CLINICAL SCIENCE

Human recombinant interferon alfa-2a for the treatment of Behçet's disease with sight threatening posterior or panuveitis

I Kötter, M Zierhut, A K Eckstein, R Vonthein, T Ness, I Günaydin, B Grimbacher, S Blaschke, W Meyer-Riemann, H H Peter, N Stübiger

Br J Ophthalmol 2003;87:423-431

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Ina Kötter, MD, Department
of Internal Medicine II,
(Hematology/Oncology/
Immunology/Rheumatology)
University Hospital,
Otto-Friedrich-Strasse 10,
D-72076 Tübingen,
Germany;
ina.koetter@
med.uni-tuebingen.de

Accepted for publication
14 October 2002

Background: Behçet's disease is a multisystem vasculitis of unknown origin. Standard treatment mainly comprises systemic immunosuppressive agents. Ocular involvement, mostly posterior uveitis with retinal vasculitis, leads to blindness in 20–50% of the involved eyes within 5 years. The efficacy of interferon alfa-2a was studied in patients with sight threatening posterior uveitis or retinal vasculitis.

Methods: 50 patients were included in this open, non-randomised, uncontrolled prospective study. Recombinant human interferon alfa-2a (rhIFN α -2a) was applied at a dose of 6 million units subcutaneously daily. Dose reduction was performed according to a decision tree until discontinuation. Disease activity was evaluated every 2 weeks by the Behçet's disease activity scoring system and the uveitis scoring system.

Results: Response rate of the ocular manifestations was 92% (three non-responder, one incomplete response). Mean visual acuity rose significantly from 0.56 to 0.84 at week 24 ($p < 0.0001$). Posterior uveitis score of the affected eyes fell by 46% every week ($p < 0.001$). Remission of retinal inflammation was achieved by week 24. Mean Behçet's disease activity score fell from 5.8 to 3.3 at week 24 and further to 2.8 at week 52. After a mean observation period of 36.4 months (range 12–72), 20 patients (40%) are off treatment and disease free for 7–58 months (mean 29.5). In the other patients maintenance IFN dosage is three million units three times weekly.

Conclusions: rhIFN α -2a is effective in ocular Behçet's disease, leading to significant improvement of vision and complete remission of ocular vasculitis in the majority of the patients.

Behçet's disease is a multisystem vasculitis of unknown origin, which is most prevalent in Mediterranean countries, Asia and the Middle East, which lie along the former "silk route".^{1–3} Its main features^{4–5} are oral and genital aphthous ulcers, skin manifestations such as erythema nodosum, papulopustules, or leucocytoclastic vasculitis, oligoarthritis, peripheral vascular manifestations such as thrombophlebitis, thrombosis, aneurysms, and neurological manifestations. The disease is associated with HLA B51. Ocular manifestations, mostly a bilateral panuveitis running a chronic relapsing course, are present in 60–80% of the patients. In most studies, blindness occurred in 20–50% of the patients within 5 years.^{6–9} With the increasing use of immunosuppressive agents, ocular prognosis has improved.¹⁰ Azathioprine has been shown to maintain visual acuity and prevent development of eye disease,^{11–12} but in our experience, especially in severe panuveitis and retinal vasculitis, may not act rapidly enough. Cyclosporin A is an effective and rapidly acting drug for eye disease.^{13–17} However, nephrotoxicity, particularly at doses higher than 5 mg/kg/day, and relapses after cessation of therapy often limit its use. Cytotoxic agents such as cyclophosphamide and chlorambucil are also used but have been less well studied. Colchicine is effective for mucocutaneous and articular manifestations, but only partially effective for posterior uveitis.¹⁸ Brief courses of corticosteroids may shorten the duration of the attacks but they are not effective for long term treatment, probably because the dose necessary for maintenance of remission would be very high with unacceptable side effects.

Up to now, interferons have only been used in selected small cohorts with Behçet's disease. A few open studies with up to 20 patients without ocular disease, showed efficacy for interferon alfa (IFN) and in different doses.^{19–23} Recently, there

have been case reports on efficacy in four patients with severe refractory eye disease successfully treated with steroids, immunosuppressants, and IFN in various combinations^{24–26} and four small open studies with a total of 86 patients, 21 of whom had ocular inflammation.^{27–33} Eye disease was reported to respond to IFN treatment, but details were not described. A randomised controlled study recently published³⁴ with 135 patients (67 randomised to IFN plus colchicine plus penicillin, previous ocular disease was excluded) unfortunately had to be retracted owing to fabrications with respect to authorship and possibly also the reported data and ethical transgressions.^{34–37} We treated a patient who had developed Kaposi's sarcoma under triple immunosuppressive therapy for his severe ocular Behçet's disease³⁸ with rhIFN α -2a and were able to induce remission of both diseases. This prompted us to initiate a pilot study on the efficacy of rhIFN α -2a in severe ocular Behçet's disease.^{31–32} Because of the promising results, it was continued as a four centre, prospective, open, uncontrolled study.

METHODS

Study design

Fifty patients with highly resistant ocular Behçet's disease were included in four participating hospitals from March 1995 to March 2000, the last examination considered for evaluation was performed in March 2001. It was a prerequisite for entering the study for the patients to have active posterior uveitis or panuveitis which had been refractory to at least one conventional immunosuppressive drug (for example, azathioprine or cyclosporin A) or prednisolone in a dose of at least 1 mg/kg bodyweight and/or impossibility to taper the steroids to a maintenance dosage of less than 30 mg prednisolone equivalent per day. This drug had to be given for at least 2 weeks.

Figure 1 Flow chart for interferon dosage. In case of worsening after dose reduction return to last effective dosage.

Forty six patients fulfilled the International Study Group criteria,³⁹ four patients had incomplete Behçet's disease with oral aphthous ulcers and panuveitis with typical occlusive retinal vasculitis and/or hypopyon. The study protocol (adhering to the Declaration of Helsinki) had been approved by the institutional review board of each hospital and the patients had given informed consent. The patients had to be older than 18 years. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, fertile women without contraception, and patients with additional metabolic, psychiatric, or malignant diseases. Previous therapy with immunosuppressants or other drugs had to be discontinued before the initiation of interferon treatment and systemic glucocorticosteroids had to be reduced to a maximum of 10 mg prednisolone equivalent per day because antagonistic effects were suspected and in order to be sure that the effects observed were exclusively due to IFN treatment and not to high or medium dose steroids or immunosuppressive drugs. Topical non-steroidal antirheumatic drugs and steroids were permitted for anterior uveitis.

Treatment

Patients received 6 million international units (IU) of rhIFN α -2a subcutaneously (sc) daily for at least 14 days. Dos-

age was then adjusted according to a flow chart (Fig 1) with a maximum of 9 million units daily. In three female patients IFN was kept on 3 million IU daily initially because of severe flu-like syndrome. Glucocorticosteroids were reduced to a maximum 10 mg/day within 1–5 days (depending on previous duration of steroid treatment), kept at this dosage until complete remission of ocular disease and tapered to 5 mg later. Prednisolone was completely tapered in case of remission of both ocular and systemic disease.

Immunosuppressive drugs were stopped immediately at least 1 day before initiation of IFN treatment. Paracetamol (three times 500 mg daily) was given on days 1–3 and later in case of fever or arthralgia due to IFN.

Outcome, safety, and side effect measurements

The patients were seen at baseline, daily for 1 week, every 2 weeks for the first 2 months, then every 4 weeks by the same ophthalmologist and rheumatologist. After cessation of IFN treatment, patients were followed up every 8 weeks for 6 months and then every 12 weeks if the course was uneventful. In case of subjective progression/relapse of ocular disease, the patients were asked to present immediately. A complete physical and ophthalmological examination (visual acuity, slit

Table 1 Comparison of European decimals to Snellen equivalent for measurement of visual acuity

European decimal	Snellen equivalent	
	5 metres	1 metre
0.025		5/200
0.03		5/160
0.04		5/125
0.05		5/100
0.06		5/80
0.08		5/63
0.1	20/200	5/50
0.125	20/160	5/40
0.16	20/125	5/32
0.2	20/100	5/25
0.25	20/80	5/20
0.32	20/63	5/16
0.4	20/50	5/12.5
0.5	20/40	5/10
0.63	20/32	
0.8	20/25	
1.0	20/20	
1.25	20/16	

lamp examination, tonometry, and ophthalmoscopy) was performed on each visit. A comparison of European decimal and Snellen chart is provided in Table 1. Ocular inflammation was assessed by the uveitis scoring system,⁴⁰ which includes visual acuity, cataract progression, inflammatory activity of the anterior and posterior chamber (Fig 2). As the uveitis scoring system evaluates all these parameters separately and the main efficacy variables were posterior uveitis/retinal vasculitis and visual acuity, these two variables were considered for statistical analysis only. The other disease features were assessed by the Behçet's disease activity scoring system.⁴¹ Fluorescein angiography was performed at baseline, after 4 weeks and then every 8 weeks for the first 6 months, later every 6 months, and additionally on demand (in case of worsening of ocular symptoms). Laboratory safety parameters (differential blood count, platelets, coagulation values, liver enzymes, creatinine) were evaluated in parallel with the clinical examinations. Thyroid hormones were measured by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) every 4 weeks. At baseline and every 6 months, interferon antibodies were measured by ELISA (Bender MedSystems, Vienna, Austria).

Antinuclear antibodies and thyroid antibodies were evaluated by indirect immunofluorescence on Hep-2 cells and by ELISA at baseline and every 4 months. In case of positive

Name: _____

Id#: _____

Date: _____

Figure 2 Scoring sheet for posterior uveitis score (BenEzra *et al*⁴⁰).

RE

CL = chorioretinal lesions
RV = retinal vasculitis
NV = neovascularisation of disc

CL RV NV cross through if present

LE

Macular oedema (0,1) ☐

Papillitis (0-3) ☐

NVD (0,1) ☐

Additional notes:

Macular oedema (0,1) ☐

Papillitis (0-3) ☐

NVD (0,1) ☐

Table 2 Patient characteristics, disease manifestations

No	Sex	DOB	HLA-B51	Nat	dxBD	pmBD	Manifestation	pm eye	Eye manifestation	Macular oedema	
										od	os
1	F	1963	pos	G	1994	1992	ou, gu, j, skin, eye	1995	panuveitis od	x	
2	M	1973	neg	Ger	1995	1989	ou, gu, skin, eye	1995	panuveitis bil, occl vasc od	x	
3	M	1957	pos	I	1990	1989	ou, gu, j, eye	1989	panuveitis, occl vasc os		x
4	F	1956	neg	J	1995	1994	ou, gu, skin, eye	1990	panuveitis od	x	
5	F	1969	neg	T	1991	1989	ou, skin, cns, eye	1994	panuveitis os		
6	M	1953	pos	Ger	1989	1990	ou, skin, j, eye	1991	panuveitis od	x	
7	M	1965	pos	T	1989	1983	ou, eye, epid	1989	Panuveitis os		x
8	M	1965	pos	Ger	1992	1987	ou, gu, eye, skin	1992	panuveitis od	x	
9	M	1960	pos	T	1996	1994	ou, gu, j, eye, skin, gi	1996	panuveitis, bil	x	x
10	M	1969	neg	Alb	1997	1994	ou, j, eye	1991	panuveitis occl vasc os		x
11	M	1965	pos	T	1995	1994	ou, skin, eye	1995	panuveitis, hypopyon bil	x	x
12	M	1969	pos	T	1989	1989	ou, gu, skin j, thr, epid, eye	1996	panuveitis, bil	x	x
13	M	1963	pos	T	1994	1990	ou, skin epid, eye	1996	panuveitis os		x
14	F	1945	pos	Ger	1997	1981	ou, j, skin, eye	1985	panuveitis bil	x	x
15	F	1961	pos	T	1994	1994	ou, skin, eye	1995	panuveitis bil	x	x
16	F	1957	pos	T	1997	1993	ou, skin, j, eye	1996	panuveitis bil	x	x
17	F	1976	pos	Ger	1996	1996	ou, gu, skin, j, eye	1996	panuveitis bil, ret vasc os	x	x
18	M	1971	pos	Ger	1997	1996	ou, cns, thr, eye	1997	panuveitis os		
19	F	1958	neg	Ger	1990	1978	ou, gu, skin, eye	1987	posterior uveitis, occl vasc bil	x	x
20	M	1976	pos	T	1998	1999	ou, eye	1998	okklus vasc, panuveitis bil	x	x
21	M	1956	pos	Iran	1985	1985	ou, skin, j, eye	1985	panuveitis bil/occlus vasc od/papillitis os		
22	M	1978	pos	J	1999	1997	ou, skin, eye	1997	panuveitis, bil	x	x
23	F	1964	pos	T	1999	1999	ou, gu, skin, eye	1999	panuveitis bil		
24	F	1964	pos	T	1992	1992	ou, gu, skin eye	1992	panuveitis occl vasc od	x	
25	F	1970	pos	Ger	1998	1998	ou, gu, skin eye	1998	posterior uveitis bil		x
26	M	1973	pos	T	1994	1994	ou, gu, skin, eye	1994	panuveitis, hypopyon bil,	x	x
27	F	1972	neg	T	1996	1995	ou, gu, skin, eye	1996	panuveitis, hypopyon bil,	x	x
28	M	1963	pos	T	1991	1983	ou, gu, j, epid, eye	1991	panuveitis, od		
29	M	1974	pos	T	1995	1994	ou, eye	1994	panuveitis, hypopyon od	x	
30	M	1958	pos	T	1984	1984	ou, j, gi, eye	1984	occl vasc od	x	
31	F	1972	pos	Alb	1995	1995	ou, skin, j, thr, eye	1997	panuveitis bil		
32	M	1966	pos	T	1995	1996	ou, skin, eye	1996	panuveitis od		
33	M	1974	pos	T	1997	1996	ou, skin, j, epid, eye	1996	panuveitis bil	x	x
34	M	1965	neg	Ger	1996	1990	ou, gu, j, skin, eye	1997	uveitis post ret vasc od		
35	M	1967	pos	T	1994	1993	ou, gu, skin, j, eye	1994	post uveitis bil ret vasc, hypopyon bil	x	x
36	M	1955	pos	T	1989	1989	ou, gu, j, skin, eye	1989	panuveitis bil	x	x
37	M	1949	pos	T	1998	1992	ou, skin, eye epid,	1998	panuveitis bil	x	x
38	M	1970	neg	T	1997	1990	ou, gu, skin, j, eve	1997	panuveitis bil		x
39	M	1968	pos	Leb	1999	1997	ou, gu, j, eye	1997	panuveitis bil		
40	M	1972	pos	T	1996	1999	ou, gu, skin, eye	1999	panuveitis bil, occl vasc os (papillophlebitis)		x
41	M	1972	pos	T	1998	1997	ou, gu, skin, j, epid, eye	1998	panuveitis bil (occl vasc)	x	x
42	M	1972	pos	Ger	1998	1999	ou, skin, eye	1998	retinal vasculitis bil		
43	M	1962	pos	Mor	1998	1998	ou, skin, j, eye	1998	panuveitis, ret vasc bil	x	x
44	M	1976	neg	T	1996	1996	ou, skin, eye	1996	panuveitis bil		x
45	M	1977	pos	T	1999	1999	ou, gu, skin, eye	1999	panuveitis bil		x
46	M	1974	pos	T	1996	1991	ou, gu, skin, eye	1996	panuveitis bil	x	x
47	M	1960	pos	T	1999	1999	ou, skin, thrphleb, eye	1991	posterior uveitis os		x
48	M	1961	pos	T	1992	1992	ou, gu, j, eye	1992	posterior uveitis os		
49	M	1955	pos	Ger	1997	1992	ou, j, eye, skin	1997	panuveitis, retinal vasculitis bil	x	x
50	F	1972	pos	T	1997	1997	ou, gu, j, eye, cns	1997	episkleritis, retinal vasculitis od		

No = number; abbr = abbreviation; DOB = date of birth; nat = nationality; dxBD = diagnosis of BD (year); pmBD = primary manifestation (year); nd = not done; pos = positive; neg = negative; pm = primary manifestation; ou = oral ulcers; gu = genital ulcers; j = joint (arthritis); epid = epididymitis; thr = thrombosis; thrphleb = thrombophlebitis; od = right eye; os = left eye; bil = bilateral; Ster = steroids; CSA = cyclosporin A; AZA = azathioprine; MTX = methotrexate; Cy = cyclophosphamide; Chloramb = chlorambucil; MMF = mycophenolate mofetil; Col = colchicine; CR = complete remission; noncompl = non-compliance; T = Turkish; Ger = German; I = Italian; G = Greek; Mor = Moroccan; Leb = Lebanese; Alb = Albanian.

results, the antibodies were further specified by immunodiffusion or western blot. HLA-typing was performed by oligonucleotide typing at baseline. Side effects were documented by the patients in a diary.

Statistical analysis

If visual acuity was reduced below 0.063 the following standard was used for statistical evaluation: letter recognition at 1 metre = 0.02, counting fingers = 0.005, hand movements = 0.002, light perception = 0.001. Time trends were fitted as simple curves using linear analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). Individual curves had different intercepts for each patient (random effect estimated by restricted maximum likelihood

(REML)). IFN dose at the time of observation was included in all models along with its interaction with the respective time variable. As posterior uveitis score and visual acuity were observed for each eye, the status of the respective eye at the start of treatment as affected or unaffected had to be taken into account when estimating the improvement over time. For the Behçet's disease activity score (BD score) such a bisection was not necessary. Residuals' normality and homoscedasticity were assessed by quantile-quantile plot (QQ plot) and residuals by predicted plot, respectively. Quality of fit was recorded as adjusted coefficient of determination ($\text{adj } R^2$). Time to improvement of posterior uveitis score and BD score was defined as time to 50% below starting levels of the respective measurements. This was taken from the individual record and

Table 3 Patient characteristics, previous therapies, and course of IFN therapy

No	Previous therapy	Start IFN	Stop IFN	Reason stop IFN	Number of ocular relapses
1	Ster, CSA	Mar 95	Jun 97	CR	0
2	Ster, AZA	Nov 95	Sep 00	CR	2
3	Ster, Col, IFN γ	Nov 95			0
4	Ster, AZA	Dec 96	Nov 99	CR	0
5	Ster	Aug 96	May 97	CR	0
6	Ster, MTX	Oct 96			15
7	Ster, CSA, AZA	Sep 96	Jan 98	CR	1
8	Ster, CSA	Mar 97	Jan 00	CR	0
9	Ster, MTX	May 97	Jul 98	CR	0
10	Ster, CSA	May 97	Jul 98	CR	0
11	Ster, CSA	Mar 97			0
12	Ster, AZA	Apr 97			0
13	Ster	Mar 97	Mar 98	CR	0
14	Ster	Nov 97	Feb 99	CR	0
15	Ster	Nov 97	Dec 98	CR	0
16	Ster	Oct 97			0
17	Ster, CSA	Nov 98	Nov 99	noncompl (CR), AZA ineff	0
18	Ster, AZA	Jun 97	May 98	CR (eye), lost to follow up	
19	Ster, AZA, CSA	Dec 95	Jan 97	Ineff	
20	Ster, MMF	Jul 99			0
21	Ster	Apr 99			0
22	Ster, CSA	May 99			0
23	none	May 99	Aug 00	CR (noncompl), lost to follow-up	
24	Ster, AZA, CSA	Dec 98			0
25	Ster, CSA	Mar 99			0
26	Ster, CSA, Cy, AZA	May 97	Feb 98	ineff (Cy, ineff)	
27	Ster, CSA, Cy	Jun 97	Dec 97	side eff (CR), (AZA ineff)	
28	Ster, CSA	Oct 97	Oct 98	CR	0
29	Ster, CSA, Cy	Dec 97	May 98	ineff (Cy, AZA ineff)	
30	Ster, CSA	Aug 96	Feb 97	side eff (PR)	0
31	Ster Col	Dec 96	Jun 97	CR, lost to follow up	0
32	Ster, AZA	Jan 96	Jun 96	CR	0
33	Ster AZA	Aug 97	Aug 98	CR, died in car acc	
34	none	Sep 96	Dec 97	CR	0
35	Ster, CSA	Oct 96	Sep 97	add IFN γ	
36	Ster, AZA, CSA	Jun 99			0
37	Ster, AZA	Apr 99	Jan 00	CR	0
38	Ster	Aug 99			0
39	Ster, AZA	Mar 99	Jun 99	CR, pathergy pos	0
40	Ster	Oct 99			1
41	Ster, CSA	Aug 99			1
42	Ster, CSA	Sep 99			0
43	Ster	Jan 00			0
44	none	Jan 00			0
45	Ster, AZA	Feb 00			0
46	Ster, MTX, CSA	Jan 00			0
47	Ster, CSA, Chloramb	Mar 00			0
48	Ster	Aug 97			0
49	Ster, MTX, Cy	Dec 98			0
50	Ster	May 98	Jan 00	CR	1

Ster = steroids; CSA = cyclosporin A; AZA = azathioprine; MTX = methotrexate; Cy = cyclophosphamide; Chloramb = chlorambucil; MMF = mycophenolate mofetil; Col = colchicine; CR = complete remission; noncompl = noncompliance.

used to estimate the median for the patient population with its 95% confidence interval. Similarly, time to remission was defined as time to the first observation of the scores being 0 and estimated likewise.

RESULTS

The characteristics of the 50 patients are shown in Tables 2 and 3. All patients except three had previously received high dose (≥ 1.5 mg/kg bodyweight) steroids, which had not been effective after 2 weeks or later on could not be tapered to a reasonable maintenance dosage because of ocular relapses. Twenty six patients (52%) had had other, in some cases several, immunosuppressive therapies in various combinations (cyclosporin A (n = 20), azathioprine (n = 13), cyclophosphamide (n = 4), methotrexate (n = 4), colchicine (n = 2), chlorambucil (n = 1), mycophenolate mofetil (n = 1)), all of which had been ineffective for their ocular disease. Six of the patients (Nos 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 30) have already been included in a

previously published pilot study.³¹ Patient 7 is the patient with Kaposi's sarcoma. He had stopped IFN treatment in complete remission on his own in April 1997 and presented with a relapse of his posterior uveitis 6 weeks later in June 1997. He then was included in the present open study. One patient originally included in the first study withdrew her consent for further publication of her data and consequently was not included in the present evaluation. Another 27 patients have additionally been included in the second part of the pilot study (patients 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 17–25, 31, 33, 36, 37, 40–46).³² At baseline, 49 patients had been treated with systemic corticosteroids (>10 mg prednisolone equivalent) for their current ocular inflammation. This was reduced to 10 mg within 1–5 days (depending on the duration of previous steroid treatment) before initiation of IFN treatment. HLA B51 was positive in 42 patients (84%). Mean observation period is 36.4 months (range 12–72 months). BD scores could be obtained in 49 patients. Of 100 eyes, 79 were affected (posterior uveitis score ≥ 1).

Figure 3 (A) Mean visual acuity by week of IFN treatment for the affected (thick line) and the unaffected (thin line) eyes. (B) Visual acuity of the affected right eyes at weeks 0 and 24 (n=35), thick line: mean. (C) Visual acuity of the affected left eyes at weeks 0 and 24 (n=37), thick line: mean.

Response of ocular disease

Visual acuity

Visual acuity was regressed on the logarithm of weeks under therapy plus one. Mean visual acuity (VA) in the affected eyes (n = 79) rose significantly (slope $p < 0.0001$) from 0.56 (SD 0.37) at week 0 to 0.84 at week 24 and remained stable at week 108 (37 eyes) (SD 0.40) (Fig 3). In the affected eyes, it improved by 0.13 in the first week, but by less than 0.04 in the unaffected eyes. The effect of IFN dose could be neglected, as neither its own effect nor the interaction with the time variable were significant ($p = 0.8$ and 0.6 , respectively). Regarding the affected eyes at weeks 0 and 24 (n = 73, data for six eyes were not available at that exact time point), visual acuity improved (defined as improvement on the scale of at least two steps) in 75.3% (n = 55), remained stable in 22% (n = 16), and worsened in 2.7% (n = 2). The increase of visual acuity for the right eyes was 0.33, for the left eyes 0.36 (Fig 3B

Figure 4 Geometric mean of posterior uveitis score (PUS) by IFN treatment over time for affected eyes (thick line) and unaffected eyes (thin line).

and C). Three eyes were and remained blind. Altogether, seven eyes (7%) had a final visual acuity below 0.1, which in each case had remained unchanged in comparison with baseline. In all these cases, the loss of vision had been irreversible because of macular scars and/or retinal ischaemia as a consequence of longstanding refractory retinal vasculitis.

Posterior uveitis score

Posterior uveitis scores were transformed to natural logarithms of score plus one in 100 eyes, 79 of which were affected. There is no significant slope for the unaffected eyes ($p = 0.5$), but scores of the affected eyes were reduced by 46% weekly ($p < 0.001$, Fig 4). Applying the Bonferroni-Holm procedure in order to adjust for multiple testing renders the time-dose interaction insignificant. Fitted posterior uveitis score fell from 3.5 (week 0) to 0.4 at week 24. Remission (defined as a posterior uveitis score 0, remission of retinal inflammation) in all affected eyes of the responders (n = 71) was reached by week 24. The median time to remission was 4 weeks (95% confidence interval week 2 to week 4). Retinal infiltrates resolved after 2–3 weeks in all patients, and active vascular sheathing disappeared after 4–6 weeks, as did vitreous opacity. In two patients (Nos 2 and 3) an acute venous branch occlusion was present and the vessels were reperfused with only small areas of non-perfusion persisting in the periphery, as proved by fluorescein angiography. Macular oedema was seen in the fluorescein angiograms in 58 eyes and disappeared without additional therapy (for example, acetazolamide) in all cases. As an example, Figure 5 shows the retinal changes of patient No 41 before and after 6 months of IFN treatment.

Anterior uveitis score

At baseline, additional inflammation of the anterior chamber was present in 66 eyes. Median anterior uveitis score at initiation of IFN treatment of all affected eyes (n = 79, anterior uveitis score ≥ 1) was 1 (range 0–10), it fell to a median of 0 (range 0–3) at week 24 and to a median of 0 (range 0–3.5) at week 52, respectively. In general, 2 weeks after the first IFN application, the anterior chamber was free of inflammatory cells. As mentioned above, non-steroidal antirheumatic and prednisolone eye drops were given locally in case of anterior uveitis, thus it is not possible to decide whether the improvement in the anterior chamber of the eye was due to IFN or if it also would have been achieved with the local standard treatment alone.

Response of extraocular manifestations

Before treatment all 50 patients had typical oral aphthous ulcers (100%), 37 patients had cutaneous manifestations (74%), 26 genital ulcers (50.2%), 25 arthritis (50%), three

Figure 5 Patient No 40 (A) before IFN treatment. Right eye, oedema of optic disc and macula, macular bleeding, neovascularisation of the optic disc, VA 0.2; (B) 6 months later, right eye VA 1.0, normal fundus.

patients had vascular manifestations (6%), three CNS manifestations (6%), and two gastrointestinal ulcerations (4%). Mean Behçet's disease activity score fell from 5.8 to 3.3 at week 24 and further to 2.8 at week 52.

Dropouts and compliance

In addition to the four patients who did not or only partially respond to IFN treatment, two patients who were in complete remission were switched to azathioprine (AZA) because of hair loss (Nos 17 and 27), in both of whom it was not effective in controlling ocular inflammation. One patient (No 30) stopped IFN in complete remission because of diarrhea and is now being treated with low dose steroids. Three patients (Nos 18, 23, and 31) dropped out because of non-compliance in complete remission (they prematurely stopped the treatment on their own) and were lost to follow up later. These patients were statistically analysed as responders.

Non-responder, overall response, frequency, and severity of relapses

Three patients did not respond to dosages below or equal to 9 million units rhIFN α -2a; they were regarded as non-responders and switched to other treatments (patient No 19 cyclosporin plus azathioprine, patient No 26 cyclophosphamide, patient No 30 cyclophosphamide and azathioprine). All experienced progressive disease and loss of vision irrespective of the aggressive immunosuppression. One patient (No 34) received a combination of IFN γ and IFN α according to a previous case report,⁴² because of relapses of his panuveitis with IFN α dosages below 6 million IU daily. He entered complete remission with this combination and IFN α was discontinued, whereas IFN γ currently is being taken at a maintenance dose of 3 million IU three times per week. Thus, the overall response of ocular manifestations was 92% (46/50).

In 41 patients (82%), no ocular relapses occurred. rhIFN α -2a could be discontinued in 20 patients (40%) and tapered to the maintenance dose of 3 million IU three times weekly in 27 (54%). In six responders (12%), relapses with worsening of oral aphthous ulcers, cutaneous and articular manifestations, and minor ocular inflammation (mostly mild anterior uveitis) occurred during dose reduction below 3 million units three times weekly, which in all cases responded to an increase of rhIFN α -2a treatment according to the flow chart (Fig 1). The mean number of relapses in the responders was 0.44 (range 0–15); these relapses are mainly because of patient No 6, who experienced minor ocular relapses (isolated retinal infiltrates in the periphery) with each dose reduction (15 at all) and at present is in remission on the maintenance dose of 3 million IU three times weekly.

Adverse effects

The adverse effects are summarised in Table 4. Fever and arthralgia occurred in all patients during the first week of treatment with rhIFN α -2a. Reddening at the site of injection

Table 4 Side effects of IFN

Symptom	No	%
Flu-like syndrome	50	100
Reddening at site of injection	50	100
Leucopenia	20	40
Alopecia	12	24
Itching	10	20
Fibromyalgia	5	10
Depression	4	8
Worsening of psoriasis	2	4
Thyroiditis	2	4
Worsening of seizures	1	2
Autoantibodies		
ANA	8 (1dsDNA)	16
Thyroid	3	6

Flu-like syndrome is fatigue, headache, arthralgia, fever.
ANA = antinuclear antibodies.

was also observed in all patients, independent of IFN dosage. Leucopenia ($2000\text{--}3000 \times 10^6/l$) was observed in 20 patients (40%) with doses of 3 million units daily or above. Hair loss which improved with dose reduction, was observed in 12 (24%) patients and was the cause for stopping the otherwise effective treatment with rhIFN α -2a in two of them (Nos 17 and 27). Depression was observed in four patients (8%), but improved in all but one after 2 weeks or after dose reduction of IFN. The remaining individual (No 15) was treated successfully with amitriptyline. In two patients (Nos 6 and 9), a pre-existing psoriasis worsened. In patient No 6 no treatment was necessary, in the other (No 9), generalised psoriasis occurred and topical therapy with psoralen and ultraviolet B light was applied. Itching was another side effect observed in 10 patients (20%) on 6 million IU but not at lower doses. Five patients (10%) developed fibromyalgia during IFN treatment, which also improved with dose reduction. One patient experienced an increased number of epileptic seizures on IFN α -2a which were primarily due to his CNS vasculitis. These were successfully treated with valproinic acid.

Autoimmune phenomena

In eight patients (16%), antinuclear antibodies, in one of them (No 5), dsDNA antibodies developed. In all cases, there was no sign of clinical connective tissue disease except fibromyalgia in one patient (No 15). Antithyroid antibodies were observed in three patients (Nos 2, 8, and 15). Patients 8 and 15 developed Hashimoto thyroiditis with subsequent hypothyroidism. Anti-IFN antibodies remained negative in all patients during the follow up.

Maintenance dosage/discontinuation of therapy

In 20 patients (40%) therapy was discontinued after a mean period of 16.4 months (range 3–58) without relapse of ocular symptoms. The mean observation period after discontinuation

of IFN γ in 17 of these 20 patients (those who were not lost to follow up or died later) is 29.5 months (range 7–58). In four of the patients (Nos 1, 2, 3, and 9) oral aphthous ulcers and cutaneous symptoms worsened after discontinuation of IFN but they were successfully treated with colchicine. In the other responders, IFN was reduced to 3 million units three times weekly, but could not be tapered off completely. Steroids were completely tapered off in 81% of the responders. Mean prednisolone dosage of the responders at baseline was 97 mg (range 0–1000), at week 52 it had been reduced to a mean of 2 mg (range 0–10).

DISCUSSION

Interferon alfa-2a was effective (response rate 92%) and rapidly acting (time to response 2–4 weeks) for the treatment of severe ocular Behçet's disease. No primarily unaffected eyes became involved during the observation period. The mean number of relapses was very low (0.44 during a mean observation period of 36.4 months). For cyclosporin A, the response rates reported in small, uncontrolled series and two controlled studies were between 80% and 91%.^{13–17} Of note, doses of 5–10 mg/kg body weight were used, side effects were frequent, and the number of relapses during dose reduction was high. Time to response with cyclosporin A is not reported in these studies. Cyclosporin A was significantly more effective than cyclophosphamide (1000 mg intravenous pulses monthly)¹⁶ or colchicine (response rate 33%).¹⁴ For azathioprine, no such data are available, but in one large placebo controlled trial azathioprine treatment led to significantly better visual acuity than placebo after 24 months.¹¹ Frequency of ocular attacks could be significantly reduced with both drugs, with a mean of six per year for cyclosporin A.¹⁶ Although the different study designs hamper the comparison of the data reported in the literature, rhIFN α -2a, as studied in this report, seems to have at least the same response rates as high dose cyclosporin A, but it may lead to a more stable response with a lower frequency of ocular relapses. With rhIFN α -2a only 7% of all eyes (9% of the affected eyes) at the end of the observation period had a visual acuity below 0.1, which may be better than the data reported in the literature (20–50% after 5 years), although the results of the re-evaluation of the IFN treated patients after 5 years must be awaited. Furthermore, discontinuation of rhIFN α -2a without ocular relapses was possible in 40% of the patients, which to our knowledge has not been reported for the immunosuppressive drugs.

Side effects of rhIFN α -2a were frequent but, except for hypothyroidism, dose dependent and reversible. The development of autoimmune phenomena during treatment with IFN may be a major concern. These have been observed in patients with chronic hepatitis C and malignant, especially haematological, diseases⁴³ treated with IFN. Mostly, thyroid antibodies and antinuclear antibodies (ANA) have been described, which were rarely associated with clinically overt thyroid or connective tissue disease.^{44–47} In the present study, autoantibodies developed in 16% of the patients. Thyroid antibodies were detected in three patients (6%), with thyroiditis in two. ANA were not associated with clinically overt autoimmune phenomena in our study, although fibromyalgia in connection with the appearance of autoantibodies, especially dsDNA, may be regarded as an early sign of development of connective tissue disease, because fibromyalgia often is associated with connective tissue diseases, especially with systemic lupus erythematosus.⁴⁸ The worsening or even de novo development of psoriasis has also been described with the use of IFN in the literature⁴⁹ and was observed in two of our patients (4%), who were the only ones with a history of psoriasis. Other, less frequent, side effects described in the literature are an interferon induced retinopathy with retinal infiltrates similar to those occurring in Behçet's disease itself, which has mainly been observed in patients with hepatitis C,^{50–51} and an anterior

ischaemic optic neuropathy.⁵² The development of cutaneous leucocytoclastic vasculitis and even Behçet's disease itself during IFN has been described.^{53–55} We did not observe any of these. In contrast, retinal infiltrates and even macular oedema disappeared during treatment with rhIFN α -2a, as did cutaneous vasculitis.

The mode of action of rhIFN α in Behçet's disease is still unknown. It has many immunomodulatory effects, such as enhancement of HLA class I antigen expression on lymphoid cells and T and NK cell cytotoxicity, and it diverts the T cell response in the direction of Th1.⁵⁶ All these effects may be helpful in improving the elimination of foreign antigens, which have been implicated in the pathogenesis of Behçet's disease.^{57–59} Furthermore, it inhibits the proliferation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells,⁶⁰ which are increased and may have a pathological role in Behçet's disease.⁶¹ IFN also has immunosuppressive effects which could directly suppress vasculitis, as it does, for example, inhibit the adhesion of T cells to endothelial cells.⁶²

Interferon alfa-2a may be superior to the standard immunosuppressive treatments especially for the treatment of severe attacks of panuveitis and retinal vasculitis with respect to its rapid action, potential for complete remissions with restoration of visual acuity and complete tapering of medication without relapse. A definitive evaluation of the efficacy of rhIFN α -2a in ocular Behçet's disease will require randomised controlled trials against azathioprine or cyclosporin A.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Professor CA Müller, Tübingen, for the HLA analysis, Dr R Klein, Tübingen, for the detection of autoantibodies; U Rückwaldt and S Koch for their technical assistance; and Professor Graham Pawelec, Tübingen, for his critical review of the manuscript.

Authors' affiliations

I Kötter, I Günaydin, University Hospital, Departments of Internal Medicine II (Hematology, Oncology, Immunology and Rheumatology) and Ophthalmology, Tübingen, Germany
M Zierhut, N Stübiger, University Hospital, Department of Ophthalmology I, Tübingen, Germany
A K Eckstein, University Hospital, Department of Ophthalmology, Essen, Germany
R Vonthein, Department of Medical Biometry, University of Tübingen, Germany
T Ness, University Hospital, Department of Ophthalmology, Freiburg, Germany
B Grimbacher, University Hospital, Division of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Freiburg, Germany
S Blaschke, University Hospital, Department of Nephrology and Rheumatology, Göttingen, Germany
W Meyer-Riemann, University Hospital, Department of Ophthalmology, Göttingen, Germany
H H Peter, University Hospital, Division of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Freiburg, Germany

REFERENCES

- 1 Yazici H. Behçet's disease. In: Klippel JH, Dieppe PA, eds. *Rheumatology*. St Louis: Mosby 1998;7:26.1–2.
- 2 Behçet H. Über rezidivierende, aphthöse, durch ein Virus verursachte Geschwüre am Mund, am Auge und an den Genitalien. *Dermatol Wschr* 1937;**36**:1152–7.
- 3 Wechsler B. Lésions anatomo-pathologiques observées au cours de la maladie de Behçet. *Sém Hop Paris* 1986;**62**:1337–40.
- 4 Shimizu T, Ehrlich G, Inaba G, et al. Behçet's disease. *Sem Arthritis Rheum* 1979;**8**:223–60.
- 5 Main DMG, Chamberlain MA. Clinical differentiation of oral ulceration in Behçet's disease. *Br J Rheumatol* 1992;**31**:767–70.
- 6 Ben Ezra D, Cohen E. Treatment and visual prognosis in Behçet's disease. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1986;**70**:589–92.
- 7 Cochereau-Massin I, Wechsler B, Le-Hoang P, et al. Pronostic oculaire de la maladie de Behçet. *J Fr Ophthalmol* 1992;**15**:343–7.
- 8 Mishima S, Masuda K, Izawa Y, et al. Behçet's disease in Japan: ophthalmologic aspects. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc* 1979;**57**:225–79.
- 9 Kötter I, Dürk H, Saal JG, et al. Therapy of Behçet's disease. *Ger J Ophthalmol* 1996;**5**:92–7.
- 10 Ando K, Fujino Y, Hijikata K, et al. Epidemiological features and visual prognosis of Behçet's disease. *Jpn J Ophthalmol* 1999;**43**:312–17.
- 11 Yazici H, Pazarli H, Barnes CG, et al. A controlled trial of azathioprine in Behçet's syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 1990;**322**:281–5.

- 12 **Hamuryudan V**, Özyazgan Y, Hizli N, *et al.* Azathioprine in Behçet's syndrome. *Arthr Rheum* 1997;**40**:769–74.
- 13 **BenEzra D**, Cohen E, Chajek T, *et al.* Evaluation of conventional therapy versus cyclosporine A in Behçet's syndrome. *Transplant Proc* 1988;**20**:136–43.
- 14 **Masuda K**, Urayama A, Kogure M, *et al.* Double-masked trial of cyclosporin versus colchicine and long-term open study of cyclosporin in Behçet's disease. *Lancet* 1989;ii:1093–5.
- 15 **Nussenblatt RB**, Palestine AG, Chan CC, *et al.* Effectiveness of cyclosporin therapy for Behçet's disease. *Arthritis Rheum* 1985;**28**:671–9.
- 16 **Özyazgan Y**, Yurdakul S, Yazici H, *et al.* Low dose cyclosporin A versus pulsed cyclophosphamide in Behçet's syndrome: a single masked trial. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1992;**76**:241–3.
- 17 **Süllü Y**, Öge I, Erkan D, *et al.* Cyclosporin-A therapy in severe uveitis of Behçet's disease. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand* 1998;**76**:96–9.
- 18 **Bang D**. Treatment of Behçet's disease. *Yonsei Med J* 1997;**38**:401–10.
- 19 **Alpsöy E**, Yilmaz E, Basaran E. Interferon therapy for Behçet's disease. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1994;**31**:617–19.
- 20 **Hamuryudan V**, Moral F, Yurdakul S, *et al.* Systemic interferon 2b treatment in Behçet's syndrome. *J Rheumatol* 1994;**21**:1098–100.
- 21 **Zouboulis CC**, Treudler R, Orfanos CE. Morbus Adamantiades-Behçet. Therapeutischer Einsatz von systemischem rekombinantem Interferon-2a. *Hautarzt* 1993;**44**:440–5.
- 22 **Fierlbeck G**, Rassner G. Rekombinantes Interferon-gamma bei Psoriasis arthropathica, progressiv-systemischer Sklerodermie und Morbus Behçet. *Med Klin* 1994;**31**:695–9.
- 23 **Tsamboas D**, Eichelberg D, Goos M. Behçet's syndrome: treatment with recombinant leukocyte alpha-interferon. *Arch Dermatol Res* 1986;**278**:335–6.
- 24 **Feron EJ**, Rothova A, van Hagen PM, *et al.* Interferon-2b for refractory ocular Behçet's disease. *Lancet* 1994;**343**:1428.
- 25 **Durand JM**, Kaplanski G, Telle H, *et al.* Beneficial effects of interferon- α 2b in Behçet's disease. *Arthritis Rheum* 1993;**36**:1025.
- 26 **Wechsler B**, Bodaghi B, Le Thi Huong D, *et al.* Efficacy of interferon alfa-2a in severe and refractory uveitis associated with Behçet's disease. *Ocular Immunol Inflamm* 2000;**8**:293–301.
- 27 **Georgiou S**, Monastirli A, Pasmatzis E, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of systemic recombinant interferon-alpha in Behçet's disease. *J Intern Med* 1998;**243**:367–72.
- 28 **Azizerli G**, Sarica R, Köse A, *et al.* Interferon alfa-2a in the treatment of Behçet's disease. *Dermatology* 1996;**192**:239–41.
- 29 **Dündar S**, Demiro H, Özcebe O, *et al.* Alpha interferon in Behçet's disease. *Hematol Rev* 1996;**9**:285–90.
- 30 **Sánchez Román J**, Aguilera Pulido MC, Castillo Palma MJ, *et al.* Utilización de interferón alfa 2r en el tratamiento de las uveitis autoinmunes (primarias o asociadas a enfermedad de Behçet). *Rev Clin Esp* 1996;**196**:293–8.
- 31 **Kötter I**, Eckstein AK, Stübiger N, *et al.* Treatment of ocular symptoms of Behçet's disease with interferon 2a: a pilot study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1998;**82**:488–94.
- 32 **Stübiger N**, Kötter I, Deuter C, *et al.* Morbus Behçet: Uveitis—Therapie mit Interferon α 2a—prospektive klinische (Pilot-) Studie an 33 Patienten. *Klin Monatsbl Augenheilkd* 2001;**218**:768–73.
- 33 **O'Duffy JD**, Calamia K, Cohen S, *et al.* Interferon: treatment of Behçet's disease. *J Rheumatol* 1998;**25**:1938–44.
- 34 **Demiroglu H**, Özcebe OI, Barista I, *et al.* Interferon alfa-2b, colchicine, and benzathine penicillin versus colchicine and benzathine penicillin in Behçet's disease: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2000;**355**:605–9.
- 35 **Eldem B**. Behçet's disease and interferon: flaws in research integrity of randomised trial. *Lancet* 2000;**356**:1350.
- 36 **Horton R**. Retraction: interferon alfa-2b . . . in Behçet's disease. *Lancet* 2000;**356**:1292.
- 37 **Demiroglu H**. Behçet's disease and interferon: flaws in research and integrity of randomized trial. *Lancet* 2000;**356**:1341–52.
- 38 **Kötter I**, Aepinus C, Graepel F, *et al.* HHV8 associated Kaposi's sarcoma during triple immunosuppressive treatment with cyclosporin A, azathioprine, and prednisolone for ocular Behçet's Disease and complete remission of both disorders with interferon A. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2001;**60**:83–6.
- 39 **International Study Group for Behçet's Disease**. Criteria for diagnosis of Behçet's disease. *Lancet* 1990;**335**:1078–80.
- 40 **BenEzra D**, Forrester JV, Nussenblatt RB, *et al.* Uveitis scoring system. Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1991.
- 41 **Rigby AS**, Chamberlain MA, Bhakta B. Behçet's disease. In: *Classification and assessment of rheumatic diseases: Part I Baillière's clinical rheumatology*. 1995;**9**:375–95.
- 42 **Kötter I**, Dürk H, Eckstein A, *et al.* Erosive arthritis and posterior uveitis in Behçet's disease: treatment with interferon α and interferon γ . *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1996;**14**:313–15.
- 43 **Fritzsche J**, Krug J, Heberling HJ. Interferontherapie und Autoimmunität. *Med Klin* 1997;**5**:265–72.
- 44 **Rönblom LE**, Alm GV, Öberg KE. Autoimmunity after alpha-interferon therapy for malignant carcinoid tumors. *Ann Intern Med* 1991;**115**:178–83.
- 45 **Fattovich G**, Betterle C, Brollo L, *et al.* Autoantibodies during alpha-interferon therapy for chronic hepatitis B. *Br J Med Virol* 1991;**34**:132–5.
- 46 **Wandl UB**, Nagel-Hiemke M, May D, *et al.* Lupus-like autoimmune disease induced by interferon therapy for myeloproliferative disorders. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol* 1992;**65**:70–4.
- 47 **Prezati D**, La Rosa L, Covini G, *et al.* Autoimmunity and thyroid function in patients with chronic active hepatitis treated with recombinant interferon alpha-2a. *Eur J Endocrinol* 1995;**132**:587–93.
- 48 **Bennett R**. The concurrence of lupus and fibromyalgia: implications for diagnosis and management. *Lupus* 1997;**6**:494–9.
- 49 **Quesada JR**, Gutterman JU. Psoriasis and alpha-interferon. *Lancet* 1986;ii:1466–8.
- 50 **Guyar DR**, Tiedemann J, Yannuzzi LA, *et al.* Interferon-associated retinopathy. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1993;**111**:350–6.
- 51 **Kawano T**, Shigehira M, Uto H, *et al.* Retinal complications during interferon therapy for chronic hepatitis C. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1996;309–13.
- 52 **Purvin VA**. Anterior ischemic optic neuropathy secondary to interferon alfa. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1995;**113**:1041–4.
- 53 **Pateron D**, Fain O, Sehonnu J, *et al.* Severe necrotizing vasculitis in a patient with hepatitis C virus infection treated by interferon. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1996;**14**:79–81.
- 54 **Segawa F**, Shimizu Y, Saito E, *et al.* Behçet's disease induced by interferon therapy for chronic myelogenous leukemia. *J Rheumatol* 1995;**22**:1183–4.
- 55 **Budak-Alpdogan T**, DemirHay Z, Alpdogan Ö, *et al.* Behçet's disease in patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia: possible role of interferon-alpha treatment in the occurrence of Behçet's symptoms. *Ann Hematol* 1997;**74**:45–8.
- 56 **Belardelli F**, Gresser I. The neglected role of type I interferon in the T-cell response: implications for its clinical use. *Immunol Today* 1996;**17**:369–72.
- 57 **Young C**, Lehner T, Barnes CG. CD4 and CD8 cell responses to herpes simplex virus in Behçet's disease. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1988;**73**:6–10.
- 58 **Kiraz S**, Ertenli I, Benekli M, *et al.* Parvovirus B19 infection in Behçet's disease. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1996;**14**:71–3.
- 59 **Lehner T**, Fortune F, Studd M. T cell immunomodulation in Behçet's disease and consideration of microbial etiology involving herpes simplex virus, heat shock proteins and streptococcal antigens. In: O'Duffy JD, Kokmen E, eds. *Behçet's disease*. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1995:463–73.
- 60 **Metzger R**, Heckl-Österreicher B, Nerl C, *et al.* Immunological studies of T cells in a case of large granular lymphocyte (LGL) leukemia: leukemic + T cells are resistant to growth stimulation in vitro but respond to interferon- α treatment in vivo. *Leukemia Res* 1992;**16**:1087–95.
- 61 **Hasan A**, Fortune F, Wilson A, *et al.* Role of T cells in pathogenesis and diagnosis of Behçet's disease. *Lancet* 1996;**347**:789–94.
- 62 **Eguchi K**, Kawakami A, Nakashima M, *et al.* Interferon-alpha and dexamethasone inhibit adhesion of T cells to endothelial cells and synovial cells. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1992;**88**:448–54.