Experience with the CAM vision stimulator: preliminary report
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SUMMARY  Eighty-four children with at least 2 lines of amblyopia were treated with the CAM vision stimulator. 91% of the children who had received no previous amblyopia therapy showed improvement, 73% achieving 6/12 vision or better. Of children in whom previous occlusion therapy had failed 73.8% improved. The treatment appears to be effective, rapid, and well tolerated. Our initial impressions have been sufficiently favourable to stimulate further clinical evaluation.

Amblyopia probably affects between 4%1 and 7%2 of children in this country. Our understanding of the pathophysiology of amblyopia has blossomed over the last 20 years,3-6 yet the treatment has remained essentially unchanged since 1746.8 Occlusion continues to be the mainstay of treatment, and though it is recognised to be both psychologically and educationally disruptive, alternative methods have not as yet proved sufficiently effective to supplant it.7 Recent reports of experience with a new, physiologically based, form of treatment have been encouraging.8,9 We report an independent, preliminary assessment of this treatment.

Materials and methods

Children attending the Orthoptic Departments of the Birmingham and Midland Eye Hospital and the Birmingham Children's Hospital were included in the study if they had 2 or more lines of amblyopia—as measured by linear optotypes—and were able to co-operate with treatment. All children had a full ophthalmological examination, and no child with anterior segment or fundus pathology commensurate with the visual acuity was included.

All the children were refracted under cyclopentolate cycloplegia, and full optical correction was prescribed. When the spectacles had been worn for at least 1 week, treatment was started. Each child was shown a series of high-contrast square-wave gratings of different spatial frequencies, and treatment was undertaken with the 3 smallest discernible gratings. Each in turn was rotated at 1 revolution per minute behind a clear Perspex cover on which the child drew or played pencil games under supervision. The session lasted 7 minutes and was repeated at weekly intervals for 4 weeks. If the visual acuity was still improving after 4 weeks, further weekly sessions were arranged. Otherwise treatment was discontinued at this stage.

The children had a full orthoptic examination at the beginning and end of treatment, and the visual acuity was measured before and after each session. Four weeks after treatment had been discontinued the children had a further orthoptic examination.

Between sessions the children received no additional treatment.

Results

Eighty-four children were included in the study. Their ages ranged from 3 years 4 months to 14 years 6 months with a mean of 6 years 7 months. Sixty-one children (72.6%) had received previous amblyopia therapy in the form of either part-time or full-time occlusion. The remaining 23 (27.4%) were 'new' cases.

Sixty-two of the 84 (73.8%) have attended for assessment 1 month after treatment was discontinued. Of the remainder 16 are continuing their treatment, and 6 have failed to attend for review.

The results are displayed in Figs. 1 and 2. The diagonal line in each case represents the 'no improvement' line, with improvement being recorded above the line and deterioration below.
In children receiving amblyopia treatment for the first time the results are promising. 91\% showed improvement in their distance vision, 73\% achieving 6/12 or better, 1 child from an initial vision of 1/60. These improvements were frequently obtained within 4 weeks and appear to be well maintained.\textsuperscript{10} The disturbance to normal education is limited, and there is no social embarrassment for the child, both factors of great importance in this age group. The good patient acceptance is mirrored by the 100\% treatment completion rate.

In children who have had previous occlusion our results are similar to those originally reported,\textsuperscript{8} with 73.8\% showing an improvement. Again these improvements were achieved much more rapidly than one would anticipate with occlusion,\textsuperscript{11} with, therefore, less disruption to normal life. However, in our children these results were not well maintained, with almost 40\% reverting to their pre-treatment vision. None the less, a maintained improvement in 60\% of children with failed occlusion must still be regarded as encouraging.

The potential for this form of treatment is enormous, and it is important that extensive clinical experience is obtained before exploring this potential. It appears to offer a rapid, inexpensive, and
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successful form of treatment, with high patient acceptance and minimal social disruption.

Many features need further examination, including the optimum number and frequency of treatments, long-term maintenance of visual improvement, etc., and further studies will clarify these points. Our initial impression, however, is that the CAM vision stimulator may eventually replace occlusion as the first choice treatment of amblyopia.
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THE CAM vision stimulator was kindly supplied by Clement Clarke International Ltd.
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