SCIENTIFIC CORRESPONDENCE

Campath-1H therapy in refractory ocular inflammatory disease

Andrew D Dick, Paul Meyer, Teife James, John V Forrester, Geoff Hale, Herman Waldmann, John D Isaacs

Abstract

Background—Standard therapy for severe, immune mediated, ocular inflammation has significant side effects, and may fail to control the disease. T cell directed monoclonal antibody (mAb) therapy can provide long term remission of inflammatory disease in experimental models. The Campath-1H mAb was administered to patients with severe, refractory, ocular inflammation.

Methods—10 patients with severe, refractory, non-infectious ocular inflammatory disease were treated with Campath-1H mAb. This is a fully humanised mAb which recognises the pan-lymphocyte antigen CD52.

Results and discussion—Following Campath-1H therapy, all 10 patients showed an initial resolution of their ocular symptoms and signs. Long lasting remissions were achieved in eight patients, in whom baseline immunosuppression could subsequently be reduced to minimal levels. The possible mechanisms of action of Campath-1H therapy are discussed.

(Br J Ophthalmol 2000;84:107-109)

Department of
Ophthalmology,
University of Aberdeen
Medical School

A D Dick
J V Forrester

Department of
Ophthalmology,
Addenbrooke's
Hospital, Cambridge

P Meyer

Department of
Ophthalmology, St
James's Hospital,
Leeds

T James

Sir William Dunn
School of Pathology,
University of Oxford

G Hale
H Waldmann

Rheumatology
Research Unit,
University of Leeds

J D Isaacs

Correspondence to:
Dr John Isaacs, Molecular
Medicine Unit, Clinical
Sciences Building, St James's
University Hospital, Leeds
LS9 7TF

Accepted for publication
28 September 1999

antibody (mAb) therapy achieves such effects by inducing antigen specific unresponsiveness and immunoregulation.² Similar immunomodulatory mAb therapy has been used in patients with aggressive autoimmune and inflammatory diseases and, occasionally, long term responses have followed short term treatment. Campath-1H is a humanised mAb which recognises the pan-lymphocyte antigen CD52,^{3,4} and which has been used in such circumstances. Beneficial effects were transient in some conditions, such as rheumatoid arthritis,⁵ but were longstanding in others such as multiple sclerosis.⁶ In cases of severe refractory vasculitis, remissions of several years' duration were achieved.⁷ In addition, single case studies have reported the success of Campath-1H therapy in controlling severe posterior uveitis⁸ and in preventing recurrent corneal graft rejection,⁹ both cases refractory to conventional immunosuppression. We now present data on a cohort of 10 patients treated with Campath-1H for severe, refractory, non-infectious, immune mediated, intraocular and orbital inflammatory disease, and recurrent corneal allograft rejection.

Methods and patients

Patients with refractory, non-infectious, ocular inflammatory disease were offered therapy with Campath-1H mAb on a compassionate, "named patient", basis. The indications for therapy are shown in Table 1. Patients 1, 3, 4, and 9 had ocular disease as a complication of a systemic inflammatory disease, although the latter was clinically inactive at the time of Campath-1H treatment. In all patients vision was already severely impaired, and was continuing to deteriorate despite conventional therapy with, most commonly, prednisolone, cyclosporin A, and azathioprine. These drugs were titrated to maximum recommended doses, unless limited by toxicity. Campath-1H was administered by intravenous infusion at 10-12 mg daily for 5 days; patients 2 and 4 received two courses of therapy. Patients were monitored closely after therapy, both ophthalmologically, and also systemically for adverse reactions.

Non-infectious ocular inflammatory disease represents a wide spectrum of immune mediated pathology, which may result in severe visual handicap. In addition to numerous idiopathic inflammatory conditions specific for the eye, a number of systemic conditions, such as Wegener's granulomatosis, Behçet's disease, and sarcoidosis, also have serious ocular complications. Immunosuppression is generally effective in controlling these conditions, using systemic corticosteroids plus adjunctive therapy with cyclosporin A, cyclophosphamide, azathioprine, or newer agents such as FK-506 and mycophenolate mofetil. There is usually a requirement for chronic therapy, however, with associated toxicity, and there is also a subset of patients who are refractory to even the most aggressive regimens.¹ The ideal therapy would, therefore, provide long term remission of inflammatory disease following a short course of treatment, and also be effective across the severity spectrum. In animal models of immunopathology, anti-T cell monoclonal

Table 1 Clinical course of patients with immuno-inflammatory ocular disease treated with Campath-1H (C1H) monoclonal antibody

	Age (years)	Diagnosis	C1H indication	Previous therapy	C1H dose (mg)	Current clinical status*	Current daily therapy	Follow up (months)
1†	70	Rheumatoid sclerokeratitis: left recurrent corneal graft rejection	Refractory to treatment	Pred, Aza, CsA	60	Clear graft for 24 months, then proteus keratitis resulting in enucleation of left eye Melt right eye, controlled with CsA	Pred (7.5 mg) CsA (2.5 mg/kg)	72
2	39	Diabetic proliferative retinopathy: recurrent corneal graft rejection and secondary glaucoma	Refractory to treatment Toxicity	Pred, Aza, CsA	120	Clear graft	Pred (8 mg) No antiglaucoma medication	25
3	68	Wegener's granulomatosis: scleritis/PUK/perforated globe	Refractory to treatment	Pulsed IV methylpred, cyclo	60	Resolution of PUK, and ENT symptoms	Pred (10 mg)	8
4	61	Wegener's granulomatosis: orbital pseudotumour	Refractory to treatment	Pred, Cyclo, Aza, cycloph, Dxt	60 (5/97) 150 (4/98)	Initially improved Relapse requiring 2nd course, followed by improved orbital disease and resolution of pseudotumour on CT scan	Pred (5 mg alternate days)	12 (from 2nd course)
5‡	46	Non-infectious retinal vasculitis	Toxicity Refractory to treatment	Pred, Aza, CsA, IvIg	60	Improved	Pred (15 mg) at time of death	15 (died, myocardial infarction)
6	58	Non-infectious retinal vasculitis	Toxicity Refractory to treatment	Pred, Aza, CsA	60	Improved (relapse of anterior uveitis only)	Pred (5 mg) FK 506 (0.05 mg/kg)	22
7	38	Non-infectious retinal vasculitis	Refractory to treatment Toxicity	Pred, MM, CsA	60	Improvement Relapse anterior uveitis only	Pred (15 mg)	5
8	57	Sympathetic ophthalmia	Refractory to treatment Toxicity (CyA)	Pred, CsA	60	No further deterioration Blood pressure and renal function stabilised off CsA	Pred (10 mg)	5
9	51	Behçet's disease	Refractory to treatment Toxicity	Pred, Aza, CsA	50	Improved retinal inflammation Relapse anterior uveitis only Stable CNS signs	CsA Pred Thalidomide	18
10	42	Non-infectious retinal vasculitis	Refractory to treatment, other eye enucleated from secondary rubeotic glaucoma 6 years previously	Pred, CsA, Aza	60	Improvement and resolution of rubeosis	Pred (7 mg) CsA (200 mg)	14

*Improvement was noted by one or more of resolution of orbital clinical signs; maintained clarity of corneal graft and no further rejection episodes; resolution of vasculitic peripheral corneal melting episodes; improved visual acuity; resolution of intraocular inflammation.

†Previously published case reports. Now longer follow up.^{8,9}

‡PUK = peripheral ulcerative keratitis; Pred = prednisolone; Aza = azathioprine; CsA = cyclosporin A; Cyclo = cyclophosphamide; Dxt = radiotherapy; IvIg = intravenous gammaglobulins; MM = mycophenolate mofetil.

Results and discussion

Following Campath-1H all patients showed initial improvement with an increase in visual acuity, resolved clinical inflammation, or maintenance of corneal graft clarity. In one patient (case 4) an initial improvement was followed by a relapse of disease, which was controlled with a higher dose (150 mg) of Campath-1H. Case 2 required two courses of Campath-1H (total dose of 120 mg) to suppress ongoing corneal allograft rejection. Some patients experienced a mild first dose reaction but toxicity was otherwise minimal, and no patient sustained refractory and/or opportunistic infections. Furthermore, it was usually possible to substantially reduce baseline immunosuppression after Campath-1H.

These data suggest that ocular immunoinflammatory disease is a particularly sensitive target for T cell mAb therapy. Despite progressive, sight threatening pathologies refractory to treatment, remission was achieved with Campath-1H therapy in eight of 10

patients. It was then possible to reduce baseline immunosuppression to very low levels. Disease was stabilised, and became easier to control in the remaining two patients (cases 8 and 9). The mechanism by which Campath-1H achieved these effects is currently unknown. Infectious tolerance in animal models is achieved with non-depleting mAbs, and invokes regulatory mechanisms that seem to operate within the antigen presenting cell microenvironment but which have not yet been fully defined.² In contrast, Campath-1H is a lymphocytotoxic mAb and, while total peripheral blood lymphocyte (PBL) numbers return to normal after therapy, there is a protracted reduction in CD4+ PBL counts, with inversion of the CD4:CD8 ratio. It is conceivable that Campath-1H facilitates the re-establishment of ocular immunoregulation by altering lymphocyte subset ratios, but both autoaggressive and regulatory T cells reside within the CD4+ subset² and, without more specific markers for these cells, it will remain difficult to explain

the beneficial effects of Campath-1H.¹⁰ Additionally, until its actions are more fully understood, this treatment should not be viewed as a panacea for non-infectious ocular immunoinflammatory disease. Although the long term lymphopenia that follows Campath-1H therapy has not been linked to an increased risk of infection or malignancy in any of the conditions treated, follow up remains relatively short. Notwithstanding such reservations, Campath-1H appears to be a very useful agent in ocular inflammation and we would encourage its further application, on an experimental basis, in difficult and refractory cases.

We are indebted to our consultant colleagues, Mr Miles Stanford and Dr Elizabeth Graham, for referral of patients and discussion.

- 1 Dick A D, Azim M, Forrester J V. Immunosuppressive therapy for chronic uveitis: optimising therapy with steroids and cyclosporin A. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1997;**81**:1107–12.

- 2 Waldmann H, Cobbold S. How do monoclonal antibodies induce tolerance? A role for infectious tolerance? *Annu Rev Immunol* 1998;**16**:619–44.
- 3 Hale G, Xia M-G, Tighe HP, D *et al*. The Campath-1 antigen (CDw52). *Tissue Antigens* 1990;**35**:118–27.
- 4 Xia M-G, Hale G, Lively MR, *et al*. Structure of the CAMPATH-1 antigen, a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored glycoprotein which is an exceptionally good target for complement lysis. *Biochem J* 1993;**293**:633–40.
- 5 Isaacs JD, Watts RA, Hazleman BL, *et al*. Humanised monoclonal antibody therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. *Lancet* 1992;**340**:748–52.
- 6 Cales AJ, Wing MG, Padillo A, *et al*. Monoclonal antibody treatment exposes three mechanisms underlying the clinical course of multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 1999;**46**:296–304.
- 7 Lockwood CM, Thiru S, Stewart S, *et al*. Treatment of refractory Wegener's granulomatosis with humanised monoclonal antibodies. *Q J Med* 1996;**89**:903–12.
- 8 Isaacs JD, Dick AD, Haynes RJ, *et al*. Monoclonal antibody therapy of chronic intraocular inflammation using Campath-1H. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1995;**79**:1054–5.
- 9 Newman DK, Isaacs JD, Watson PG, *et al*. Prevention of immune-mediated corneal graft destruction with the anti-lymphocyte monoclonal antibody, Campath-1H. *Eye* 1995;**9**:564–9.
- 10 Isaacs J D, Waldmann H. Regaining self-control—regulation and immunotherapy. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;**37**:926–9.