Minor ipsilateral simple limbal epithelial transplantation (mini-SLET) for pterygium treatment
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ABSTRACT
We describe a novel surgical technique for pterygium removal taking advantage of the properties of amniotic membrane and limbal epithelial stem cells. A total of 10 eyes underwent pterygium excision with amniotic membrane coverage of the bare sclera and placement of pieces of limbal epithelium in a linear fashion in the affected limbal area covered by a second amniotic membrane using fibrin glue. After up to 8 months of follow-up, there were no signs of early recurrence or sight-threatening complications. The minor ipsilateral simple limbal epithelial transplantation technique for the treatment of pterygium requires less tissue than the conventional conjunctival autograft, leaving healthy conjunctiva if needed for another procedure in the future and offers the advantages of epithelial stem cells, which in the long term may reduce the rate of recurrence significantly.

INTRODUCTION
Pterygium is a benign, wing-shaped fibrovascular proliferation extending onto the cornea. As of today, there are many approaches to its treatment once it is decided that surgical intervention is needed. The most common surgical techniques include leaving bare sclera, using a conjunctival or conjunctival limbal autograft, coverage with amniotic membrane (AM) or the use of adjuncts like mitomycin C. Recurrence rates among these techniques vary widely, with reports as high as 88% for the bare sclera technique1–3 and more comparable results among the other mentioned techniques, with a recurrence rate between 0.003% and 40.9%.4–7

Conjunctival-limbal autograft offers a low recurrence rate and fewer complications,6, however, it cannot be performed in cases where a large defect needs to be covered or in patients where the conjunctiva needs to be preserved for future glaucoma surgery to avoid conjunctival scarring at the harvesting site. Some advantages of using an AM are inhibition of angiogenesis and the possibility to cover a large area without the need of harvesting healthy conjunctiva.8 Nevertheless, the cosmetic results, postoperative inflammation and recurrence rates are higher with AM transplantation than they are with conjunctival limbal autografts.3,9,10

Our innovative technique describes the use of an AM graft to cover the bare sclera area combined with a small autologous simple limbal epithelial transplant (mini-SLET) to provide stem cells at the repair site. Some advantages of using an AM include leaving bare sclera, the area of bare sclera is measured with the help of a calliper and an AM graft 1 mm larger than the measurement is placed over the bare sclera. Its edges are tucked under the conjunctival margins and fixed with fibrin glue (Tissucol, Baxter). Using a crescent blade, a shallow cut of 3 mm in length is made on the corneal side of the limbus, followed by two radial cuts from the corneal to the conjunctival side of the limbus; these cuts are then joint by a 3 mm peritomy. The crescent blade is then used to create a 2×2 mm strip of limbal tissue by dissecting from the conjunctival side into the cornea. We then cut this limbal tissue into 8–10 pieces with Vannas scissors and distribute them along the conjunctival side of the limbus over the previously fixed AM. These pieces are glued into place and protected with a smaller glued AM overlay. Finally, a bandage contact lens is left in place (figure 1). After surgery, patients are treated with artificial tears, topical moxifloxacin 0.5% drops every 6 h until full epithelial healing and dexamethasone 0.1% drops every 4 h with a 1 month taper. An online supplementary video is available, and the technique is summarised in figure 2. By the time of submission, we had treated 10 eyes of 9 patients with this technique at the Instituto de Oftalmología ‘Fundación Conde de Valencia’. Demographic and clinical details are shown in table 1.

Clinical grading of pterygium was based on the classification by Tan et al,11 in which there are three grades: T1 (episcleral vessels underlying the body of the pterygium unobscured and distinguished), T2 (episcleral vessels are indistinctly seen or partially obscured) and T3 (episcleral vessels are totally obscured by fibrovascular tissue).

The patients had up to 8 months of follow-up, at which point the limbal epithelial pieces were no longer visible, the area of the limbal biopsy showed no focal stem cell deficiency at the last follow-up visit, the cosmetic results were favourable and we had no cases of recurrence (figure 3). The only complication reported was a case of pyogenic granuloma at the junction of AM and conjunctiva that resolved after an increase in topical steroids.

DISCUSSION
Sangwan et al12 described SLET as a technique for the treatment of stem cell deficiency. Inspired by this technique, we decided to combine the use of an AM...
graft, which serves as an ideal substrate to support the growth of epithelial progenitor cells\textsuperscript{13,14} with a mini-SLET for pterygium in cases that are not good candidates for a conjunctival autograft. Based on the concept that there is a localised limbal stem cell dysfunction or deficiency at the limbal area\textsuperscript{15,16} and the better outcomes reported with the use of conjunctival autografts compared with AM, we hypothesise that the addition of the stem cells contained in the mini-SLET pieces could reduce recurrence rates and, as a secondary result, improve the cosmetic outcomes.

Despite the fact that additional expenses of AM for patients with primary pterygium might not be justified, in patients with limited amounts of conjunctiva, the need of future surgeries such as glaucoma suspects or patients with previous multiple surgeries, the spending on mini-SLET technique could be acceptable. Surgeon experience influences outcomes and recurrence rate with auto conjunctival grafting.\textsuperscript{17} A simple technique as described here may help reduce learning curves and surgical skills needed to achieve success.

### Table 1 Demographic, preoperative and postoperative outcomes of patients that underwent mini-SLET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Clinical grading</th>
<th>Site of pterygium</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Pyogenic granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>OU</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OD, right eye; OS, left eye; OU, both eyes; SLET, simple limbal epithelial transplantation.
The clear limitations of our study are the limited number of patients and the somewhat short follow-up, but since this is our initial report we believe that 10 eyes is enough to show the feasibility of the surgical technique and we are now working on a larger randomised trial comparing the mini-SLET technique to AM transplantation.

In conclusion, we describe a new technique in which an AM graft is combined with a mini-SLET for pterygium surgery. We found this technique to be easy to learn and believe it can be an interesting solution for those patients in which we want to preserve as much conjunctiva as possible. Although the initial results are encouraging and promising, results are subject to validation as the number of patients and longer follow-up are available in the future.
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