SCIENTIFIC REPORT

Efficacy of core vitrectomy preceding triple corneal procedure

K Konomi, J Shimazaki, S Shimmura, N Akabane, E Goto, K Tsubota

Br J Ophthalmol 2004;88:1023–1025. doi: 10.1136/bjo.2003.033902

Aims: To evaluate the effectiveness of core vitrectomy preceding triple corneal procedure (penetrating keratoplasty, extracapsular cataract extraction, and intraocular lens (IOL) implantation).

Methods: Thirty one consecutive eyes of 31 patients with indication for triple corneal procedure were randomly assigned to either triple procedure with core vitrectomy (vitrectomy group) or without vitrectomy (control group). The success rate of IOL implantation, IOL positioning, intra-operative and postoperative complications, endothelial cell loss, and best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) were compared. Follow up period was six months. Factors that may contribute to vitreous pressure elevation were also investigated in each case.

Results: There was no statistically significant difference in each clinical parameter examined except a tendency of facilitating IOL implantation ($p=0.11$). There were two cases of vitreous loss in the control group. Retinal detachment was not seen in any of the cases. The body mass index and age were related to higher vitreous pressure ($p<0.05$).

Conclusion: Core vitrectomy preceding triple corneal procedure is not necessary for all cases.

Triple corneal procedure (penetrating keratoplasty, extracapsular cataract extraction (ECCE), and intraocular lens implantation (IOL)) has become the standard treatment for patients with corneal opacity and cataract.^{1–5} As vitreous pressure is one of the most important factors to consider in order to accomplish this procedure safely, several methods have been attempted to reduce preoperative pressure.^{6–7} Standard prophylaxis such as ocular compression and administration of osmotic agents is easily performed,^{8–9} but sometimes ineffective in reducing vitreous pressure sufficiently. Core vitrectomy preceding triple corneal procedure is sometimes performed to reduce vitreous volume and to prevent the vitreous pressure from increasing.^{10–11} However, there have been no prospective studies that have evaluated patients with and without core vitrectomy preceding triple corneal procedure. In the present study, we examine the efficacy and safety of core vitrectomy compared with standard treatments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in a prospective, randomised fashion where patients were randomly assigned based on a surgical chart number. Patients with odd numbers were assigned to triple procedure with core vitrectomy, and those with even numbers to triple procedure without core vitrectomy.

Patients requiring penetrating keratoplasty and cataract surgery were enrolled between March 2001 and October 2002

in the Department of Ophthalmology, Tokyo Dental College. All patients received information about the purpose of the study as well as advantages and disadvantages of core vitrectomy. Informed consent was obtained from all patients who agreed to participate in the study. As a result, 32 eyes of 32 patients were enrolled and randomly assigned to either triple procedure with core vitrectomy ($n=15$) or without core vitrectomy ($n=17$) according to the study design described above. Surgery was performed by three surgeons (KT, JS, and SS).

One eye in the vitrectomy group was excluded from this study because of retrobulbar haemorrhage. The vitrectomy group consisted of three males (three eyes) and 11 females (11 eyes) with a mean (standard deviation) age of 66.6 (SD 8.9) years (range 49–78 years). The control group consisted of four males (four eyes) and 13 females (13 eyes) with a mean age of 73.2 (SD 7.5) years (range 61–83 years). Statistical difference was seen in the average age between two groups ($p=0.034$). The original disease in each group is shown in table 1.

Surgical methods

Ocular compression was performed preoperatively with a pneumatic pressure device set at 40 mm Hg for 15 minutes. Acetazolamide (250 mg) was administered one hour before surgery, but intravenous osmotic agents were not administered. All patients were operated under retrobulbar anaesthesia with 2% lidocaine (Xylocaine, Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co, Osaka, Japan).

In the core vitrectomy group, a single sclerotomy was made 3.5 mm posterior to the corneal limbus in the superotemporal quadrant. A vitreous cutter was inserted 10–12 mm into the centre of the vitreous cavity and vitreous was excised and approximately 0.3 ml was aspirated. After digitally confirming the reduction of ocular pressure, the sclerotomy was closed with interrupted 8–0 vicryl sutures avoiding vitreous herniation.

Triple corneal procedure was then performed in a standardised fashion. A scleral ring was fixed to the globe with 6–0 silk sutures. Anterior Chamber was filled with Healon (sodium hyaluronate, Pharmacia & Upjohn Co, Kalamazoo, MI, USA) and then the recipient cornea was excised using the 7.5 mm diameter Hessburg-Barron trephine (Katena Products Inc, Denville, NJ, USA). After excision of the cornea, continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis was performed with the 4.5 mm diameter motor trephine (Micro-Keratoron, Geuder AG, Heidelberg, Germany) or capsular forceps and ECCE was performed. Simco Cortex Extractor (Katena) was used to aspirate residual cortex with the aspiration-irrigation soft contact lens which stabilised the anterior chamber¹² during cortex aspiration. An 8.0 mm or

Abbreviations: BCVA, best corrected visual acuity; BMI, body mass index; ECCE, extracapsular cataract extraction; ICCE, intracapsular cataract extraction; IOL, intraocular lens.

Table 1 Patient characteristics

	Vitrectomy group	Control group	p Value
Number of subjects	14	17	
Mean (SD) age (years)	66.6 (8.9)	73.2 (7.5)	0.034*
Sex/male	3	4	1.0†
Mean (SD) axial length (mm)	23.9 (2.7)	22.6 (1.6)	0.10*
Mean (SD) BMI	24.0 (4.5)	22.7 (3.6)	0.37*
History of glaucoma	1	1	1.0†
Diagnosis			
Bullous keratopathy	6	9	0.72†
Herpetic keratitis	2	2	1.0†
Leukoma	5	6	1.0†
Chemical burn	1	0	0.45†

SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index.

*Two tailed *t* test.

†Fisher's exact test.

7.75 mm donor button was punched out using the Barron donor punch (Katena). Healon (Pharmacia & Upjohn) was injected to dilate the capsular bag and IOL was implanted in the bag in the open sky condition. If the posterior capsule was elevated above the iris because of vitreous pressure, IOL implantation was performed after temporarily suturing the donor cornea with 10–0 nylon sutures. The donor cornea was secured to the recipient's cornea with 10–0 nylon single continuous running suture.

After surgery, intraoperative suture adjustment was performed in both groups.¹³ Extended wear soft contact lenses were placed, and subconjunctival corticosteroids and antibiotics were given.

Eyes in both groups received topical 0.5% levofloxacin (Cravit, Santen Pharmaceutical Co, Osaka, Japan) and 0.1% betamethasone (Sanbetason, Santen) five times a day.

The efficacy of core vitrectomy was determined by comparing the success rate of IOL implantation, IOL positioning, the timing of IOL implantation, and intraoperative complications. Postoperative complications, endothelial cell loss, and best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) were also compared in the two groups. Body mass index (BMI) was measured in every case. Fisher's exact test was used for statistical analysis. Differences in corneal endothelial density loss, age, BMI, and axial length were analysed using the unpaired *t* test. The average BCVA of both groups was compared using Mann-Whitney's U test. A *p* value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. The postoperative follow up period was 6 months.

RESULTS

The surgical results and postoperative complications are shown in table 2.

Statistical differences between the two groups were not seen in all parameters. However, the success rate of implanting an IOL in the capsular bag was slightly higher in the vitrectomy group compared with control (*p* = 0.11).

In the vitrectomy group, the success rate of IOL implantation was 13 of 14 eyes (92.8%). The IOL was implanted in the bag in 11 of 13 eyes (84.6%). IOL implantation was not performed in one eye because of an unplanned intracapsular cataract extraction (ICCE), and two eyes had the IOL out of the bag (into the ciliary sulcus or the sulcus and the bag). The IOL was implanted under the open-sky condition in 11 of 13 eyes (84.6%) of the patients.

Endothelial cell loss was 18.9% at the 6 month postoperative follow up examination with an average BCVA of 0.24. No vitreous herniation or loss occurred; however there was one eye with an unplanned ICCE. No other intraoperative complications were seen in this group. Postoperatively,

Table 2 Clinical results

	Vitrectomy group (n = 14)	Control group (n = 17)	p Value
Success in IOL implantation	13 (92.8%)	16 (94.1%)	0.71*
IOL in the bag	11 (84.6%) (n = 13)	9 (56.3%) (n = 16)	0.11*
Intraoperative complications	1	4	0.23*
Capsular rupture or vitreous loss	1	2	0.29*
Unplanned ICCE	1	1	0.71*
Iris damage	0	2	0.29*
Postoperative complications	4	5	0.59*
Ocular hypertension	3	3	0.57*
IOL capture or posterior synechia	2	3	0.60*
Persistent epithelial defect	1	0	0.45*
Graft rejection	0	0	
Retinal detachment or oedema	0	0	
Corneal endothelial cell loss	18.9%	22.1%	0.84†
BCVA	0.24	0.25	0.80‡

IOL, intraocular lens; ICCE, intracapsular cataract extraction; BCVA, best corrected visual acuity.

*Fisher's exact test.

†two tailed *t* test.

‡Mann-Whitney U test.

there were two cases of IOL capture or posterior synechia, three cases of ocular hypertension, and one case of persistent epithelial defect.

In the control group, the success rate of IOL implantation was 16 of 17 eyes (94.1%). The IOL was implanted in the bag in nine of 16 eyes (56.3%) of the patients. IOL implantation was not performed in one eye because of an unplanned ICCE, and seven eyes with the IOL out of the bag. The IOL was implanted under the open sky condition in nine of 16 eyes (56.3%) of the patients. Endothelial cell loss was 22.1% at the 6 month postoperative follow up examination with an average BCVA of 0.25.

There were two cases of vitreous loss because of intraoperative complications. Unplanned ICCE was performed in one of these cases. Iris damage was observed in two eyes. Postoperatively, there were three cases of IOL capture or posterior synechia and three cases of ocular hypertension. Retinal detachment and cystoid macular oedema were not seen in either group.

On the other hand, the comparison of preoperative characteristics between vitreous loss or unplanned ICCE cases and other cases is shown in table 3. Of three cases with vitreous loss or unplanned lens extraction, the average BMI (BMI = 28.1) was higher than that of the others (BMI = 22.5) (*p* = 0.03). The mean age (63 (SD 3.5) years, range 61–67 years) of these three cases was lower than that (71 (SD 8.8) years range 49–83 years) of the others (*p* = 0.027). There was no relation between the axial length and vitreous loss (*p* = 0.34).

DISCUSSION

To perform the triple procedure safely, some preventative measures have been attempted to reduce vitreous pressure and there have been some studies that supported the efficacy of vitrectomy or vitreous aspiration.^{7–10, 11} In this study, we designed a prospective randomised clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy of core vitrectomy.

In the vitrectomy group, there was no vitreous loss or herniation, whereas there were two cases of vitreous loss in

Table 3 Comparison of preoperative characteristics between vitreous loss or unplanned ICCE cases and the other cases

	Vitreous loss (+) or unplanned ICCE	Other cases	p Value
Number of subjects	3	28	
Mean (SD) age (years)	63 (3.5)	71 (8.8)	0.027*
Mean (SD) axial length (mm)	22.3 (1.1)	23.3 (2.3)	0.27*
Mean (SD) BMI	28.1 (3.1)	22.8 (3.8)	0.03*
History of glaucoma	0	2	0.81†

SD, standard deviation.

*Two tailed *t* test.

†Fisher's exact test.

the control group. Posterior capsular rupture usually occurred during aspiration of residual cortex because of increased vitreous pressure pushing the capsule forward. In this study, the same condition was seen in only one eye in the control group. In the other two cases, the crystalline lenses came out spontaneously after corneal excision in the open sky condition and an unplanned ICCE was performed in each case. The unplanned ICCE case in the vitrectomy group did not require additional anterior vitrectomy as there was no vitreous loss. This indicates that the anterior vitreous face was intact, and that core vitrectomy was effective in reducing the vitreous volume.

The rate of posterior capsular rupture during the triple corneal procedure varies according to studies (4.0–40%).^{7–11} In our institute, the rate was 0% in the core vitrectomy group and 5.9% in the control group. Core vitrectomy reduced vitreous pressure, and may ameliorate the feasibility of the IOL implantation ($p = 0.11$) in the bag, though there was no significant difference in the two groups. The precise reason is unknown but it may be related not only to the volume of residual vitreous but also the zonule and other factors.

Postoperatively, there were no significant differences between the two groups in visual acuity or endothelial cell loss.

The disadvantages of core vitrectomy preceding triple corneal procedure include additional time required to perform surgery, and difficulty in suturing the cornea under hypotony. There was no severe retinal complication such as retinal detachment in the vitrectomy group, but there are some risks compared with the control group. Some studies have shown increased incidence of retinal detachment and retinal oedema.^{14–15} If the hyaloid face is disrupted, the incidence of cystoid macular oedema is significantly higher¹⁶ so pars plana vitrectomy or vitreous aspiration is preferred rather than through the pupil if the vitreous face is intact.

There are some predisposing factors for increased vitreous pressure. Age, obesity (high BMI), anaesthesia, eyelid pressure, blood pressure, and a history of glaucoma are thought to be factors. Controlling these factors is also important to prevent complications. In the present study, we also evaluated the relation between vitreous loss or

unplanned ICCE and age, axial length, BMI, and history of glaucoma. We found that axial length and history of glaucoma were not related, but age and BMI were related to these complications. The mean age of the vitrectomy group was also statistically younger than that of the control group ($p = 0.034$). This might have had some influence of increasing the risk of these complications of the vitrectomy group; however, there was no case of vitreous loss in this group. This result may support the effectiveness of core vitrectomy. Consequently, some preventative procedures must be taken to avoid complications if the patient has a high BMI, or is of a younger age.

Effective orbital and lid anaesthesia and the positioning of the lid speculum are important to minimise the external pressure on the globe. Lateral canthotomy is also useful if the palpebral fissure is narrow.

Core vitrectomy preceding triple corneal procedure is not necessary for all cases, but in some cases, core vitrectomy may be considered to facilitate IOL implantation.

Authors' affiliations

K Konomi, J Shimazaki, S Shimmura, N Akabane, E Goto, K Tsubota, Department of Ophthalmology, Tokyo Dental College, Ichikawa, Japan

Correspondence to: Dr K Konomi, Department of Ophthalmology, Tokyo Dental College, Ichikawa General Hospital, Sugano 5-11-13, Ichikawa City, Chiba 272-8513, Japan; jzt02060@nifty.ne.jp

Accepted 17 January 2004

REFERENCES

- 1 Taylor DM. Keratoplasty and intraocular lenses. *Ophthalmic Surg* 1976;7:31–42.
- 2 Taylor DM, Khaliq A. Keratoplasty and intraocular lenses: follow-up study. *Ophthalmic Surg* 1977;8:49–57.
- 3 Taylor DM, Khaliq A, Maxwell R. Keratoplasty and intraocular lenses: current status. *Ophthalmology* 1979;86:242–55.
- 4 Lindstrom RL, Harris WS, Doughman DJ. Combined penetrating keratoplasty, extracapsular cataract extraction, and posterior chamber lens implantation. *J Am Intraocul Implant Soc* 1981;7:130–2.
- 5 Hunkeler JD, Hyde LL. The triple procedure: combined penetrating keratoplasty, extracapsular cataract extraction and lens implantation. An expanded experience. *J Am Intraocul Implant Soc* 1983;9:20–4.
- 6 McCartney DL, Gottsch JD, Stark WJ. Managing posterior pressure during pseudophakic keratoplasty. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1989;107:1384–6.
- 7 Meyer RF, Musch DC. Assessment of success and complications of triple procedure surgery. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1987;104:233–40.
- 8 Sud RN, Looma R. Achievement of surgically soft and safe eyes—a comparative study. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 1991;39:12–4.
- 9 Guindon B, Harvey J, Peacocke A, et al. Factors modifying vitreous pressure in cataract surgery. *Can J Ophthalmol* 1981;16:73–5.
- 10 Shimomura Y, Hosotani H, Kiritoshi A, et al. Core vitrectomy preceding triple corneal procedure in patients at high risk for increased posterior chamber pressure. *Jpn J of Ophthalmol* 1997;41:251–4.
- 11 Schanzlin DJ, Smith RE. Management of an intact vitreous face in penetrating keratoplasty. *Ophthalmic Surg* 1983;14:427–8.
- 12 Tsubota K. An aspiration-irrigation soft contact lens for maintenance of the anterior chamber. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1988;106:759–60.
- 13 Shimazaki J, Shimmura S, Tsubota K. Intraoperative versus postoperative suture adjustment after penetrating keratoplasty. *Cornea* 1998;17:590–4.
- 14 Musch DC, Meyer RF, Sugar A, et al. Retinal detachment following penetrating keratoplasty. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1986;104:1617–20.
- 15 Forstot SL, Binder PS, Fitzgerald C, et al. The incidence of retinal detachment after penetrating keratoplasty. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1975;80:102–5.
- 16 Kramer SG. Penetrating keratoplasty combined with extracapsular cataract extraction. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1985;100:129–33.