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SUMMARY A series of 50 eyes received an intraocular lens (IOL) of power calculated for emmetropia from data of axial length, corneal curvature, and postoperative anterior chamber depth by R. D. Binkhorst's formulae. The postoperative refraction results were compared with those of 100 control eyes which received +19 D standard power IOLs without calculation. The calculated group had postoperative refractions which were closer to emmetropia, and the difference was of statistical significance, with 92% within the ±1 D range and 98% within the ±2 D range from emmetropia. The calculated predictions of postoperative refraction were of a useful level of accuracy. Consideration of the sources of error indicates that there is no justification for the use of IOLs in power steps of less than 1 D. The calculation of IOL power allows the surgeon to control the postoperative refraction and avoid unwanted ametropia.

The intraocular lens (IOL) is being used in the surgical management of cataract by an increasing number of British surgeons because of the high quality of resulting vision without demands on the patient. The quality of postoperative vision depends to a degree on the postoperative refractive error. Postoperative astigmatism may be controlled by careful surgical technique with particular attention to suture placement and tension. The residual spherical error is a function of the basic refractive power of the aphakic eye and the power of the IOL which is implanted. The use of IOLs in a standard power gives a satisfactory postoperative refraction in a large percentage of cases, but there remain a number of eyes with unplanned postoperative ametropia. The use of IOLs of different powers selected after calculations made preoperatively from data of ocular dimensions offers a way of controlling the postoperative refraction.

A previous study1 showed that the cautious use of IOLs in a narrow range of powers around the standard power does not significantly influence postoperative ametropia compared with the use of IOLs of standard power. It was suggested that this is because ametropia occurs in eyes with abnormal ocular dimensions which need IOLs of more extreme powers. This paper reports a prospective study investigating the degree of control over postoperative refraction which can be obtained by the use of IOLs in a wide range of powers as calculated to give emmetropia.

Material and methods

The study was conducted on 150 eyes undergoing cataract extraction with implantation of a Binkhorst iris-clip IOL. The control population of 100 eyes received an IOL of standard +19 D power. Forty-two patients were male, 52 were female, and 6 had bilateral surgery. Their mean age (+SD) was 67.4±14.5 years, and the range of preoperative refractions of the eyes is shown in Fig. 1.

The study population consisted of 50 eyes in 18 males and 32 females which received an IOL of power the nearest whole dioptre to that calculated to give emmetropia. They were of mean age (+SD) 71.4±7.3 years and the range of preoperative refractions shown in Fig. 1 indicates that the group is comparable with the control group.

The calculation of IOL power was based on data of corneal curvature, axial length, and a figure for the postoperative anterior chamber depth. Corneal radius of curvature was taken as the average of measurements in 2 meridia with a Haag-Streit keratometer. Axial length was measured with a Kretz 7200 MA ophthalmic A-scan ultrasound instrument with a 10 MHz transducer. The probe was coupled to
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the anaesthetised eye by 5% methylcellulose solution in a contact lens water bath. Measurements were obtained from Polaroid photographs taken when axial alignment of the ultrasound beam was indicated by high echo peaks from the cornea, both surfaces of the lens, and the vitreoretinal interface. The measurement scale in the instrument was calibrated for the axial length to be read directly off the photographs in mm, on the assumption of a hypothetical common speed of 1550 metres/second for ultrasound in ocular tissues. To allow for several factors which tend to give undermeasurement a correction factor of 0.25 mm was added to the axial length measurement. The figure of 3.19 mm was taken as the distance from the vertex of the cornea to the anterior vertex of the IOL, as this is an accepted figure for the style of IOL used.

Calculation was performed by a Wang 2200T computer by means of Binkhorst's formulae. The first formula gave the IOL power for postoperative emmetropia:

$$D = \frac{1336 \times (4r - a)}{(a - d) \times (4r-d)}$$

D = power of IOL in aqueous (dioptres); r = corneal radius (mm); a = axial length (mm); d = postoperative anterior chamber depth plus corneal thickness.

The second formula gave a prediction of the postoperative refraction to be expected with any stated power of IOL:

$$R_s = \frac{1336 \times (4r - a) - D (a-d) \times (4r-d)}{1336 \times [v \times (4r-a) + 0.003ar] - D (a-d) \times [v \times (4r-d) + 0.003dr]}$$

$$R_s = \text{spectacle refraction (dioptres)}; v = \text{back vertex distance (metres)}.$$

Cataract extraction was personally performed by a microsurgical technique with general anaesthesia and hyperventilation. Limbal incision was made ab externo under a limbal-based conjunctival flap. After a single peripheral iridectomy α-chymotrypsin was instilled and 8/0 virgin silk sutures (usually 5) inserted across the wound. The lens was extracted by cryo-probe and acetylcholine instilled to constrict the pupil and reconstitute the anterior chamber. A Rayner-Binkhorst iris-clip lens was inserted by the closed-chamber technique, with avoidance of corneal contact. A loose 10/0 Ethilon safety-sling suture was placed through the upper anterior loop of the IOL and the margin of the peripheral iridectomy at the junction of the outer and middle thirds of the iris. The wound was closed with particular attention to suture tension to minimise induced astigmatism. The post-
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Fig. 3 The postoperative refractions (spherical power) for the control and calculated IOL groups.

Fig. 4 The differences in dioptres between the calculated predictions of postoperative refraction and the actual postoperative refractions (spherical power) in the calculated group.

Fig. 5 The powers of IOL (dioptres in aqueous) used in the calculated group after calculation for emmetropia.

Operative refraction was recorded when the refraction had stabilised and the first postoperative spectacles were prescribed at about the sixth postoperative week.

The postoperative refractions in terms of spherical equivalent (after conversion to plus cylinder form) and spherical power were compared for the control and calculated groups and the accuracy of prediction of postoperative refraction assessed for the calculated group.

Results

Residual Refraction

The postoperative refractions for the 2 groups are compared in Fig. 2 in terms of spherical equivalent. 70% of the control eyes were within the ±1 D and 80% were within the ±2 D range from emmetropia, while 92% of the calculated eyes were within the ±1 D and 98% within the ±2 D range from emmetropia.

For the ±1 D range this difference is statistically significant, with p<0.01 by the chi-squared test, and for the ±2 D range this difference is statistically significant with p abs =0.0004 by exact probability testing. The single calculated eye with significant postoperative ametropia (+5 D) was noted preoperatively to have keratometry of doubtful accuracy because of corneal scarring.

Fig. 3 presents the postoperative refractions in terms of spherical power. 47% of the control eyes were within the ±1 D and 67% were within the ±2 D range from emmetropia, while 68% of the calculated eyes were within the ±1 D and 92% within the ±2 D range from emmetropia.

The mean astigmatism (±SD) for the calculated group was 2.0±1.4 D.

Calculated Prediction

The difference between the calculated predictions of postoperative refraction and the actual spherical power is shown in Fig. 4. 70% of the predictions were within the ±1 D range and 94% within the ±2 D range from the actual postoperative refraction.
The distribution of IOL powers used in the calculated group after calculation for emmetropia is shown in Fig. 5, and they ranged from +12 to +22 D.

**Discussion**

If one regards a random postoperative refractive error within the ±2 D range of spherical equivalent as acceptable, an IOL of standard +19 D power leaves 20% of eyes with ametropia greater than these limits, and some surprisingly large refractive errors are to be expected. The use of IOLs of calculated power almost eliminates significant postoperative ametropia and gives the surgeon control over the postoperative refraction. In this study the calculated prediction tended to be biased towards hypermetropia with mean error (±SD) of 1-0±1-4 D. The 94% within the ±2 D range compare favourably with the 93% reported by Kraff et al. and the 96% reported by Maloney et al. within 2 D of prediction, the 97-2% reported by Johns within 2-5 D, and the 97% reported by Clevenger within 3 D of prediction.

There are a number of limitations to the accuracy of IOL calculation and prediction of refraction. Clinical instruments for the measurement of axial length by ultrasound have an accuracy of about 0-1 mm and poor technique will reduce this accuracy. Keratometry has an accuracy of about 0-1 mm and depends on instrument calibration and fixation. The postoperative anterior chamber depth cannot be measured preoperatively and a suitable figure has to be assumed according to the style of IOL implanted. The ultimate effects of errors of these magnitudes on the postoperative refraction are shown in Table 1 and if additive will amount to a spectacle error of about 1-0 D.

The accuracy of calculation and prediction of refraction is also limited by postoperative astigmatism. Part of the astigmatism is inherent in the corneal curvatures and part is induced by surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>The effects of errors in axial length, keratometry, and postoperative anterior chamber depth on the final spectacle refraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial length</td>
<td>0±1 mm=0±25 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratometry</td>
<td>0±1 mm=0±50 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber depth</td>
<td>0±1 mm=0±25 D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The latter may be minimised but not eliminated by careful surgical technique.

In view of these several limitations there is at present no justification for the use of IOLs in steps of less than 1 D (which is equivalent to about 0-75 D in the spectacle refraction) despite the misleading apparent accuracy of calculations made to several places of decimals.

Biometry and the calculation of IOL power are simple procedures requiring keratometer, ultrasound instrument, and a programmable calculator or access to a computer. The technique carries no hazard to the patient and gives better postoperative refraction results than the implantation of IOLs of standard power. The surgeon has control of the postoperative refraction and can predict and avoid unwanted ametropia.
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