

CORRESPONDENCE

To the Editors of THE BRITISH JOURNAL OF OPHTHALMOLOGY.

DEAR SIRs,—I am disposing of the professional effects of the late Mr. Malcolm Hepburn and have for sale the Transactions of the Ophthalmological Society of the United Kingdom, Vols. 25 to 60 inclusive.

I would be very much obliged if you would bring this to the notice of your readers by publishing this letter.

It might be pertinent to mention as a guide that individual volumes are purchasable from the publishers at a price of 40/- a Vol., but that Vols. 41, 43, 46, 50, 54 and 55 all of which are contained in this collection are out of print and unobtainable and therefore their value is presumably considerable.

I have the honour to be, Sirs,

Your obedient Servant,

FRANK W. LAW.

36, DEVONSHIRE PLACE, W.1

October 1, 1942

CASES OF ORTHOPTIC INTEREST

To the Editors of THE BRITISH JOURNAL OF OPHTHALMOLOGY.

DEAR SIRs,—In view of the fact that I have been responsible in major part for the clinical report of "Two Cases of Orthoptic Interest," which appeared in the *Brit. Jl. of Ophthal.* of July, 1942, I take this opportunity of replying personally to the letter from Mr. T. Keith Lyle, which is printed in your issue of September, 1942.

However, despite the manner of Mr. Lyle's reply, I do not intend to be led astray from the purpose of our original communication, which was simply to make a complete statement of collected *facts*, because I felt that something of nature's truths could be learned by allowing the *whole* of the facts recorded to speak for themselves.

The scientific enquirer will surely not be irritated when such facts and truths run counter to his pre-conceived ideas.

In the first case recorded, Mr. Lyle agrees that orthoptic treatment would be really unnecessary for the normal development of binocular reflexes, if the eyes are straight when glasses are worn—*provided* the glasses are worn habitually. In fact, he quotes the