Ocular toxocariasis in a patient with repeatedly negative ELISA titre to *Toxocara canis*

James A Sharkey, Peter S McKay

Infection caused by the larvae of *Toxocara canis* is a well established cause of intraocular inflammation. This frequently occurs in children and young adults. There are three recognised forms, diffuse panuveitis, a focal posterior retinal granuloma, or peripheral inflammatory mass. The peripheral inflammatory mass is often associated with vitreous bands, which may cause traction on the retina or optic disc.¹⁻⁵

Case report

A 16-year-old farmer's son attended the ophthalmic outpatient department. He gave a 1 month history of gradually reducing left visual acuity associated with the appearance of floaters.

On examination left visual acuity (VA) was reduced to 6/12, right VA was recorded at 6/6. Anterior segment examination revealed a moderate anterior uveitis (2+ cells). On posterior segment examination, there was a marked vitritis which somewhat obscured fundal details; however a pale mass was noted in the retinal periphery in the 7 o'clock meridian.

The patient was admitted for the investigation of panuveitis. A number of laboratory tests were carried out. Full blood count showed a slight leucocytosis of $12.3 \times 10^9/l$. Differential white cell count showed an eosinophilia of 5%. The *Toxoplasma* enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test was IgM and IgG negative. The serum *Toxocara* ELISA test was negative at a 1:4 dilution (optical density (OD) 0.07). At this time only the anterior uveitis was treated.

Three weeks later the left VA had dramatically reduced to counting fingers (CF). Examination

showed a marked vitritis with associated cystoid macular oedema. Inferonasally a pale mass was again visible having many of the characteristics of a peripheral *Toxocara* granuloma (Fig 1). There was also a vitreous traction band extending from this mass to the optic disc. A clinical diagnosis of peripheral *Toxocara* granuloma with associated endophthalmitis was made, and the patient was treated with topical, oral, and peribulbar steroids. The serum *Toxocara* ELISA test was repeated but again was negative at a 1:4 dilution (OD 0.08).

Following the steroid treatment left vision improved to 6/60. However significant traction was being exerted by condensation of vitreous bands extending between the peripheral mass and the posterior pole structures. Pars plana vitrectomy was undertaken 1 week later and segmentation of these bands performed. A sample of vitreous obtained at this time was submitted for a *Toxocara* ELISA test. The vitreous titres were greatly elevated at a 1:8 dilution (OD 0.51). Postoperatively vision improved gradually, and 9 months later the left vision is 6/12.

Comment

The diagnosis of ocular toxocariasis has been improved with the introduction of the ELISA test. This is positive in 90% of patients suspected of having clinical *Toxocara* infection.⁶ However laboratory reporting of a negative serum ELISA result may lead to error in diagnosis. Pollard and colleagues⁶ have suggested that there is significant local antibody production in ocular toxocariasis, demonstrating that serum *T. canis* antibody is on average four times lower in patients with ocular involvement when compared with patients with systemic visceral larvae migrans. Biglan and co-workers⁷ have also demonstrated ELISA titres in vitreous specimens to be substantially higher than in serum in ocular toxocariasis. Similar findings have been demonstrated in the aqueous humour of infected patients.⁸ An eosinophilia of the aqueous has also been demonstrated in ocular toxocariasis.⁹

Clinicians should be aware that the serum ELISA with ocular nematode infection by *T. canis* may be low^{10,11} or, as in this case, negative. However the test may be strongly positive and therefore of great diagnostic importance if carried out on intraocular fluid from an infected patient. This will be especially important in childhood where a clinically similar picture may be caused by retinoblastoma.¹¹ Sampling may take the form of aqueous tap or vitreous biopsy, if therapeutic pars plana vitrectomy is performed.

The Eye Department,
Royal Victoria Hospital,
Belfast

J A Sharkey
P S McKay

Correspondence to:
J A Sharkey, FRCS,
FCOphth, The Eye
Department, Royal Victoria
Hospital, Grosvenor Road,
Belfast BT12 6BA, Northern
Ireland.

Accepted for publication
6 January 1993

Figure 1 Peripheral lesion
typical of *Toxocara*
granuloma.

- 1 Wilder HC. Nematode endophthalmitis. *Trans Am Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryngol* 1950; 55: 99-109.
- 2 Duguid IM. Chronic endophthalmitis due to Toxocara. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1961; 45: 705-17.
- 3 Duguid IM. Features of ocular infestation by Toxocara. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1961; 45: 789-96.
- 4 Asthon N. Larval granulomatosis of the retina due to Toxocara. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1960; 44: 129-48.
- 5 Wilkinson CP, Welch RB. Intraocular Toxocara. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1971; 71: 921-30.
- 6 Pollard ZF, Jarrett WH, Hagler WS, Allain DS, Schantz PM. ELISA for diagnosis of ocular toxocariasis. *Ophthalmology* 1979; 86: 743-9.
- 7 Biglan AW, Glickman LT, Lobes LA. Serum and vitreous Toxocara antibody in nematode endophthalmitis. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1979; 88: 898-901.
- 8 Felberg NT, Shields JA, Federman JL. Antibody to Toxocara canis in the aqueous humor. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1981; 99: 1563-4.
- 9 Shields JA, Lerner HA, Felberg NT. Aqueous cytology and enzymes in nematode endophthalmitis. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1977; 84: 319-22.
- 10 Kielar RA. Toxocara canis endophthalmitis with low ELISA titre. *Ann Ophthalmol* 1983; 15: 447-9.
- 11 Searl SS, Moazed K, Albert DM, Marcus LC. Ocular toxocariasis presenting as leukocoria in a patient with low ELISA titre to Toxocara canis. *Ophthalmology* 1981; 88: 1302-6.

British Journal of Ophthalmology 1993; 77: 254-255

The trap of the displaced blind spot in automated perimetry

Avinoam B Safran, Gordana Sunaric, Albert Burger

Neuro-ophthalmology Unit, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland
A B Safran
G Sunaric

Division of Endocrinology, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland
A Burger

Correspondence to:
Professor Avinoam B Safran,
Neuro-ophthalmology Unit,
Geneva University Hospital,
1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland.

Accepted for publication
6 January 1993

In routine computer programs used with automated perimetry, restriction in the number of tested locations results in poor spatial delineation of the blind spot. To avoid an inadequate interpretation of the recorded data, a number of computer programs¹ exclude the evaluation of points located within the presumed area of the blind spot and its surroundings. These programs leave an empty space in the corresponding area of the result printouts.

This solution is advantageous in most clinical settings. With marked cyclotorsion, however,

the blind spot is displaced to such an extent that it appears in the evaluated portion of the visual field. The condition may be confusing when it occurs in conjunction with a bundle defect, mimicking an absolute scotoma within the area of the nerve fibre defect. This was shown with a patient suffering from Graves' disease which resulted in severe exocyclotorsion and optic neuropathy in the same eye.

Case report

A 57-year-old woman presented with endocrine orbitopathy. Corrected visual acuity was 20/20 in the right eye and 20/25 in the left eye. Relative afferent pupillary defect was found in the left eye. With the patient's head carefully placed in a straight position against the rests, Octopus automated perimetry was performed using the 30° option of the N1 program² (Interzeag AG, Schlieren, Switzerland). In the right eye, visual field was unremarkable. In contrast, in the left eye, the examination showed nasal defects, and a dense scotoma at the inferonasal border of the unchecked area of the blind spot (Fig 1). In that eye, Goldmann kinetic perimetry showed an inferior nasal step; in addition, the blind spot was located some 20° below its usual position, indicating that the absolute scotoma observed with automated perimetry represented a displaced blind spot. Left fundus showed a 25° exocyclotorsion (Fig 2). Ocular motility was impaired in both eyes, with reduction in elevation and abduction. Exophthalmometry was recorded as 14-14/107. Ocular pressure was markedly increased when attempting upgaze.

Comment

Displacement of the blind spot has been described in eyes with cyclotorsion.³ However, no emphasis has been given to the confounding

Figure 1 Left visual field, evaluated using automated perimetry. It demonstrated an inferonasal defect (open arrow), and a displaced, enlarged blind spot (closed arrow), and this is mimicking a profoundly altered area within the bundle defect. Broken line shows the projection of the papillomacular axis into the visual field, as inferred from fundus evaluation.