 0.02%, 0.015% and 0.005% BAC, respectively, and the preservative-free (PF) tafluprost. Solutions of 0.01% and 0.02% BAC alone were also evaluated for comparison.

Methods The 3D-HCEs were treated with solutions for 24 h followed or not by a 24 h recovery period. We used a modified MTT (3(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) procedure to evaluate the viability of the HCE. Frozen sections of HCE were analysed using fluorescence microscopy for the evaluation of apoptosis (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase mediated dUTP nick end labelling), inflammation (ICAM-1) and proliferation (Ki67). Corneal epithelial tight junctions (occludin and tight junction protein 1 (zonula occludens 1)) were also assessed by en face confocal microscopy in response to the different eye-drops.

Results The MTT test revealed that the cytotoxicity of antiglaucoma eye-drops was primarily related to the concentration of their common BAC preservative (0.02% BAC-latanoprost>0.015% BAC-travoprost>0.005% BAC-bimatoprost). PF-tafluprost did not induce any obvious cytotoxicity, showed the least expression of inflammatory or apoptotic markers and revealed preservation of membrane immunostaining of tight junction proteins in comparison with BAC-containing solutions.

Conclusion The toxicological model of the 3D reconstructed corneal epithelia model confirmed the ocular surface cytotoxicity of BAC-containing antiglaucomatous solutions. Compared with the formulations containing the toxic preservative BAC, PF-tafluprost was well tolerated without inducing significant corneal epithelium deterioration.

INTRODUCTION

Tafluprost is a newly synthesised prostaglandin F2α-agonist without any toxic preservative.1 It demonstrated no cytotoxicity in human conjunctival epithelial cell lines2 and was well tolerated following short- and repeated instillations in rabbits.3 The problems raised by potentially toxic glaucoma treatments should receive more attention owing to the increased number of patients in the world, lifespan of treatments that require not only efficacy, but also safety, good tolerability and optimal compliance for patients.

Supplied by SkinEthic Laboratories (Nice, France), the reconstructed three-dimensional (3D) model of human corneal epithelium (HCE) is an appropriate alternative toxicological method to the classical Draize test in rabbits.4 It was proven to have the same characteristics as the corneal epithelium of the human eye in morphology and thickness, and is suitable for investigating the undesired effects of ophthalmic drugs.5 The objective of this study was to investigate in this 3D-HCE system the tissue changes after the treatment of the currently available commercial prostaglandin (PG)-derived eye-drops, namely the commercial solutions of 0.005% latanoprost, 0.004% travoprost, 0.03% bimatoprost (containing 0.020%, 0.015% and 0.005% of benzalkonium chloride (BAC), respectively) and preservative-free (PF) tafluprost. We analysed a panel of five selected biomarkers in order to assess the phenomena of apoptosis, inflammation, proliferation and tight junction impairment after a contact with the different antiglaucoma eye-drops, which would contribute to a better understanding of human corneal cell reactions following topical antiglaucoma treatments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue model and antiglaucoma solution treatments

The 3D-HCE model was supplied by SkinEthic Laboratories and consists of immortalised HCE cells grown vertically on a 0.5 cm² insert permeable polycarbonate filter. All the experiments were conducted as previously published5: 50 μL of sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) used as a negative control solution, BAC solutions at 0.020% and 0.001% used as positive controls and the commercial solutions of 0.020% BAC-containing latanoprost (Xalatan; Pfizer, New York), 0.015% BAC-containing travoprost (Travatan, Alcon, Texas), 0.005% BAC-containing bimatoprost (Lumigan, Allergan, California) or PF-tafluprost (Taltotan, Santen Oy, Tampere, Finland) were applied on the apical surface of 3D-HCE for 24 h and 24 h followed by an additional 24 h recovery period (24 h+24 h recovery). The recovery period (24 h) was chosen in order to observe if toxic effects on HCE were reversible or not.
Six series of 3D-HCE were used for each solution: two series for cell viability MTT (3(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) testing, two series for immunohistological analyses on cryosections, and two series for immunofluorescent labelling on the most superficial layers of 3D-HCE by en face confocal microscopic analyses.

**Modified MTT test**

The experiments were conducted in triplicate. The 3D-HCEs were transferred to 24-well plates containing 300 µl of the MTT solution at 0.5 g/ml in culture medium with the application onto the apical surface. After the 3 h incubation at 37°C, the 3D-HCEs were incubated with 750 µl of isopropanol. After agitation for 2 h, the results were analysed by the optical density (OD, absorbance) at 570 nm versus OD690 nm and expressed as a percentage of cell viability compared with the negative control, PBS. Analyses were performed using Safire technology (Tecan, Lyon, France).

**Immunofluorescence analyses**

After incubations with different solutions, the 3D-HCE samples were transferred into Petri dishes containing PBS to be separated into two pieces using a surgical scalpel. One piece of tissue was embedded in optimal cutting temperature embedding medium (Tissue-Tek, Miles, Elkhart, Indiana) and frozen at –80°C for future 10 µm vertical cryosections. The other piece was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min before immunofluorescent labelling of the tight junction proteins occludin and tight junction protein 1 (zonula occludens 1, ZO-1).

**Detection of apoptosis (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase mediated dUTP nick end labelling (TUNEL)) assay, immunofluorescence (CD54) and proliferation (Ki67) on 3D-HCE cryosections**

**Apoptosis and TUNEL assay**

A TUNEL kit containing TUNEL enzyme and TUNEL label (Roche Diagnostics, Meylan, France) was used to detect apoptosis in the tissue layers. The nuclei were labelled with 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride, and the cryosections were mounted in an antifade medium (Vectorshield; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, California).

**CD54 (ICAM-1) and Ki67 immuno-stainings**

The samples were first fixed with 4% PFA for 10 min and then permeabilised with 0.01%-diluted Triton X100 (Sigma Chemical Company, Saint Louis, Missouri) for 5 min. The following primary antibodies were incubated: mouse antihuman ICAM-1 (IgG1, BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:25) and negative isotypic control mouse IgG1 (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, California; 1:100), mouse antihuman Ki67 (Immuno...
40.1% for 0.020% BAC-latanoprost (p <0.05 compared with PF-tafluprost, 0.005% BAC-bimatoprost and 0.015% BAC-travoprost groups, p <0.01 compared with PBS and p <0.05 compared with 0.010% BAC group), at 24 h+24 h recovery, 83.0% for 0.005% BAC-bimatoprost, 65.5% for 0.015% BAC-travoprost (p <0.01 compared with PBS group, p <0.02 compared with PF-tafluprost group) and 53.2% for 0.020% BAC-latanoprost (p <0.05 compared with PF-tafluprost and 0.005% BAC-bimatoprost).

**Immunofluorescence analyses and quantification of apoptosis (TUNEL)**

Few apoptotic cells were observed after PBS (figure 2A) or PF-tafluprost (figure 2B) incubations (figure 2H): 17.0 cells/field for PBS and 13.3 cells/field for PF-tafluprost at 24 h; 14.5 cells/field for PBS; and 15.4 cells/field for PF-tafluprost at 24 h+24 h recovery. In accordance with our previous study, BAC at 0.010% and 0.020% significantly increased the number of TUNEL-positive cells at 24 h, and at 24 h+24 h recovery compared with PBS group (p <0.02 for 0.010% BAC, p <0.01 for 0.020% BAC).

The number of apoptotic cells increased after the BAC-containing antiglaucoma eye-drops in a BAC-concentration-dependent manner at 24 h. In addition, the corneal epithelial cells could not recover after the recovery period, and more cells tended to undergo apoptosis with an increase in TUNEL-positive cells at 24 h+24 h recovery. Bimatoprost with 0.005% BAC (figure 3C) induced moderate expression of apoptosis (figure 2H, 23.4 cells/...
field at 24 h and 42.6 cells/field at 24 h+24 h recovery), and 0.015% BAC-travoprost (figure 2E) with 37.0 cells/field at 24 h and 42.6 cells/field at 24 h+24 h recovery (p<0.03 compared with PF-tafluprost and 0.005% BAC-bimatoprost groups at 24 h and 24 h+24 h recovery). The highest levels of apoptotic cells were found homogenously disseminated after the application of 0.020% BAC-latanoprost (figure 2F) and of 0.020% BAC (figure 2G): 43.4 cells/field and 44.3 cells/field respectively at 24 h; 68.3 cells/field and 61.3 cells/field respectively at 24 h+24 h recovery. These TUNEL-positive cells were observed especially in the apical cell layers, but also in the middle epithelial layers. Latanoprost with 0.020% BAC induced a significantly higher amount of apoptotic cells than did PF-tafluprost, 0.005% BAC-bimatoprost at 24 h (p<0.005 for both) and 24 h+24 h recovery.

Figure 3 Inflammation analysis: immunolocalisation of ICAM-1 (CD54) positive cells (green) on 3D-human corneal epithelium samples after phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (A), preservative-free (PF)-tafluprost (B), 0.005% benzalkonium chloride (BAC)-bimatoprost (C), 0.010% BAC (D), 0.015% BAC-travoprost (E), 0.02% BAC-latanoprost (F) or 0.020% BAC (G) treatments after 24 h of treatment without or with the 24 h postincubation period. Quantification of ICAM-1 (H) was performed after 24 h of treatment with all solutions followed or not by a 24 h postincubation period. ICAM-1-expressing cells were already found in the PBS (A) and PF-tafluprost (B) groups with the same level of expression after 24 h or 24 h+24 h. The increase in ICAM-1 expressions was observed after the treatments with 0.005% BAC-bimatoprost (C) and 0.010% BAC (D) with and without the 24 h postincubation period. However, few remaining ICAM-1 positive cells were observed after treatments with 0.015% BAC-travoprost (E), 0.020% BAC-latanoprost (F) and 0.020% BAC (G) on the 3D-human corneal epithelium samples owing to the erosion. The nuclei were stained with propidium iodide (red). The quantification of terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase mediated dUTP nick end labelling-positive cells confirmed the observations. *p<0.001 compared with PBS at the same time point; †p<0.005 compared with 0.010% BAC at the same time point; ‡p<0.0001 compared with 0.020% BAC at the same time point; §p<0.002 compared with 0.020% BAC-latanoprost at the same time point; ¶p<0.008 compared with 0.015% BAC-travoprost at the same time point.
Immunofluorescence analyses and quantification of the inflammation marker: ICAM-1 (CD54)

PBS-treated 3D-HCE cultures (figure 3A,H) were found to express CD54 at levels of approximately 113.2 cells/field at 24 h, and 74.0 cells/field at 24 h+24 h recovery. PF-tafluprost induced 121.5 cells/field at 24 h and 79.0 cells/field at 24 h+24 h recovery without any statistically significant differences with PBS-treated samples. BAC 0.005%-bimatoprost induced an increase in CD54-positive cells: 155.5 cells/field at 24 h and 154.0 cells/field at 24 h+24 h recovery (p<0.001 compared with PBS, p=0.002 compared with 0.02% BAC-latanoprost, p=0.008 compared with 0.015% BAC-travoprost at 24 h and 24 h+24 h recovery). This level of increased CD54 activation was also found with 0.01% BAC. 158.8 cells/field at 24 h, and 128.5 cells/field at 24 h+24 h recovery (p<0.001 compared with PBS, p=0.002 compared with 0.02% BAC-latanoprost, p=0.008 compared with 0.015% BAC-travoprost at 24 h and 24 h+24 h recovery). For 0.005% BAC-bimatoprost and 0.010% BAC, the CDS4 positive cells were located throughout all the epithelial layers (figure 3C,D). However, with higher concentrations of BAC, this number decreased, probably owing to the erosion of corneal epithelium with toxic solutions: 74.0 cells/field after 0.015% BAC-travoprost treatment, 73.3 cells/field after 0.020% BAC-latanoprost treatment and only 64.3 cells/field after 0.01% BAC treatment at 24 h (for the three groups: p<0.001 compared with PBS group, p<0.005 compared with 0.010% BAC).

Immunofluorescence analyses of cell proliferation: Ki67

After PBS treatment (figure 4A), some proliferating cells were observed, not restricted to the basal layer at 24 h or 24 h+24 h recovery. PF-tafluprost induced a similar aspect as did PBS-treated samples. However, more numerous proliferating cells, with a greater number located in the basal layer, were found after 0.005% BAC-bimatoprost (figure 4C), 0.01% BAC (figure 4D), 0.015% BAC-travoprost (figure 4E), 0.02% BAC-latanoprost (figure 4F) and 0.02% BAC (figure 4G). At 24 h+24 h recovery, we could still find some proliferative cells after the treatments with PBS or PF-tafluprost. In this time, following treatment with the solutions containing BAC (0.010% BAC, 0.020% BAC, and the three BAC containing antiglaucoma solutions), no or very few proliferative cells were observed, again most likely owing to the deep impairment of corneal cells after toxic challenge.

En face confocal microscopic analysis of tight junction: occludin and ZO-1

The 3D-HCEs revealed a thin occludin immunostaining in the most superficial cells, forming a persistent green ring around, leaving a diffuse cytoplasmic staining, after treatments with PBS (figure 5A) or PF-tafluprost (figure 5B). We still found a slight occludin expression in the apical cells with 0.005% BAC-bimatoprost (figure 5C). This kind of occludin expression clearly disappeared after treatments with 0.010% BAC (figure 5D), 0.015% BAC-travoprost (figure 5E), 0.02% BAC-latanoprost (figure 5F) and 0.020% BAC (figure 5G) at 24 h, leaving only dense green patches. After the 24 h recovery period, no significant change in occludin protein distribution was found compared with treatment without the recovery period. The same tendency was found using ZO-1: a thin green ring was found just after the treatment with PBS (figure 5H) or PF-tafluprost (figure 5I).

DISCUSSION

The toxicological model of 3D-reconstructed cornea epithelial model confirmed the cytotoxicity of BAC-containing solutions with a better approach of what exists in vivo or in vitro. Using a selection of pertinent biomarkers on this 3D-HCE, we detected and analysed the presence of cell apoptosis, activation/inflammation, proliferation/turnover and cellular tight junctions after application of different test solutions. This model, while respecting the ethical guidelines of animal experimentation, especially the ‘5R’ rule (refining, reducing and replacing the use of animals),6 contributes to a better visualisation of the human epithelial structure than the traditional monolayer cell
cultures and represents a highly valuable tool between animal and cellular models for toxicity detection. The fluorescence techniques conjugated with confocal microscopy on 3D-reconstructed corneal epithelia were proven to be suitable for the investigation of toxicological markers and yielded relevant results compared with the known human data. As on monolayer cell cultures, the 24 h recovery experiments on 3D-HCE model allow the apoptotic process to develop further and to induce the inhibition of proliferation. The expression of the proliferation marker, KI-67 nuclear antigen decreased after this 24 h recovery, while the apoptosis- and inflammation-related markers increased. These results confirmed that BAC, depending on the time and concentration used, acts as a pro-inflammatory and pro-apoptotic agent able to impair the normal epithelium turnover irreversibly, even after BAC withdrawal. Several in vitro studies have already revealed these deleterious effects of BAC and BAC-containing antiglaucoma drugs. The MTT assay is a simple quantitative method usually used to assess the cell viability in these 3D-HCE models. It seems more reliable and robust than immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy, which are known to provide visual and convincing results for the analysis of cell damage; however, these techniques are deemed to give adjunct results for the purpose of comparison of tested solutions. But, even if based on a smaller number of cells and a quantitative reading that depends on the experimenter, we proved in this study that these techniques also allow quantification and provide a good understanding of all toxic phenomena and their impact on various biological processes. Consistent with similar analyses in monolayer cell cultures and in the rabbit model, in the present study using this new 3D model, we demonstrated that PF-tafluprost presented almost the same aspects as did the negative control with persistent epithelial cell tight junctions and the appropriate proliferative level, with no induction of cellular apoptosis or inflammation when compared with the formulations containing toxic preservative BAC. BAC-induced ocular toxicity has been well documented in cell culture, animal models and patients. The reconstituted 3D-HCE model, resembling the corneal epithelium of the human eye in

Figure 5 Tight junction: occludin and tight junction protein 1 (zona occludens 1, ZO-1). Immunofluorescence analysis of occludin (green: A to G) and ZO-1 (green: H to N) expressions using en face confocal microscopy after treatment with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (A), preservative-free (PF)-tafluprost (B), 0.005% benzalkonium chloride (BAC)-bimatoprost (C), 0.010% BAC (D), 0.015% BAC-travoprost (E), 0.020% BAC-latanoprost (F) and 0.020% BAC (G) treatments after 24 h (left column) or 24 h+24 h recovery (right column). The nuclei were stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (blue). After the treatment with PBS or PF-tafluprost, the 3D-human corneal epithelium revealed a thin occludin/ZO-1 immunostaining in the most superficial cells of samples by forming a green ring around, leaving a diffuse cytoplasmic staining. We still found a slight tight junction expression in the apical cells with 0.005% BAC-bimatoprost (C). The expressions disappeared completely after the treatments with 0.010% BAC (D), 0.015% BAC-travoprost (E), 0.020% BAC-latanoprost (F) and 0.020% BAC (G) at 24 h or 24 h+24 h recovery, leaving dense green patches. Note that the nuclei became smaller after treatments with high-dose BAC-containing solutions.
morphology and thickness, was already used as a new alternative to the classic Draize eye test for the assessment of the eye irritation potential of chemicals and cosmetic products. It confirmed the cytotoxicity of BAC, and this model was sensitive enough to distinguish between different concentrations of BAC, from 0.001% to 0.5%. The versatility and sensitivity of the 3D-HCE models were also investigated, and this model was proposed to replace some uses of animal testing in preclinical studies. In addition, the occludin gene expression was proposed as an early in vitro sign for assessment of mild eye irritation.

Even though multiple studies consistently showed BAC toxicity and potential advantages of BAC-free compounds, there still exists some debate as to its cytotoxicity in ocular tissues and potential harmfulness for glaucoma patients. In contrast with our results and all literature data on BAC, one recent study did not find any loss of viability when testing BAC alone or BAC-containing latanoprost after a short contact duration from 10 to 60 min using the same model of 3D-corneal epithelium as that used in this study. These conflicting results were principally due to the differences in experimental conditions, such as the choice of model or appropriateness of duration of contact. In patients, the age, the quality of tear film, the individual sensitivity of the ocular surface, a pre-existing sensitivity or allergy, and the overall number of BAC-containing eye-drops and duration of administration could all be considered to influence the impact of toxic preservative on ocular tissues and may explain wide individual variations. In experimental conditions, the contact duration of BAC is essential to consider and may explain some apparently conflicting results: Khoh-Reiter and Jessen stated in their study that BAC is in contact with the eye structures only for a few seconds after instillation. Another study proved that a rapid dilution in the tear film reduced the concentration of BAC to almost zero in minutes. However, Champeau et al detected the presence of BAC in the conjunctival epithelium 9 days after a single administration, which suggests prolonged impregnation of BAC in ocular tissue instead of simple dilution in tear film. In rabbits, radiolabelled BAC was found in the palpebral and bulbar conjunctiva and all corneal layers, and single-drop administration resulted in high tissue levels in the anterior ocular tissues remaining for up to 120 h.

In our model of a 3D culture of corneal epithelium, a 24 h incubation was therefore considered to better mimic the accumulation of BAC over the long term and allowed a better investigation of the cell alterations in the deeper layers of the corneal epithelium. As BAC is usually considered a potent and useful enhancer of drug penetration into deep ocular structures, all experimental models should be considered in light of a significant contact time, depending not on tear concentrations of BAC but on much more prolonged contact times, as most likely occurs with a compound that impairs the tear film, disrupts cell membranes, increases corneal epithelium permeability and is repeatedly used over extremely long periods of time. Complementarily, the 24 h recovery appeared justified as for the monolayer cultures for evaluating late effects, either in terms of possible compensation and recovery or as an amplification of toxic reactions.

In conclusion, our results using the new 3D-HCE model for evaluating BAC-containing prostaglandin analogues and FT-aluprost were in accordance with previously published works investigating BAC toxicity. The present study provides a valuable method to evaluate the impacts of eye-drops in vitro, being more accurate than single monolayers and easier to perform than animal models. The development of 3D-HCEs thus enriches the existing models to study the bioactivity of eye-drops in the cornea by investigating and quantifying a set of cellular functions such as cellular viability, inflammation, proliferation, apoptosis or cell junctions.
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