Corticosteroids and corneal epithelial wound healing
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SUMMARY The effect of corticosteroids on the re-epithelialisation of corneas from which the epithelium was removed has been determined by fluorescein staining, photography, and light microscopy. Our results suggest that hydroxymethyl progesterone 1.05% (p<0.05), prednisolone 1.0% (p<0.001), and dexamethasone 0.1% (p<0.001) administered 6 times a day retard the epithelial wound healing compared with control animals which received isotonic NaCl.

The method described by Moses et al.11 was used for removal of the corneal epithelium. Our tube has an internal diameter of 7.3 mm at the tip.

Rabbits were anaesthetised with sodium pentobarbitol administered in a marginal ear vein (25 mg per kg body weight). Two drops of Novesine (oxybuprocaine hydrochlorate 0.4%) were instilled into each eye. Each eye was then gently propotised, and the lip of the iodine tube was wiped on a filter paper and held against the cornea for 3.5 minutes. Recording of the lesion was by photography. The cornea was stained with one drop of 0.5% fluorescein (without preservatives) and then washed with 2 drops of isotonic NaCl solution. The lesions were photographed on Kodak Tri-X film 4 times a day until the complete healing of the corneal epithelial wound, which was determined by the absence of corneal fluorescein uptake. An annular electronic flash covered with a Wratten 47 B filter was fixed round the objective of a slit-lamp, and the objective was covered with a Wratten 12 filter to obtain a good contrast on black-and-white films.

With a Quantimet 720 (Cambridge Instruments, UK) the fluorescein-stained areas were measured and converted to square millimeters.

For each eye a healing curve was obtained by plotting successive areas of the wound against time. The best fitting curve by the least squares method was obtained by means of a linear regression.

The diameter of the first corneal wound for each eye was also measured at 6 hours.

Histological study. Four days after treatment 4 eyes from each group were enucleated, stained with haematoxylin and eosin, and prepared for histological examination.

Under the microscope we counted the total number of cellular nuclei on the 4 specimens on a 0.35 mm
length of object. Six different levels of the same paraffin block were studied. These measures were taken on 3 different sectors of the same cornea in a central zone of 4 mm radius.

**Results**

The mean diameter of the epithelial corneal wounds at 6 hours for each group ranged from 7-85 to 7-71 mm (Table 1). By Student’s t test the difference was not statistically significant, p>0.05. Table 2 gives the slopes computed by the least squares linear regression method for all the 40 healing rates individually. The mean rates for each group are also shown.

As shown in Table 2, the difference between the saline-treated group A and the others groups was statistically significant (student’s t test) at the level p<0.05 for group B and p<0.001 for groups C and D. Table 3 shows the mean number of cellular nuclei on a 0-35 mm length for each group. There was no statistically significant difference (p>0.05) between the control group A and group B. There is a statistically significant difference (p<0.001) between group A and groups C and D.

In the control group the anterior epithelium was 3 to 4 layers thick; the basal layer was formed by tall

---

Figs. 1A, B, C, D  Histological cross-sections of healed epithelium after 4 days of treatment. (×622). A: Top left, control cornea. B: Top right, cornea treated by hydroxymethyl progesterone. C: Left cornea treated by prednisolone 1-0%. D: Right cornea treated by dexamethasone 1-0%. Note the difference appearing: in the cellular aspect; in the number of layers; in the cellular nuclei.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean diameter (mm) ± standard deviation of ulcers at 6 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>m = 7.64 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>m = 7.69 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>m = 7.58 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group D</td>
<td>m = 7.71 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>p &gt; 0.05</th>
<th>p &gt; 0.05</th>
<th>p &gt; 0.05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 1
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Table 2  Rate of decrease of area of epithelial wound (mm²/h)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hydroxymethyl progesterone 1-05%</td>
<td>Prednisolone 1-0%</td>
<td>Dexamethasone 0-1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-25</td>
<td>1-06</td>
<td>0-74</td>
<td>0-48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-07</td>
<td>1-11</td>
<td>0-60</td>
<td>0-74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-15</td>
<td>1-08</td>
<td>0-53</td>
<td>0-52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-14</td>
<td>1-07</td>
<td>0-82</td>
<td>0-53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-32</td>
<td>0-98</td>
<td>0-61</td>
<td>0-48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-08</td>
<td>0-85</td>
<td>0-54</td>
<td>0-42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-13</td>
<td>1-15</td>
<td>0-53</td>
<td>0-54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-02</td>
<td>1-05</td>
<td>0-81</td>
<td>0-61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-17</td>
<td>0-89</td>
<td>0-62</td>
<td>0-75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-40</td>
<td>0-99</td>
<td>0-87</td>
<td>0-87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \alpha m_1=1-17 \pm 0-12 \quad m_2=1-02 \pm 0-09 \quad m_3=0-75 \pm 0-13 \quad m_4=0-59 \pm 0-15 \]

\[ \beta \quad p<0-05 \quad p<0-001 \quad p<0-001 \]

Each value listed presents the healing rate obtained from an animal.
\( \alpha = \) Mean healing rate ± standard deviation.
\( \beta = p \) values.

Table 3  Mean number of cellular nuclei ± standard deviation per 0-35 mm on histological preparation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hydroxymethyl progesterone 1-05%</td>
<td>Prednisolone 1-0%</td>
<td>Dexamethasone 0-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_1=73-20 \pm 8-81</td>
<td>m_2=69-25 \pm 10-30</td>
<td>m_3=41-10 \pm 7-80</td>
<td>m_4=44-21 \pm 7-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ m_1=69-25 \pm 10-30 \quad m_2=41-10 \pm 7-80 \quad m_3=44-21 \pm 7-10 \quad m_4=67-0 \pm 1-0 \]

\[ \alpha \quad p<0-05 \quad p<0-001 \quad p<0-001 \]

Each value listed presents the number of cellular nuclei per 0-35 mm on histological preparation.

Discussion

There are certain conditions in which, despite a break in the corneal epithelium, we are obliged to administer topical corticosteroids. In order to obtain information on the epithelial regeneration of the cornea when treated with different corticosteroids the healing process of a superficial epithelial corneal ulcer was measured. Histological studies have been performed to evaluate the quality of the regenerated epithelium. For the removal of the corneal epithelium we used a reproducible method which respects the epithelial basal membrane.

Our results suggest that topical application of prednisolone 1-0% and dexamethasone 0-1% 6 times a day decreases (p<0-001) the epithelial healing rates in comparison with those of the control group. Hydroxymethyl progesterone also produces a slight (p<0-05) retardation in the epithelial wound healing. There is a statistically significant difference at the 0-001 level between the mean healing rates of group B (hydroxymethyl progesterone) and groups C (prednisolone) and D (dexamethasone).

Our results are confirmed by the histological study. The total number of cellular nuclei was reduced (p<0-001) for the groups C and D and histological alterations have been observed. The number of cellular nuclei in group B did not differ from that of the control group, and the histological appearance in both groups was almost the same.

In agreement with our results Aquavella et al. reported that in epithelial abrasions the retardation of healing observed after prednisolone treatment was statistically significant but transient in nature. They suggested that this effect is clinically unimportant.

Ho and Elliot found that topical application of 16 drops per day of vehicle or dexamethasone sodium phosphate (Decadron) decreased the epithelial healing rate when compared with saline drops administered 4 times daily. They suggested that the frequent instillation of the drops and not the contents may produce this effect. The vehicle used, containing 0-2% benzalkonium chloride, is toxic to the epithelium at this concentration.

Recently Srinivasan and Kulkarni demonstrated that 1-0% prednisolone acetate and 0-1% dexamethasone did not affect the course of re-epithelialisation after partial corneal epithelial denudation. On the contrary the healing rate was significantly retarded after complete corneal denudation. We think that the larger diameter of the epithelial denudation used in our method explains the different results obtained. Moreover in this study the drugs were administered 3 times daily.

Epithelial punctate keratitis has also been reported in human corneas after topical application of prednisolone and important morphological changes in the epithelium of rabbit cornea. It has been shown that repeated application of prednisolone 1-0% and dexamethasone 0-1% can retard the epithelial wound healing of a superficial epithelial ulcer.

For hydroxymethyl progesterone, a synthetic corticosteroid which is an effective anti-inflammatory agent for certain external conditions free from the propensity to raise the intraocular pressure, the results in our model proved that the epithelial wound healing was slightly retarded. The purpose of this study was to facilitate recognition of the adverse effect of the corticosteroids and to stress the need for further investiga-
tion, both in vivo and in vitro, the effects of these drugs on the corneal epithelium under normal and abnormal epithelial healing.
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