Vitelliform macular dystrophy and butterfly-shaped epithelial dystrophy: a continuum?
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SUMMARY We report the case of a 22-year-old white female who presented for a routine ocular examination and displayed Best's disease in her right eye and butterfly-shaped macular dystrophy in her left eye. These diagnoses were confirmed by fluorescein angiography, EOG, and ERG. The fact that these 2 disorders are present in one person suggests that they are only different manifestations of the same underlying disease process.

Vitelliform macular dystrophy (Best's disease) and butterfly-shaped epithelial dystrophy of the macula (Deutman's dystrophy) have been described as 2 separate heredodystrophic diseases affecting the retinal pigment epithelium and the outer retina. We report a patient who has vitelliform macular dystrophy (VMD) in the right eye and butterfly-shaped epithelial dystrophy (BED) in the left eye. This is the first case that suggests these 2 rare diseases may be different manifestations of the same heritable disorder.

Case report

The patient is a 22-year-old white female who presented to the eye clinic for a routine examination. She had no visual complaints. Medical and ocular histories were unremarkable. Ocular examination showed an uncorrected visual acuity of 6/6 (20/20)
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A 24-year-old sister had 6/6 (20/20) visual acuity OU and ophthalmoscopically had the clinical picture of an extrafoveal vitelliform dystrophy OU. No further diagnostic procedures were allowed, and other family members were not available for examination.

Discussion

Butterfly-shaped epithelial dystrophy of the macula (BED) is an extremely rare autosomal dominant disease, first described by Deutman et al. They described a pedigree with affected members, 4 brothers and one son, all of whom had good visual acuity. By funduscopy the macular area showed a peculiar bilateral butterfly-shaped hyperpigmentation. These pigmentary changes appeared to be at the level of the RPE.

There are no clinicohistopathological correlations of this disease and the prognosis is unknown.

Vitelliform macular dystrophy (VMD) is an autosomal dominant macular disorder first described by F. Best in 1905. Many cases have been recorded.
Fig. 4  ERG under standard conditions is normal: (a) OD, (b) OS. Recordings made using a Grass PS 22 Photostimulator. Photopic: single flash and flicker fusion at 30/s. Scotopic: recruitment response with a blue flash.

Fig. 5  Fluorescein angiogram in early venous phase shows blockage of background fluorescence in the area of the fundus lesion: (a) OD, (b) OS.
Ocular examination is normal except for funduscopy. Yellowish, oval, sharply demarcated ‘cystic’ lesions are seen bilaterally at the level of the RPE. They may be present at birth but are typically discovered between ages 3 and 15. At the first stage of the disease the lesion is well demarcated and uniform in appearance, and the overlying retina appears normal. At this stage visual acuity is usually normal. This vitelliform ‘cyst’ may persist for a number of years but characteristically breaks up in the second or third decade. As it becomes less uniform and pigmentary changes occur, the lesion transforms from an ‘egg-yolk’ to a ‘scrambled-egg’ appearance, and visual acuity diminishes to between 20/50 and 20/200. Rarely, subretinal neovascularisation may occur, and macular scarring is the end stage.

At the 1980 meeting of the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Weingeist, Kotbrin, and Watzke presented the histopathology of a 29-year-old patient with VMD in the ‘scrambled-egg’ stage. Light and electron microscopy indicated that VMD is a generalised retinal pigment epitheliopathy which results in abnormal accumulation of lipofuscin granules within the RPE.

VMD and BED were initially described as separate clinical entities. As more became known about them, some similarities have been noted. The same is true for another group of diseases of the retinal pigment epithelium, including dystrophy reticularis, dystrophy macroreticularis, reticular pigment dystrophy, butterfly dystrophy, and fundus pulverulent. In all of these entities the pathogenesis is unknown. The visual prognosis is good, and different patterns of these reticular pigmentation may be seen in the same family. These diseases have been included under the title of ‘pattern dystrophies.’ This term was coined independently by Hsieh et al. and Marmor and Byers.

There are similarities between VMD and BED upon testing (Table 1). In our patient BED and VMD are present in opposite eyes. This strongly suggests that VMD and BED may be different expressions of the same disease process. Proof of this hypothesis will depend on a careful examination of affected pedigrees and histopathological studies.
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