

## REFERENCES

- JULER, FRANK and YOUNG, M. Y.—*Brit. Jl. Ophthal.*, Vol. XXIX, p. 312, 1945.  
 RYCROFT, B. W.—*Brit. Jl. Ophthal.*, Vol. XXIX, p. 501, 1945.  
 SORSBY, ARNOLD.—*Brit. Jl. Ophthal.*, Vol. XXIX, p. 511, 1945.  
 SORSBY, ARNOLD.—*Brit. Med. Jl.*, January 16, 1946.

## ADDENDUM

**The Use of Solid Penicillin in a Case of Endophthalmitis  
 following Lens Extraction**

BY

D. AINSLIE, M.B., B.Ch., D.O.M.S.

The patient was a man, aged 61 years, who underwent left lens extraction on November 16, 1945, at Moorfields Hospital.

The immediate post-operative course was uneventful, but the section did not close completely at first and gaped slightly for about ten days.

On the 8th day after the operation the cornea became hazy and a 3 mm. hypopyon developed.

Solid penicillin powder (calcium salt) was applied to the line of the section, the total amount being about 20,000 units. The next day the hypopyon was reduced to 1 mm. and the following day the cornea was again clear and there was no hypopyon.

Mild iritis persisted for about 10 days after which time the patient was discharged in a satisfactory condition, the eye being quiet, with normal tension, thickened capsule and good projection of light.

---

**AN OPERATION FOR POSTERIOR ROUTE  
 EXTRACTION OF INTRA-OCULAR  
 FOREIGN BODIES\***

BY

L. B. SOMERVILLE-LARGE

DUBLIN

RECENT ophthalmic literature has been flooded with the intra-ocular foreign body and its ramifications in relationship to ophthalmic war surgery. It would appear, however, from a study of the literature that the operative procedure for extraction by the posterior route has universally followed an approach advocated first, I think, by H. B. Stallard. The object of this article is to describe a method of posterior route extraction that I have been carrying out while in

---

\* Received for publication, February 4, 1946.