Ocular manifestations in systemic lupus erythematosus
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ABSTRACT
Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) can involve many parts of the eye, including the eyelid, ocular adnexa, sclera, cornea, uvea, retina and optic nerve. Ocular manifestations of SLE are common and may lead to permanent blindness from the underlying disease or therapeutic side effects. Keratoconjunctivitis sicca is the most common manifestation. However, vision loss may result from involvement of the retina, choroid and optic nerve. Ocular symptoms are correlated to systemic disease activity and can present as an initial manifestation of SLE. The established treatment includes prompt systemic corticosteroids, steroid-sparing immunosuppressive drugs and biological agents. Local ocular therapies are options with promising efficacy. The early recognition of disease and treatment provides reduction of visual morbidity and mortality.

INTRODUCTION
Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a complex connective tissue disorder that involves multiple organs. Lupus erythematosus was first described and distinguished from lupus vulgaris by Cazenave and Schedel in 1833. In 1845, skin lesions were reported by Hebra and later biopsied in 1872 by Kaposi who also pointed to systemic symptoms.1 The first report of lupus in the eye was in 1929, and Semon and Wolff, in 1933, described the histopathological characteristics of choroiditis and subretinal exudation.2 Ocular involvement may correlate with systemic disease activity and precede other systemic symptoms stressing the important role the ophthalmologist may play.3

The reported prevalence of SLE in the population is 20–150 cases per 100 000.4,6 The prevalence of SLE is different between age, gender, geographic and racial distributions. The female-to-male ratio is close to 9:1, and the estimated prevalence is 1/1000 among American women above the age of 17.7 8 Due to improved identification at mild disease stage and better approaches to therapy, the incidence of SLE has nearly tripled over the past four decades.9

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY
The pathogenesis of SLE is multifactorial and complex. Various genetic, epigenetic, immunoregulatory, environmental and infectious factors contribute to the susceptibility, onset, progression and prognosis of the clinical disease in a given patient.10 The concordance rate has been reported between 24% and 57% in monozygotic twins, which outweighs the rate of 0–2% in dizygotic twins or siblings.11 12 Thirty-one susceptibility loci for SLE have been identified by genome-wide association studies and other gene mapping studies.13 Aberrant epigenetic regulation including DNA methylation, histone modifications and microRNA-mediated regulation may contribute to the complex array of immune abnormalities and disease manifestations in SLE.14

Inflammation in lupus is caused by the formation of autoantibodies and immune complexes and can cause inflammatory responses and activate the complement system. This results in multiorgan damage that manifests as nephritis, vasculitis and arthritis.5 Immunohistological studies of an animal model with retinal vasculitis disclosed immune complex deposition within the vessel walls, which ultimately caused vaso-occlusion in the eye.15 The key role of aberrant B cell autoreactivity in SLE was revealed in a landmark murine study using a knockout gene mutation to prevent lupus mice from developing B cells, which resulted in a lack of autoantibody formation and clinical manifestations (nephritis or vasculitis).16 Autoimmunity in SLE is a consequence of the progressive adaptive immune responses to autoantigens by not only B cells but also T cells.17 There are changes in T cells in patients with SLE, which cause increase in the proinflammatory Th17 cell population and decrease in the anti-inflammatory T regulatory cell population.14

DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA
The diagnostic criteria for SLE were developed by American College of Rheumatology (ACR).18 19 It was based on 4 of 11 criteria, either at the present time or at some time in the past; malar rash, discoid rash, photosensitivity, oral ulcers, non-erosive arthritis, serositis, renal disorder, neurological disorder (seizures or psychosis), haematological disorder (anaemia, leucopenia, thrombocytopenia), immunological disorder (anti-DNA antibody, anti-Sm antibody and false positive Venereal Disease Research Laboratory testing) and presence of antinuclear antibodies.

OCULAR MANIFESTATIONS
Ocular manifestations of SLE vary from patient to patient and can correlate to the systemic disease activity. Ocular involvement is moderately common in SLE and can be vision threatening.20 Findings may include abnormalities of the eyelid, ocular adnexa, keratoconjunctivitis sicca, iridocyclitis, retinal vasculitis, vaso-occlusive disorder, choroidopathy and optic neuropathy. Keratoconjunctivitis sicca is the most common manifestation while retinal and choroidal involvement are most associated with visual loss.21 22 Active inflammation in the retina and choroid can echo vasculitis in other organs, especially in cerebral vascular disease (table 1).23–27 In addition, though uncommon,
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is often associated with secondary Sjögren common ocular feature of SLE (around a third of patients) and Dry eye syndrome (keratoconjunctivitis sicca) is the most complicated by scarring and madarosis. Lid biopsy and tous scaly plaque, which can involve the lid margin and can be unilateral periorbital involvement despite systemic nature of SLE.28 Orbital involvement is a less common manifestation in SLE.28

Early diagnosis is the key to successful treatment and better prognosis.

External eye diseases

Orbit

Orbital involvement is a less common manifestation in SLE. Many case reports describe bilateral orbital involvement and unilateral peri orbital involvement despite systemic nature of SLE.31–33 Inflammation manifesting as myositis and panniculitis has been described.32–34–36 Patients may present with painful or painless proptosis, chemosis, ptosis, lid oedema or limited ocular movement. Inflammation can be confined to the orbit or spread to neighbouring tissues, which may lead to vision loss from optic neuropathy.35 Further biopsy, serological workup and long-term follow-up are essential to facilitate the proper diagnosis.33

Eyelid disorders

Discoid lupus-type rash over the eyelids typically appears in the lower eyelid as an irritating, discrete, slightly raised erythematous scaly plaque, which can involve the lid margin and can be complicated by scarring and madarosis.31–37 Lid biopsy and direct immunohistochemistry studies are valuable in confirming the diagnosis. Topical corticosteroids and oral antimalarial drugs are typically effective.31–37

Lacrimal system disorders

Dry eye syndrome (keratoconjunctivitis sicca) is the most common ocular feature of SLE (around a third of patients) and is often associated with secondary Sjögren’s syndrome (SS).38–39 The International Dry Eye Work Shop classified Sjögren’s as an aqueous tear-deficient dry eye, reflecting failure of lacrimal tear secretion. Schirmer I test (≤5 mm in 5 min) or rose bengal score (≥4 according to van Bijsterveld’s scoring system) are important tests for diagnosis of dry eye syndrome associated with SS.40 However, given patient discomfort after rose bengal instillation, lissamine green could be used as a substitute for rose bengal with similar staining patterns and greater tolerability to patients.41

Anterior segment diseases

Corneal disorders

Corneal involvement in SLE involves the superficial epithelium manifesting as superficial punctate keratitis and may be secondary to SS.42 Peripheral ulcerative keratitis rarely occurs in SLE and is more commonly associated with rheumatoid arthritis.43 However, some cases of peripheral ulcerative keratitis have been reported in both non-infiltrative and infiltrative patterns.42

Episclera and sclera

Episcleritis is characterised by painless or mildly uncomfortable red eye with dilated episcleral vessels, which are non-tender and markedly reduced by topical phenylephrine. Unlike episcleritis, scleritis is a severe vision-threatening, progressively destructive inflammatory condition, which is more often associated with systemic disorders. Necrotising scleritis, though rare, is the type of scleritis most often associated with ocular complications and decreased vision. We reported a series of 585 patients with scleritis and episcleritis. We found that disease association was observed in 35.8% of patients with scleritis versus 27.1% of patients with episcleritis.44 A more recent analysis of 1358 cases of scleritis performed by Heron et al45 reported a 2% prevalence of SLE-associated scleritis compared with 6.4–10.4% of rheumatoid arthritis-related scleritis.

Iridocyclitis

There are few reports of iritis or iridocyclitis secondary to SLE particularly in adults. One adult case presented with bilateral keratitis and iridocyclitis and responded well to chloroquine.46

Table 1 Association among lupus-related ocular posterior segment disorders to activity of systemic diseases and prognoses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Cases (n)</th>
<th>Pathological site</th>
<th>Visual outcome</th>
<th>Association to systemic lupus</th>
<th>Association to CNS lupus</th>
<th>Eye as an initial manifestation</th>
<th>Prognosis for survival (mortality rate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frigui et al.34</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Optic nerve</td>
<td>Moderate to poor</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanham et al.35</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Retina (mostly microangiopathy)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jabs et al.36</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Retina (mostly vaso-occlusive cases)</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Positive (73%)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stafford-Brady et al.37</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>Retina (mostly microangiopathy)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Positive (88%)</td>
<td>Positive (73%)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Poor (34% died in 16 years follow-up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen et al.38</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Choroid</td>
<td>Equivocal (improved vision after resolved choroidopathy)</td>
<td>Positive (100%)</td>
<td>Positive (36%)</td>
<td>Yes (1 case)</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baglio et al.39</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Choroid</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Positive (100%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwang and Kang40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Retina (combined central retinal vein and artery occlusion)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giocanti-Auregan et al.41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Choroid</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisotsky et al.42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Choroid</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, not available.
Nevertheless, visual deterioration is uncommon in isolated iritis. The inflammation in the anterior segment can present as hypopyon or fibrinous anterior uveitis. The inflammation in the anterior segment usually improves with the systemic immunosuppressants; however, atypical recalcitrant presentations have been reported to result in severe visual damage.

**Posterior segment**

**Retinopathy**

Lupus retinopathy is a potentially blinding ocular manifestation of SLE. In the pre-steroid era, retinopathy was present in up to half of patients with SLE. However, with the advent of steroids and immunosuppressive therapy, the incidence of retinopathy has declined considerably. The prevalence of retinopathy varies among various populations, ranging from 3% in well-controlled patients to 29% of patients with more active systemic disease.

Retinal involvement corresponded to activity of systemic and cerebral SLE (table 1). The major pathology of lupus retinopathy is attributed to vasculopathy, most commonly, microangiopathy. It is thought to be an immune complex-mediated vasculopathy.

The autoimmune process can affect the retina and choroid in two ways: directly, by immune complex-mediated vasculitis, and indirectly, by secondary hypertension from renal involvement. Hence, there are three types of direct retinal damage by lupus: microangiopathy, severe vaso-occlusion and vasculitis.

**Microangiopathy**

Microangiopathy should be considered the mild form of lupus retinopathy. The classic retinal findings are similar to diabetic and hypertensive retinopathy, including cotton wool spots, microaneurysms, hard exudates and dot haemorrhages. Small intraretinal haemorrhages and cotton-wool spots account for 80% of cases and are usually associated with a good visual prognosis.

**Severe vaso-occlusion**

This most severe form of lupus retinopathy manifests within a wide spectrum of ischaemia, from occlusion in major vessels like central retinal vessels and cilioretinal artery to extensive microembolisation in small vessels presenting as Purtscher-like retinopathy.

Severe vaso-occlusive retinopathy is a rare but well-described entity that is associated with widespread retinal capillary non-perfusion, multiple branch retinal artery occlusions, ocular neovascularisation, vitreous haemorrhage, fractional retinal detachment, neovascular glaucoma and significant resultant visual loss (figure 1).

Central retinal vein or artery occlusions can also occur, either independently or together, and may be unilateral or bilateral. A study by Jabs et al disclosed 55% of eyes with severe retinal vaso-occlusive disease suffered vision loss, often due to a visual acuity of worse than 20/200. A recent report of Purtscher-like retinopathy of 8 from 5688 patients with SLE revealed an association between Purtscher-like retinopathy with central nervous system lupus and highly active disease. Visual acuity recovery was usually poor despite prompt treatment.

**Vasculitis**

The terminology of ‘vasculitis’ in lupus retinopathy can be confusing among clinical presentation and pathogenesis. Though immune complex deposition leading to complement activation is well known in lupus retinopathy, clinically presenting vasculitis is fairly uncommon. The classic sign of vasculitis is vascular sheathing, which can present in arterioles and/or venules. Vaso-occlusion is a common end-point of vasculitis that may alter visual function (figure 2).

Renal involvement by SLE will generally lead to secondary hypertension. When prolonged, it usually affects retina and choroid and is characterised by retinal arterial narrowing, arteriovenous crossing changes, microaneurysms, intraretinal haemorrhages, hard exudates, disc oedema and multifocal serous or pigment epithelial detachment.

**Choroidopathy**

Lupus choroidopathy can occur either independently or with lupus retinopathy and may present with good visual acuity. Nguyen et al reported a total of 28 patients with lupus choroidopathy and found 64% of presenting visual acuity of 20/40 or better. The common manifestations include single or multiple areas of serous or exudative retinal detachment (36%), detachment of the retinal pigment epithelium (32%) or retinal pigment epitheliopathy (21%). Choroidal ischaemia can present as subretinal hypopigmented patches and angiography can help confirm ischaemic areas (figure 3). Secondary angle-closure glaucoma has also been reported secondary to choroidal effusion, leading to an anterior shift of the lens–iris diaphragm, narrow angles and increased intraocular pressure.

Appropriate immunosuppressive treatment leads to resolution of lupus choroidopathy followed by recovered vision.

**Imaging in lupus retinopathy and choroidopathy**

Modern imaging techniques including fundus fluorescein angiography (FFA), indocyanine green (ICG) and optical coherent tomography (OCT) have played an important role in the evaluation and monitoring of lupus retinopathy and choroidopathy.
Review

Figure 2  Fundus photograph (left) and early-phase fluorescein angiogram (right) of a 37-year-old woman who previously presented with lupus retinal vasculitis and was treated with scattered laser photocoagulation in 2006. Significant hyperfluorescent leakage represented the recurrence of neovascularisation. She received oral prednisone, methotrexate and intravenous cyclophosphamide. Initial visual acuity and visual acuity 8 years later were 20/60 and 20/100, respectively.

FA findings may help identify subclinical findings in patients with SLE, manifesting as leakage, retinal capillary dilatation and microaneurysms in patients with mild-to-moderate disease activity.62 63 Choroidal pathology can also be studied with FFA by identifying delayed choroidal filling, areas of choroidal non-perfusion (figure 3) or multifocal areas of subretinal leakage with pooling corresponding to the areas of serous elevation and inferior retinal detachment.25

ICG can help to identify active choroidopathy not seen on clinical examination or FFA. It may detect focal, transient hypofluorescent areas in the early phase and spots of choroidal hyperfluorescence in the intermediate to late phase. Interestingly, pinpoint spots of ICG choroidal hyperfluorescence may represent immune deposition in deeper layer of choroidal stroma or Bruch membrane.64

OCT offers a non-invasive way to follow the structural changes of SLE. Its advantage is apparent, especially in active phase of disease identifying intraretinal and subretinal fluid and pigment epithelial detachment with ease. The qualitative and quantitative evaluations of OCT are also beneficial in diagnosis and monitoring of lupus choroidopathy.65 66

Neuro-ophthalmological manifestations

Neuro-ophthalmic manifestations of lupus are not common. The prevalence is 3.6% in adults and 1.6% in children. Findings are highly variable, with the most common presentation being optic neuritis, followed by myasthenia gravis, visual field defects and optic disc oedema.67 Optic neuritis, which may manifest as the presenting feature of disease,68 is the most common finding and occurs in about 1% of patients with SLE (table 1). Initial visual loss can be severe in SLE-associated optic neuritis, causing no light perception vision.69 70 Presentations can vary based on the location of pathology. Patients may present with painless or painful progressive visual loss, with or without pain on eye movement, optic disc swelling or pallor on examination.50 68 69 Optic neuritis generally responds well to corticosteroid treatment. Visual prognosis following optic neuropathy is generally moderate to poor, although good outcomes have been reported.68 69 In addition, for patients with SLE with suspected optic neuritis and relapsing myelitis, testing for the aquaporin-4 autoantibody would help confirm the correct diagnosis of neuromyelitis optica.71 72 Ischaemic optic neuropathy,73 74 and chiasmopathy,69 in SLE have also been described.

Eye movement abnormalities are more common in SLE and have been reported in up to 29% of patients.75 Pseudotumor cerebri has been reported in both children and adults with SLE, and may be the presenting feature of the disease.76 77

PROGNOSIS AND SYSTEMIC ASSOCIATIONS

Table 1 shows association among lupus-related ocular posterior segment disorders and systemic involvement including activity and prognosis. Visual prognosis of retinal involvement depends on pattern of retinopathy, and vaso-occlusion usually leads to poor visual outcome. Two reviews of retinopathy and choroidopathy pointed out that these two entities are indicative of guarded to poor survival.25 27 Unlike demyelinating processes in which association between optic neuritis and brain is common, a review of SLE presenting as optic neuropathy revealed no association to CNS disorder.68 This may reflect and support the ischaemic aetiology of SLE-related neuro-ophthalmological disorders.

Figure 3  Fundus photograph (left) and fluorescein angiogram (right) of a 46-year-old woman diagnosed with lupus-associated catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome with bilateral choroidal infarction and uveitis. Image from the right eye demonstrates unremarkable retinal vasculature and distinct geographic subretinal patches. These hypopigmented patches correspond to extensive absence of choroid filling pattern in angiogram. Given intravenous methylprednisolone, rituximab and anticoagulant, the patient maintained visual acuity of 20/600 5 years later.
Treatment

The heterogeneous nature and multisystem involvement make treatment of SLE difficult. Nevertheless, the general goals of therapy are to induce and maintain remission of the disease, and prevent relapses. Proper management requires a team approach that may include specialists in the fields of rheumatology, nephrology, dermatology and ophthalmology. Treatment strategies for SLE include non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, hydroxychloroquine, systemic corticosteroids, immunosuppressive therapy and biologics. The effective immunosuppressive drugs include azathioprine, methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil and cyclophosphamide. Increasingly, patients with lupus who do not respond to conventional immunosuppressive drugs are considered for targeted biological therapies aimed at cytokines, B and T lymphocytes, and B-cell-activating factors. Rituximab, B-cell-depleting therapy, has been used when conventional drugs have proven ineffective. Combination of rituximab and T lymphocytes, and B-cell-activating factors. Rituximab, and cyclophosphamide. Increasingly, patients with lupus who do not respond to conventional immunosuppressive drugs are considered for targeted biological therapies aimed at cytokines, B and T lymphocytes, and B-cell-activating factors. Rituximab, B-cell-depleting therapy, has been used when conventional drugs have proven ineffective. Combination of rituximab and cyclophosphamide infusions employed early in the course of retinal vasculitis and vaso-occlusive disease also granted rapid resolution as well as dramatic improvement in vision. Belimumab, a monoclonal human antibody that inactivates B-cell-activating factor, is the first biologic recently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration after 50 years as an add-on therapy for active SLE. In addition, epratuzumab and sifalimumab, biological response modifiers currently being investigated, also showed positive outcome. The treatment of CD22-targeted monoclonal antibody epratuzumab in adults with moderately to severely active SLE was reportedly associated with improvements in disease activity. Sifalimumab, a human anti-interferon-α monoclonal antibody, was proven to be safe, and clinical activity profile supports its continued clinical development for SLE.

Hydroxychloroquine is an effective medication for SLE. It is now recommended long term for all patients with SLE. Correlation between discontinuation of chloroquine and retinal vaso-occlusion was described by el-Asrar et al. Patients must be made aware of the possible risk of macular toxicity and have regular eye check-up to monitor for this complication.

Local treatment also plays an important role in treatment of recalcitrant intraocular inflammation. Ocular findings in SLE are not specific and share common manifestations with other systemic diseases, such as lupus scleritis and rheumatoid scleritis, lupus retinopathy with hypertensive and diabetic retinopathy. As such, local treatment strategies should be tailored to the specific pathology. Laser photoacoagulation has been known as standard treatment in ischaemic retinal disorders such as diabetic retinopathy and ischaemic retinal vascular occlusion. Panretinal photoacoagulation showed promising efficacy in regression of neovascularisation before the antivascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) era. However, the administration of immunosuppressants and panretinal photoacoagulation (PRP) was insufficient to prevent the neovascularisation process in many case reports. VEGF plays a vital role in inflammatory processes and in the pathogenesis of uveitic complications such as cystoid macular oedema, choroidal neovascularisation and retinal neovascularisation (RNV). The VEGF serum concentration in patients with SLE was significantly higher than healthy controls and may be a useful marker of disease activity and internal organ involvement in patients with SLE. Recently, anti-VEGF has been reported as a powerful tool for vaso-occlusion and vasculitis in patients with lupus. It showed efficacy in regressing RNV even after employment of immunosuppressive treatment and PRP. While VEGF inhibition seems reasonable to treat RNV the role of anti-VEGF therapy to treat inflammation is less clear and needs to be investigated. Repeat anti-VEGF injections in vaso-occlusion with macular ischaemia should be performed only if monitoring FFA can be done to prevent worsening of macular ischaemia. Finally, vitrectomy can also be helpful in complicated neovascularisation, vitreous haemorrhage and traction retinal detachment.

Future direction

The future of SLE consists of newly emerging agents that specifically target mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of SLE and biomarkers allow physicians to adopt theranostics, a patient-tailored approach. Hopefully in the future systemic glucocorticoids will be used less and gradually replaced by early commencement of other immunosuppressive or biological therapies. Among a myriad of emerging biological agents, the ones with positive outcomes in literature are belimumab, rituximab, epratuzumab and sifalimumab. In spite of failure of a major trial, rituximab has been considered for patients with active lupus nephritis refractory to conventional therapies by the ACR and the European League Against Rheumatism. Early treatment with rituximab showed promising efficacy and safety in newly diagnosed SLE. The success of belimumab encourages other studies of molecules that block B-cell-activating factors. Both belimumab and rituximab have also provided support in the maintenance phase of lupus nephritis. Better insight in the mechanisms and accountable biomarkers of inflammation will bring about more acceptable diagnostic criteria and successful treatment strategies. Treatment of ocular disease in SLE is based on systemic therapy. As such, local ocular therapy in lupus is not widely investigated. While PRP still serves as an effective treatment for neovascularisation sequel, anti-VEGF therapy has showed additional benefit in refractory cases.
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