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SUMMARY A 74-year-old man with cardiovascular disease and epiretinal membrane formation developed spontaneous massive periretinal proliferation over a period of 3 months. No surgery was performed at any stage. A 3-year follow-up is described and theories concerning the production of these 2 entities are discussed.

Epiretinal membrane formation is not uncommon in an older population, appearing first as a glistening reflex from the surface of the retina, more easily seen in red-free light (Wise, 1975). As the membrane thickens and retracts, superficial retinal folds appear and macular pucker can occur. Massive periretinal proliferation, formerly called massive vitreous or preretinal retraction, may follow operations for retinal detachment.

The following case history describes a continuing process of epiretinal membrane formation leading to spontaneous massive periretinal proliferation without any surgical procedure being performed.

Case report

A 74-year-old man suffering from cardiovascular disease presented with deterioration of vision in his left eye. On examination visual acuities were 6/9 right and 6/36 left, with a spectacle correction. The right eye was normal, but the left showed several flame-shaped haemorrhages near the optic disc margin, with an epiretinal membrane over the central area, giving the appearance of oedema (Fig. 1). No vitreous cells, pigment, or detachment were present. Fluorescein angiography showed early leakage of fluorescein from the disc and temporal vessels and some staining of the vessel walls (Fig. 2).

The patient was seen at intervals, and some 3 months after the initial consultation he presented with a history of loss of vision in his left eye of 2 days' duration. On examination visual acuities were 6/6 right, hand movements left. The vitreous showed a large number of pigment clumps just anterior to an extensive retinal detachment. The retina showed greyish folds with 2 tears in the upper temporal quadrant (Fig. 3). The patient declined operation, and over the next few days the retina rapidly contracted into a funnel-shaped retinal detachment showing the typical appearance of periretinal proliferation (Fig. 4).

Over a period of 1 year there was considerable large pigment clumping behind the lens in the region of the ligament of Wieger and just in front of the retina. A complete membrane existed across the retina, including the central funnel leading to the optic disc, which could not be clearly seen. The original retinal tears had contracted and were covered by a membrane containing new vessels.
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Two years after the onset of the massive periretinal proliferation the pigment began to disappear. After 3 years vision was no perception of light in the left eye, and the pigment at the back of the lens and on the retina had practically disappeared. The membrane over the funnel leading to the optic disc had retracted, and the optic disc could now be seen. The original retinal tears in the upper temporal quadrant were obscured by fibrous tissue across the surface, but the new vessels were still present.

Discussion

Epiretinal membrane formation has been thought to follow cell proliferation. This proliferation may be from retinal pigment epithelial cells (Machemer and Laqua, 1975) or Muller cells and haematogenous macrophages (Gloor and Daiker, 1975) or astrocytes (Foos and Gloor, 1975). Inflammation may also be a factor, and in some cases epiretinal membrane formation may follow vasculitis. Breaks in the inner limiting membrane of the retina have been implicated (Foos, 1972).

The present case shows that epiretinal membrane formation and periretinal proliferation can form a continuous process. The opinion that spontaneous retinal pucker never leads to massive periretinal proliferation is not correct on the evidence of the present case (Machemer, 1978). It is of interest that the patient did not develop pigment in the vitreous until the stage at which the retina was detached and in fixed folds.

It would appear that if there is enough fibrocellular proliferation on the surface, then the retina is capable of being sucked up and retinal tears can be produced. The role of vitreous contraction in this process is uncertain, and in the present case a posterior vitreous detachment was not present at any stage, so that any vitreous contraction could directly affect the retina.
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