a description of routine ocular conditions which can be viewed readily in the outpatient department, but it does not apply to specialised conditions like ocular tumours, and, as stated in the introduction to this book, the relative infrequency of many of these tumours precludes the average eye surgeon from becoming familiar with them, particularly during the various stages of their development. Such knowledge is essential in making an early diagnosis, which is so important in any form of malignant disease.

This book fulfils a great need, and the standard of the illustrations is extremely high. The short comments are entirely complementary to the illustrations. There is a fair balance between the roles of surgical treatment and modern methods of irradiation in the management of many of the conditions.

Relatively few criticisms need be made, but there is some confusion about the management of surface melanomata. The book indicates that a flat surface malignant melanoma may be treated by beta irradiation whereas a raised lesion should be treated by exenteration, though it is possible that this advice is limited to the fornices and the palpebral surfaces of the eyelids. In fact, however, it is extremely rare for a surface melanoma to assume malignancy without becoming elevated (a possible exception is cancerous melanosis of the eyelid—the lentigo maligna of Hutchinson). Indeed a junctional naevus is elevated in the absence of proved malignant change, so that elevation of a lesion is a doubtful means of determining the precise nature of the lesion and, therefore, the most effective method of its treatment. Exenteration is the essential measure in certain cases, but as a general rule irradiation should be carried out as a primary procedure, and a 'flat' surface which is appropriate for beta irradiation can be achieved when the bulk of the lesion is removed for biopsy.

The other criticisms are only trivial. Fig. 13 is to the left (rather than above) Fig. 12, and proper recognition should be made of George Coats, who contributed so much to ophthalmology in the relatively short age span of 39 years, by placing the apostrophe in the correct place, so that Coats's disease is not correctly described as Coat's disease, though to be less pedantic Coats' disease may suffice.

This book should be of distinct value to the practising ophthalmologist who sees cases of malignant disease of the eye infrequently.

KENNETH WYBAR


This is a useful little pocket-book designed primarily for general practitioners, medical students, and para-medical personnel rather than the ophthalmologist proper. Published in Singapore, it contains some 190 colour illustrations, mainly well reproduced and of good variety. From the photographic aspect the inadmissibility of using a ring-flash for close-ups of the external eye is apparent in several examples, but by and large the standard is high. The subject matter is logically covered in 11 chapters and includes sections on examination of the eye and refraction. Indexing is adequate, and the price is reasonable. The few minor and easily corrected errors do not detract generally from the potential usefulness of this book.

PETER HANSELL


This paperback contains 1630 multiple choice questions, with answers in the last pages of the book, designed for undergraduate and postgraduate medical students. Their basic objectives are stated openly in the preface to be 'to reasonably master over the subject, to reproduce the knowledge in the examination and to get a suitable job through various competitive tests'.

The book would have been improved by a separate grouping of the questions into those suitable for the undergraduate, the postgraduate, and for both. I suspect that most British examiners would think that many questions were unsuitable for either. There is a surprising tendency to expect precise numerical answers, for example,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex incidence of dacryocystitis:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A 60 : 40 M : F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 50 : 50 M : F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 40 : 60 M : F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D 30 : 70 M : F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 20 : 80 M : F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(E is the answer given)
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The fishmouth phenomenon in retinal detachment

Sir, In his article Mr Colin H. Birchall1 mentions that Prueett concludes2 that the length of a limbus-parallel buckle and the shape of the implant or explant contribute to the pathogenesis of the fishmouth phenomenon. There is, however, an article he did not refer to that predates Prueett's article by 2 years.3 That article
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