Comparative electroretinograms in argon laser and xenon arc panretinal photocoagulation

JAMES C. LIANG,¹ GERALD A. FISHMAN,¹ FELIPE U. HUAMONTE,¹ AND ROBERT J. ANDERSON²

From the ¹University of Illinois Eye and Ear Infirmary and the ²Epidemiology and Biometry Program, School of Public Health, University of Illinois, Chicago, USA

SUMMARY We performed electroretinograms (ERG) on both eyes of 11 diabetic patients before and one month after panretinal photocoagulation for diabetic retinopathy. Each patient had one eye treated with argon laser and the fellow eye treated with the xenon arc photocoagulator. After photocoagulation the ERG was symmetrically reduced unless the retinal area burned with xenon arc was greater than twice the retinal area burned in the fellow eye by the argon laser photocoagulator.

Panretinal photocoagulation (PRP) has been shown to reduce the risk of blindness caused by moderate to advanced proliferative diabetic retinopathy.¹ The amount of retinal destruction following PRP may determine the effectiveness of the treatment. We used electroretinograms (ERGs) following argon laser and xenon arc panretinal photocoagulation to evaluate the amount of functional retina destroyed.

Materials and methods

Eight men and 3 women with bilateral symmetrical severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy (DRS group 3)¹ or proliferative diabetic retinopathy without vitreous contraction (DRS groups 4-6)¹ were selected. All were white and their ages ranged from 19 to 60 years with a mean of 43.5 years.

Each patient had a complete ophthalmic examination including best corrected visual acuity, slit-lamp examination, intraocular pressure measurement, and dilated direct and indirect ophthalmoscopy. Fundus photographs and fluorescein angiography were also obtained. Their retinopathy was classified by the modified Airlie House classification.

Each patient received panretinal photocoagulation (PRP) in one eye with an argon laser and in the fellow eye with a xenon arc photocoagulator. The types of

treatment were randomly assigned for each eye. The PRP treatments were carried out in one to 3 sessions at least 2 weeks apart. The treatment technique has been previously described.² The treatment parameters are summarised in Table 1.

Electroretinograms were performed in both eyes before and one month after treatment of the second eye by a modification of a procedure previously described.³ Specifically, photopic a and b wave amplitudes and implicit times were determined as well as 20 minute scotopic a and b wave amplitudes and implicit times using a white light with the I₁₆ setting on a Grass PS-2 photostimulator. The normal intertest variability in our laboratory is between 5 and 15% for the ERG amplitudes and is 2 milliseconds for the ERG implicit times.

Table 1 Panretinal photocoagulation treatment parameters

	Argon laser (11 eyes)	Xenon arc (11 eyes)
Timing	0.1 s	Manual
Spot size	500 µm	4.5°
Intensity		
Range	200-800 mW	GII-RII
Typical setting	450 mW	GIII
Burns per sessions		
Range	200-940	72-269
Mean	552	139
Total burns		
Range	957-1710	217-309
Mean	1217	265

Correspondence to Dr J. C. Liang, to Department of Ophthalmology, University of Southern California, Estelle Doheny Eye Foundation Building, 1355 San Pablo St, Los Angeles CA 90033, USA.

The retinal area burned was calculated for each eye from the formula:

$$\text{Area} = n\pi(s/2)^2$$

Where
n = number of burns
s = size of burns in mm.

Thus a 500 μm spot is 0.20 mm² and 4.5° spot is 1.77 mm.² The retinal surface constitutes about two-thirds of the total surface area of the globe. In an eye with a 24 mm diameter the total retinal area is about 1200 mm².

Results

The retinal area and percentage of the total retinal area burned was consistently greater ($p=0.000012$) with xenon arc PRP than with argon laser PRP (Table 2). The individual panretinal photocoagulation data are presented in Table 3.

The pre- and post-treatment ERG photopic and scotopic responses are presented in Tables 4 and 5,

respectively; the ERG response changes and percentage changes are presented in Tables 6 and 7. ERG tracings from one patient (no. 6) were not available for detailed review at the time of this report and are omitted from Tables 4–7. However, a prior descriptive reading done at the time the ERG was performed was in the chart, so this patient is included in Tables 1–3.

The reduction in the ERG was symmetrical between the 2 eyes of most patients. When the calculated retinal area burned by xenon PRP was more than twice as great as the calculated retinal area burned by argon PRP, the ERG amplitude reduction was greater in the xenon treated eye by 15% or more for the a wave, b wave, or both a and b waves. Of the 6 patients with xenon/argon treated area ratios greater than 2.00, this finding was observed in 5 patients. In the one patient (no. 10) in whom this did not hold true the ERG a and b wave amplitudes were the lowest of those found in all the patients. This would be consistent with marked retinal ischaemia. Presumably panretinal photocoagulation of these widespread

Table 2 Baseline and follow-up clinical data

Patient	Age (years)	Sex	Baseline vision		Latest vision		Follow-up in months	
			Argon	Xenon	Argon	Xenon	Argon	Xenon
1	19	M	6/15 (20/50)	6/15 (20/50)	6/12 (20/40)	6/12 (20/40)	49	47
2	46	M	6/9 (20/30)	6/30 (20/100)	6/15 (20/50)	6/30 (20/100)	15	17
3	58	M	6/15 (20/50)	6/60 (20/200)	6/21 (20/70)	6/30 (20/100)	13	9
4	31	M	6/24 (20/80)	6/9 (20/30)	6/18 (20/60)	6/18 (20/60)	13	11
5	37	M	6/90 (20/300)	6/18 (20/60)	6/240 (20/800)	6/60 (20/200)	23	24
6	58	M	6/9 (20/30)	6/7.5 (20/25)	6/9 (20/30)	6/7.5 (20/25)	54	49
7	55	F	6/24 (20/80)	6/24 (20/80)	6/6 (20/20)	6/12 (20/40)	24	23
8	28	M	6/9 (20/30)	6/7.5 (20/25)	6/9 (20/30)	6/12 (20/40)	19	17
9	34	F	6/6 (20/20)	6/7.5 (20/25)	6/15 (20/50)	6/4.5 (20/15)	38	36
10	53	F	6/9 (20/30)	6/12 (20/40)	6/7.5 (20/25)	6/60 (20/200)	13	15
11	60	M	6/9 (20/30)	6/9 (20/30)	6/7.5 (20/25)	6/7.5 (20/25)	17	9
Summary	43.5 (Mean)		6/21 (20/50) (Median)	6/18 (20/45) (Median)	6/30 (20/45) (Median)	6/24 (20/50) (Median)	25.3 (Mean)	23.4 (Mean)

Table 3 Individual panretinal photocoagulation treatment data

Patient	Eye treated		Phocoagulation, total burns		Retinal area burned (mm ²)		Ratio of xenon/argon burned areas	ERG effect
	Argon	Xenon	Argon	Xenon	Argon	Xenon		
1	R	L	1710	217	342.0	384.1	1.12	X=A
2	L	R	1440	254	254.0	449.6	1.56	X=A
3	L	R	1167	244	253.4	431.9	1.85	X=A
4	R	L	1197	258	239.4	456.7	1.91	X=A
5	L	R	1148	250	229.6	442.5	1.93	X=A
(6)	L	R	(1032)	(239)	(206.4)	(423.0)	(2.05)	(X>A)
7	R	L	1218	282	243.6	499.1	2.05	X>A
8	L	R	1228	291	246.6	515.1	2.10	X>A
9	L	R	1109	269	221.8	476.1	2.15	X>A
10	R	L	1178	302	235.6	534.5	2.27	X=A
11	L	R	957	309	191.4	546.9	2.86	X>A
Summary	4R	4L	1217	265	240.3	469.0		6X=A
	7L	7R	(Mean)	(Mean)	(Mean)	(Mean)		5X>A

ischaemic areas might result in a more or less equal amount of functioning retina being coagulated with both the argon laser and xenon arc.

Both a and b wave amplitudes were proportionally reduced, a finding that was expected, since damage is known to occur within both cone and rod photoreceptor outer segments; both photopic (light-adapted) and scotopic (dark-adapted) findings were similarly affected.

When all 10 patients whose ERGs were available for detailed review were analysed as a group, it was found that the xenon treated eyes as a group had a significant reduction ($p=0.025$) in the photopic a wave amplitude as compared with the argon treated eyes. The photopic b wave was also reduced more in the xenon treated than the argon treated eyes, but the statistical significance ($p=0.12$) was borderline, indicative of the variability in this small group of

patients. There were significantly greater reductions in the scotopic a wave ($p=0.031$) and b wave ($p=0.026$) in the xenon treated eyes as compared with the argon treated eyes.

Implicit times were unchanged in 9 patients, while 2 patients showed increased photopic and scotopic a and b wave implicit times following xenon arc photocoagulation. There were no significant differences (photopic a wave, $p=0.17$; b wave, $p=0.13$; scotopic a wave, $p=0.11$; b wave, $p=0.15$) in the implicit time between the 10 xenon treated and 10 argon treated eyes.

Discussion

The Diabetic Retinopathy Study has shown that pan-retinal photocoagulation with the argon laser or xenon arc can reduce the risk of severe visual loss due

Table 4 ERG photopic responses*

Patient	Xenon				Argon			
	Pretreatment		Post-treatment		Pretreatment		Post-treatment	
	a wave μV (ms)	b wave μV (ms)	a wave μV (ms)	b wave μV (ms)	a wave μV (ms)	b wave μV (ms)	a wave μV (ms)	b wave μV (ms)
1	60 (14)	200 (28)	40 (14)	120 (28)	40 (14)	180 (28)	30 (14)	110 (28)
2	20 (18)	100 (36)	10 (20)	50 (36)	20 (18)	100 (36)	10 (20)	50 (36)
3	30 (16)	90 (30)	20 (20)	50 (36)	30 (16)	100 (30)	20 (16)	50 (32)
4	60 (16)	140 (36)	30 (16)	80 (36)	60 (16)	150 (36)	30 (16)	70 (36)
5	30 (14)	130 (28)	20 (14)	60 (28)	30 (16)	130 (32)	20 (16)	60 (32)
6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
7	40 (14)	150 (32)	10 (14)	60 (32)	40 (14)	150 (30)	20 (14)	80 (30)
8	50 (12)	190 (30)	20 (12)	80 (32)	50 (12)	180 (30)	40 (12)	120 (32)
9	50 (18)	150 (30)	30 (18)	90 (30)	50 (18)	150 (30)	40 (18)	140 (30)
10	20 (18)	100 (34)	10 (18)	40 (36)	20 (18)	100 (34)	10 (18)	40 (34)
11	50 (16)	160 (32)	10 (20)	50 (40)	40 (16)	160 (34)	20 (16)	80 (34)

* In each column of ERG responses the amplitude in microvolts (μV) is listed first, followed by the implicit time in milliseconds (ms).

Table 5 ERG scotopic responses*

Patient	Xenon				Argon			
	Pretreatment		Post-treatment		Pretreatment		Post-treatment	
	a wave μV (ms)	b wave μV (ms)	a wave μV (ms)	b wave μV (ms)	a wave μV (ms)	b wave μV (ms)	a wave μV (ms)	b wave μV (ms)
1	240 (18)	550 (50)	120 (18)	270 (48)	210 (18)	520 (50)	130 (18)	280 (28)
2	160 (24)	390 (56)	60 (24)	160 (56)	140 (24)	370 (56)	50 (24)	140 (56)
3	200 (20)	400 (46)	60 (26)	130 (50)	210 (20)	390 (46)	70 (20)	160 (46)
4	210 (24)	500 (52)	100 (24)	250 (52)	200 (22)	510 (52)	110 (22)	260 (52)
5	190 (18)	340 (44)	100 (20)	160 (46)	180 (20)	340 (46)	100 (20)	160 (48)
6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
7	160 (22)	540 (50)	40 (22)	180 (50)	160 (22)	560 (50)	80 (22)	260 (50)
8	200 (20)	600 (54)	60 (20)	200 (54)	200 (20)	620 (54)	70 (20)	300 (54)
9	200 (22)	560 (50)	60 (22)	200 (50)	180 (22)	560 (50)	120 (22)	350 (50)
10	120 (26)	250 (52)	40 (26)	70 (54)	130 (24)	270 (52)	40 (24)	70 (52)
11	180 (22)	390 (44)	70 (26)	160 (58)	200 (24)	400 (44)	100 (24)	260 (46)

* In each column of ERG responses the amplitude (μV) is listed first, followed by the implicit time (ms).

to proliferative diabetic retinopathy.¹ While the exact mechanisms for the beneficial effects of panretinal photocoagulation are unknown, it is thought that destruction of a highly metabolically active photoreceptor retinal pigment epithelium complex is important.

In this study the ERG and the retinal area burned are compared to evaluate the relative functional damage to retinal photoreceptors caused by 2 somewhat different types of treatment. It would

appear that functional impairment of the retinal photoreceptors as assessed electrophysiologically was similar after PRP by either the argon laser or xenon arc. This was true until the calculated area of the xenon arc PRP burns exceeded 2.00 times the calculated area of the xenon laser PRP burns. Both a and b waves were affected, confirming destruction of photoreceptor outer segments. Electrophysiological functional impairment was equally apparent under photopic and scotopic conditions.

Table 6 ERG photopic response changes*

Patient	Xenon								Argon							
	a wave				b wave				a wave				b wave			
	Amplitude		Implicit time		Amplitude		Implicit time		Amplitude		Implicit time		Amplitude		Implicit time	
	μv	%	ms	%	μv	%	ms	%	μv	%	ms	%	μv	%	ms	%
1	-20	-33	0	0	-80	-40	0	0	-10	-25	0	0	-70	-39	0	0
2	-10	-50	+2	+11	-50	-50	0	0	-10	-50	+2	+11	-50	-50	0	0
3	-10	-33	+4	+25	-40	-44	+6	+20	-10	-33	0	0	-50	-50	+2	+7
4	-30	-50	0	0	-60	-43	0	0	-30	-50	0	0	-80	-53	0	0
5	-10	-33	0	0	-70	-54	0	0	-10	-33	0	0	-70	-54	0	0
6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
7	-30	-75	0	0	-90	-60	0	0	-80	-50	0	0	-70	-47	0	0
8	-30	-60	0	0	-110	-58	+2	+7	-10	-20	0	0	-60	-33	+2	+7
9	-20	-40	0	0	-60	-40	0	0	-10	-20	0	0	-10	-7	0	0
10	-10	-50	0	0	-60	-60	+2	+6	-10	-50	0	0	-60	-60	0	0
11	-40	-80	+4	+25	-90	-56	+8	+25	-20	-50	0	0	-80	-50	0	0
Mean		-50.4				-50.5				-38.1				-44.3		

* Response change (μv or ms)=post-treatment - pretreatment.

% Response change = $\frac{\text{Post-treatment} - \text{pretreatment}}{\text{pretreatment}} \times 100$.

Normal amplitude variability is 5-15% for our laboratory. Normal implicit time variability is 2 ms for our laboratory.

Table 7 ERG photopic response changes*

Patient	Xenon								Argon							
	a wave				b wave				a wave				b wave			
	Amplitude		Implicit time		Amplitude		Implicit time		Amplitude		Implicit time		Amplitude		Implicit time	
	μv	%	ms	%	μv	%	ms	%	μv	%	ms	%	μv	%	ms	%
1	-120	-50	0	0	-280	-51	-2	-4	-80	-38	0	0	-240	-46	-2	-4
2	-100	-63	0	0	-230	-59	0	0	-90	-64	0	0	-230	-62	0	0
3	-140	-70	+6	+30	-270	-68	+4	+9	-140	-67	0	0	-230	-59	0	0
4	-110	-53	0	0	-250	-50	0	0	-90	-45	0	0	-250	-49	0	0
5	-90	-47	+2	+11	-180	-53	+2	+5	-80	-44	0	0	-180	-53	+2	+4
6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
7	-120	-75	0	0	-360	-67	0	0	-80	-50	0	0	-300	-54	0	0
8	-140	-70	0	0	-400	-67	0	0	-130	-65	0	0	-320	-52	0	0
9	-140	-70	0	0	-360	-64	0	0	-60	-33	0	0	-210	-38	0	0
10	-80	-67	0	0	-180	-72	+2	+4	-90	-69	0	0	-200	-74	0	0
11	-110	-61	+4	+18	-230	-59	+12	+27	-100	-50	0	0	-140	-35	+2	+5
Mean		-68.6				-61.0				-52.5				-52.5		

* Response change (μv or ms)=Post-treatment - pretreatment.

% Response change = $\frac{\text{Post-treatment} - \text{pretreatment}}{\text{pretreatment}} \times 100$.

Normal amplitude variability is 5-15% for our laboratory. Normal implicit time variability is 2 ms for our laboratory.

Frank⁴ determined that ERG b wave amplitudes were reduced by an average of 40% in a group of 24 eyes receiving extensive argon laser PRP for proliferative or preproliferative diabetic retinopathy. He suggested that the photoreceptors in approximately 40% of the retinal area were destroyed by the PRP treatments.

Ogden and associates⁵ performed argon laser or xenon arc PRP on 14 eyes. They measured a decrease in ERG amplitude that varied from 10 to 95% among the patients and also an increase in ERG latency and implicit time in several patients. They suggested that there was a wide variability in the area of retina affected by the treatment, although they did not calculate the area of retina treated. They also suggested the possibility of the PRP affecting adjacent untreated retina in some diabetic patients.

Schuermans and associates⁶ performed intense xenon arc PRP in 5 rabbits, treating either the nasal retina, the posterior pole, or the entire retina, after which the ERG response was compared with the histologically measured area of retinal damage. They concluded that, when less than 30% of the retina is treated, the ERG is reduced proportionally to the retinal area destroyed; when greater than 30% of the retinal surface is destroyed, the decrease in response is greater than the enlargement of the coagulated

area—that is, they found that 60% retinal destruction decreased the ERG 80%.

François and associates⁷ performed ERGs on 50 diabetic eyes before and after argon laser PRP. They found no correlation between the *total* number of coagulation spots and the reduction of the ERG; however, they stated that the number of coagulation spots in the *perfused zones* of the retina and reduction of the ERG was well correlated.

Hamilton and associates⁸ performed ERGs on 21 diabetic patients before, and 6 and 12 months after, panretinal photocoagulation. Each patient had one eye treated with 'gentle' 500 μ m argon laser burns and the fellow eye treated with 'gentle' 4.5° xenon arc burns. 'It was estimated that approximately the same area was being treated and therefore the results would be comparable.' And 'In general ERG was more severely affected in the xenon than the argon group.'

Table 8 presents their data on the number of burns applied to each eye and the post-treatment ERGs. The areas burned and the ratio of the areas burned in their xenon and argon groups were calculated and included in Table 8. The average number of xenon burns applied was 711 for an area burned of 1258 mm². The average number of argon burns applied was 3459 for an area burned of 692 mm². The ratio

Table 8 Panretinal photocoagulation data (from Hamilton et al.⁸)

Patient	Photocoagulation, total burns		Retinal area, burned (mm ²)		Ratio of xenon/argon burned areas	ERG at 6 months		ERG at 12 months	
	Argon	Xenon	Argon	Xenon		Argon	Xenon	Argon	Xenon
1	7474	896	1495	1586	1.06	N	S	S	S
2	5114	753	1023	1333	1.30	N	S	N	S
3	3546	979	709	1733	2.44	A > X	(skin ERG)	A > X	(skin ERG)
4	2170	676	434	1197	2.76	S	S	NS	S
5	3878	612	776	1083	1.40	S	S	N	S
6	2020	459	404	812	2.01	N	S	S	S
7	4249	659	850	1166	1.37	S	S	S	S
8	5708	1208	1142	2138	1.87	S	S	N	N
9	2700	393	540	696	1.29	S	S	S	S
10	4960	799	992	1414	1.43	N	S	N	S
11	2048	540	410	956	2.33	N	S	S	S
12	4062	672	812	1189	1.46	S	S	S	S
13	3836	622	767	1101	1.44	S	S	S	S
14	2194	912	439	1614	3.68	S	S	S	N-S
15	2948	778	590	1377	2.33	S	S	N	N-S
16	3189	603	638	1067	1.67	S	S	S	S
17	2004	608	401	1076	2.68	A < X	(skin ERG)	A = X	(skin ERG)
18	3068	1032	614	1827	2.98	A = X	(skin ERG)	A = X	(skin ERG)
19	1738	244	348	432	1.24	N	S	N	S
20	2650	999	530	1768	3.34	S	S	S	S
21	2551	519	510	919	1.80	N	N	N	N
Summary	3459	711	692	1258					
	(Mean)	(Mean)	(Mean)	(Mean)					

N=Normal. S=Subnormal. N-S=Normal-subnormal.

(xenon/argon) of these average areas burned is 1.82. The average of the ratios is 1.99. At both 6 and 12 months 7 patients (although a different 7 at the 2 times) had a greater reduction of the ERG in the xenon treated eye than in the argon treated eye. The average of area burned ratios for these 7 patients is 1.68 as compared with 2.15 for the other 14 patients at 6 months. At 12 months the respective average ratios are 1.84 and 2.07. These comparative ratios appear to be the reverse of what we found. However, Hamilton and associates published only brief qualitative summaries of their ERGs. Without their quantitative ERG data it is difficult to reanalyse their results any further. Further, their photocoagulation utilised many 'gentle' burns while our technique employed fewer moderate intensity burns.

Our results indicate that the ERG is of some use in measuring the effect of PRP treatment. However, the effect on the ERG by the area of retina coagulated can be correlated only in a moderate sense. This lack of a close correlation is a reflection of the variability of the health of different areas of the diabetic retina and also of the treatment technique. However, it is useful to know the comparative effect on the ERG for 2 types of treatment as they are commonly performed today.

The typical xenon PRP burns roughly twice the area of the typical argon PRP. This is a reasonable explanation for the greater peripheral field loss in xenon treated eyes as compared with argon treated eyes.¹⁸ It might be possible to reduce the side effects of xenon PRP while maintaining its beneficial effects by reducing the area of retina burned to correspond to a typical argon PRP.

This investigation was supported in part by research contracts EY3-2125 and EY7-2137 and Core grant EY1792 from the National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md; research grants from the Fight for Sight, New York City; the National Society to Prevent Blindness, New York City; and the National Retinitis Pigmentosa Foundation, Baltimore, Md. This manuscript was edited by Ann Dawson. Secretarial assistance was provided by Julie Rice and Patricia Sullivan.

References

- 1 Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Photocoagulation treatment of proliferative diabetic retinopathy: the second report of diabetic retinopathy study findings. *Ophthalmology* 1978; **85**: 82-106.
- 2 Huamonte FU, Peyman GA, Goldberg MF, Locketz A. Immediate fundus complications after retinal scatter photocoagulation. I. Clinical picture and pathogenesis. *Ophthalmic Surg* 1976; **7**: 88-99.
- 3 Fishman GA, Buckman G, Van Every T. Fundus flavimaculatus: a clinical classification. In: Lawwill T, ed. *ERG, VER and psychophysics*. Doc Ophthalmol Proceedings Series. The Hague: Junk, 1977; **13**: 213-20.
- 4 Frank RN. Visual fields and electroretinography following extensive photocoagulation. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1975; **93**: 591-8.
- 5 Ogden TE, Callahan F, Riekhof FT. The electroretinogram after peripheral retinal ablation in diabetic retinopathy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1976; **81**: 397-402.
- 6 Schuurmans RP, De Lege WA, Van Lith GHM, Oosterhuis JA. The influence of photocoagulation of the retina on the electroretinogram. *Doc Ophthalmol* 1977; **42**: 369-73.
- 7 François J, De Rouck A, Cambie E, Castanheira-Dinis A. Electrophysiological studies before and after argon-laser photocoagulation in diabetic retinopathy. *Ophthalmologica* 1978; **176**: 133-44.
- 8 Hamilton AM, Townsend C, Khoury D, Gould E, Blach RK. Xenon arc and argon laser photocoagulation in the treatment of diabetic disc neovascularisation. Part 1. Effect on disc vessels, visual fields, and visual acuity. *Trans Ophthalmol Soc UK* 1981; **101**: 87-92.