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SUMMARY The ocular manifestations in 16 patients with Alport’s syndrome were lenticous and retinal flecks in the macula and mid periphery. These 3 features appear to be specific for this syndrome and are a considerable aid to diagnosis. Lens opacities are common, and other ocular abnormalities occur sporadically. The present evidence indicates that this is a hereditary, widespread disorder of basement membrane, and it is suggested that ophthalmologists may contribute to the further understanding of it by directing attention towards analysis of the lens capsule after cataract extraction. The similarity of the retinopathy to that of fundus albipunctatus is noted, and it is suggested that the latter condition should not be diagnosed in the absence of renal investigation and audiometry.

Alport’s syndrome is clinically characterised by the familial occurrence of progressive, haematuric nephritis and sensorineural deafness in which the renal prognosis is better in female patients. Ocular features most frequently described include lens abnormalities characterised by lenticous and cataract, central and midperipheral retinal flecks, and corneal arcus. Most previous ophthalmic reports have tended to concentrate on an isolated ocular manifestation of this syndrome.

This paper describes the detailed ocular findings in 16 patients who have been treated in the Renal Departments at Guy’s Hospital and attempts to differentiate the nonspecific from the specific ocular features that occur in this syndrome.

Patients and methods (Table 1)

The diagnosis of Alport’s syndrome was based on the presence of chronic renal failure and the presence of bilateral sensorineural deafness which in most cases was confirmed by audiometry. Twelve patients had a positive family history of renal disease, and all cases except 2 (patients 5 and 11) had suffered from hypertension in the past, which was controlled at the time of examination. The average age at examination was 24 years (range 16–39 years), and the average age at which renal failure necessitated maintenance dialysis or renal transplantation supervened (13/16 patients) was 21 years (range 13–35 years). Nine of these patients at the time of examination had a renal transplant which had been successfully functioning for an average 4 years (range 6/12–7 years), whereas the remaining 4 were on maintenance dialysis. All except one of the latter group had renal transplants which were either successful or had subsequently rejected, and consequently 12 of the whole group had been on immunosuppressives and systemic steroids in the past. One patient had associated macrothrombocytopenia.

Ocular examination included subjective and objective refraction with best visual acuity and biomicroscopy of the anterior segment. The posterior segment was studied by both indirect ophthalmoscopy and by biomicroscopy with a fundus contact lens. Fundus photography was undertaken in 12 patients and fluorescein angiography in 7 selected cases. An electroretinogram (ERG) was recorded in both light and dark adapted states using skin electrodes in 5 patients with a flash intensity of 0.2 Joules and a recording band width of 1 to 100 Hz. An electrooculogram (EOG) was recorded in 4 patients after dark adaptation and during light adaptation to obtain maximum light: minimum dark ratios. Serum triglycerides, cholesterol, vitamin A and plasma amino acid levels were measured after an overnight fast.

*Presented in part at the 24th International Congress of Ophthalmology, 1982, San Francisco. Correspondence to Mr J. A. A. Govan.
Table 1  Ocular features and serum vitamin A levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Renal status</th>
<th>Family history</th>
<th>Corrected visual acuity</th>
<th>Corneal arcus</th>
<th>Lenticonus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>at exam</td>
<td>at renal failure</td>
<td>rg</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>6/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/12</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/24</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>6/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/12</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Upper limit of normal=0.7 mg/l.

**Results** (Table 1)

**VISUAL ACUITY**

The visual acuities were generally compatible with the degree of lens opacity present, though 5 of the 6 patients with clear ocular media had slightly reduced corrected vision (6/9–6/12). Although 3 of these patients had lenticonus, in none of the 5 patients did the acuity improve with a pinhole. Five patients had myopia (>2-00 D), and 3 of these and 5 other patients had myopic astigmatism (>2-00 D). One patient had hypermetropic astigmatism (>2-00 D). These refractions were bilateral and tended to be symmetrical. One patient had a strabismic amblyopic eye. No history of definite night blindness was elicited, though every patient was specifically questioned.

**CORNEA**

Nine out of 16 patients, who were on average 9-4 years older than the remaining patients, had a bilateral symmetrical corneal arcus tending to spare the interpalpebral region. This was well defined in 7 patients but visible only microscopically in 2 patients. One patient (patient 11) had a definite bilateral increase of the normal corneal stromal granular appearance.

**LENS**

Anterior lenticonus was diagnosed by the characteristic anterior axial projection of the central 3–4 mm of the lens seen on biomicroscopy and the ‘oil droplet’ appearance of the red reflex. Two patients (patients 8 and 13) satisfied both these criteria, one of whom had an abnormal shagreen of the central anterior lens capsule bilaterally. Four patients (patients 2, 3, 4, and 16) displayed only the oil droplet appearance, which in one patient (patient 2) apparent only on oblique viewing, and 2 patients (patients 6 and 9) had definite biomicroscopic evidence of anterior lenticonus with the oil droplet appearance evident only on oblique viewing. In each case the appearance
was identical on both sides, and no case of true posterior lenticonus was seen. Four of this group (patients 2, 3, 4, and 13) had noticed that their vision was blurred in bright sunlight, whereas one patient (patient 8) complained that his vision was blurred for about 10 minutes after awakening each morning. Four patients had symptoms of myopia, which had gradually progressed in one patient over 16 years, and one patient (patient 6) had bilateral uniocular diplopia which was correctable with lenses.

Ten patients displayed lens opacities. Six patients, all of whom had been on systemic steroids in the past, had posterior subcapsular lens opacities. Other lens opacities included anterior axial subcapsular, posterior polar, and anterior and posterior axial cortical opacities, and one patient had bilateral lamellar lens opacities of 6-2 mm diameter in which the posterior lamellar opacity bowed posteriorly axially in what has been called an internal lenticonus.20 Also seen were anterior and posterior subcapsular vacuolation and blue dot opacities.

FUNDI

Flecks were seen in 14 patients. Macular flecks were seen in 10 cases, of which 6 cases on clinical examination showed only sparse flecks lying mainly in the temporal region (Fig. 1). These appeared more widespread, however, when the fundus photographs were examined, and in one case this was the only means of seeing the flecks. The remaining 4 patients showed more profuse macular flecks which were found in an oval distribution, the widest radius being horizontal and beginning 0-5-0.6 mm from the foveal pit, tending to spare the foveolar and central parafoveal region. These flecks were a pale yellow colour, round, 20-50 μm diameter, and on biomicroscopic examination appeared in the innermost layer of the retina. The flecks in patient 10 extended 1-2 mm horizontally and 1-0 mm vertically from the foveal pit, whereas those in patients 6, 8, and 16 extended 2-0 mm from the foveal pit and further in the temporal region. The latter 3 patients had more profuse flecks.

Patient 4 had the most florid picture (Fig. 2A), in
which the flecks had a less regular outline, were up to 100 μm diameter and became confluent in parts. They were more extensive, appearing within the central parafoveal area, and extending much further peripherally. Occasionally flecks in cases 4 and 6 formed horizontal linear streaks in the nasal macula and almost vertical linear streaks in the temporal macula, both showing conformation to the nerve fibre layer distribution (Fig. 2A). In general the flecks tended to spare the retinal vessels, but in case 6 and particularly in case 4 some flecks were seen lying anterior to the vessels (Figs. 2A, 3). In each case the appearance of the flecks was symmetrical, although some cases showed a slightly denser distribution on one side.

The macular flecks in general appeared to transmit fluorescence from both the choroidal and retinal vasculature, though in some places the angiographic appearance of the retinal vessels appeared focally attenuated by the flecks (Fig. 2B). In addition there were a few areas of masking of background choroidal
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Fig. 4A

Fig. 4B
fluorescence corresponding to the flecks, though this was usually difficult to identify amid the normal mottled appearance of the choroidal vasculature. One case displayed a bilateral irregular fine spotty hyperfluorescence particularly in the nasal parafoveal area (Fig. 2B).

Flecks in the midperipheral fundus were seen in 9 patients. These were profuse small round lesions in 4 cases, and in 5 cases they were prominent and tended to form irregular circular coalescences of 250–500 µm diameter which showed a tendency to be elongated radially (Figs. 4A, B). In the five patients who displayed both macular and coalesced midperipheral flecks there was an intervening ring area in which flecks were both less dense in distribution and less visible. No flecks were seen anterior to the equator. The peripheral flecks tended to spare the retinal vessels and could rarely be seen overlying them, but owing to the thinness of the retina in this region it was impossible to determine in which layer they lay. Fluorescein angiography of the midperipheral area showed in some photographs a widespread spotty hyperfluorescence which did not appear to correspond with the flecks (Figs. 5A, B).

Several patients had no discernible macular reflex, and there were 2 cases of bilateral macular preretinal membrane formation which appeared as a subtle wrinkling of the internal limiting membrane (case 1) and as a well defined simple preretinal membrane showing one lacuna superonasal to the left foveola (Fig. 3) and 3 lacunae centred about the right foveola. In no patient was a posterior vitreous detachment seen.

Patient 15, who had associated macrothrombocytopenia, displayed multiple focal pigment epithelial lesions lying predominantly temporal to the macular area. These were depigmented lesions 300–500 µm diameter with hyperpigmented centres and were thought to be choroidal infarcts probably sustained during a period of severe hypertension. Four further patients displayed isolated similar lesions (Fig. 4C).
Both the ERGs and EOGs were normal in all patients tested.

**BIOCHEMICAL DATA** (Tables 1, 2, 3)
Both total serum triglycerides and very low density lipoproteins were slightly elevated (Table 2). However, abnormal levels, when repeated, were inconsistently found, and multiple factors including immunosuppressive agents, systemic steroids and renal failure made correlation with the ocular features impracticable. Serum vitamin A levels (Table 1) were raised in 11 patients tested in agreement with previous reports of patients in chronic renal failure and following successful renal transplantation. Plasma amino acids were estimated in 9 patients. The means of the levels in 5 successfully transplanted patients (Table 2) show no significant deviation from their normal values, and in no patient was any single amino acid level greater than the normal maximum concentration.

**MANAGEMENT**
Lenticonus resulted in myopia in photopic conditions in patient 13, who despite previous attempted spectacle correction was unable to pass the sight test of his driving examination. He achieved OD 6/9, OS 6/12 uncorrected in mesopic conditions but required OD -2.00 D/-0.75 D×180 and OS -3.5 D to achieve similar acuities under photopic conditions. Spectacles were preferred to mydriatics, although in those patients with marked lens opacities confined to the axial region dilute topical phenylephrine improved the vision both subjectively and objectively. One patient (patient 15), with profound deafness, was dependent upon lip reading to communicate and underwent unilateral cataract extraction.

**Discussion**
The incidence of corneal arcus if found to be slightly higher than that in normal persons (Table 4), though the samples were too small to be of statistical significance. Of the 8 previously reported cases of arcus in association with lenticonus, 2 (ages 17 and 19 years) were aged less than 20 years. Other isolated corneal anomalies have been variously described as superficial white deposits, bilateral fine punctate changes, thickening of the anterior layers of the cornea, and lattice type dystrophy. Interstitial

### Table 2 Serum lipid and lipoprotein levels (mmol/l) in patients 3, 4, 7, 9, 11, 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alport's ±SD, all patients</th>
<th>Normal range</th>
<th>Successfully transplanted patients only (4, 7, 9, 13) ±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2-68±1.59</td>
<td>1-38±0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL</td>
<td>1-59±0.99</td>
<td>0-80±0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>0-42±0.17</td>
<td>0-31±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>0-19±0.04</td>
<td>0-15±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4-92±1.21</td>
<td>5-72±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL</td>
<td>1-10±0.69</td>
<td>0-44±0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>2-83±0.68</td>
<td>3-50±1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>1-12±0.30</td>
<td>1-23±0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VLDL=very low density lipoproteins. LDL=low density lipoproteins. HDL=high density lipoproteins.

### Table 3 Plasma amino acids (μmol/l) in 5 successfully transplanted patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal ± SD</th>
<th>Alport's ±SD (n=5)</th>
<th>Maximum normal concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taurine</td>
<td>59±12</td>
<td>80±25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartic acid</td>
<td>25±6</td>
<td>14±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>138±31</td>
<td>100±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serine</td>
<td>99±19</td>
<td>86±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asparagine</td>
<td>56±15</td>
<td>28±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic acid</td>
<td>34±15</td>
<td>87±42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamine</td>
<td>640±58</td>
<td>272±113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proline</td>
<td>183±39</td>
<td>140±67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>234±44</td>
<td>233±45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>320±71</td>
<td>243±76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citriline</td>
<td>35±8</td>
<td>32±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amino butyric acid</td>
<td>20±6</td>
<td>9±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>225±40</td>
<td>177±32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cysteine</td>
<td>49±9</td>
<td>28±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>21±4</td>
<td>19±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>60±12</td>
<td>55±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>115±23</td>
<td>117±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine</td>
<td>54±11</td>
<td>39±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylalanine</td>
<td>48±7</td>
<td>27±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ornithine</td>
<td>58±14</td>
<td>123±45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>186±36</td>
<td>156±42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>88±16</td>
<td>61±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>82±17</td>
<td>30±9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 Incidence of corneal arcus in Alport's syndrome compared with that in normal persons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Alport's—present series</th>
<th>Forsius²⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–19</td>
<td>17% (1/6)</td>
<td>5% (2/37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–39</td>
<td>60% (3/5)</td>
<td>38% (40/106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>100% (5/5)</td>
<td>66% (57/86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
lipid laden foam cells in renal biopsy specimens are a prominent, although not exclusive, histological feature in Alport’s syndrome. This has been proposed as evidence of an abnormality of lipid metabolism. However, the abundance of foam cells is roughly correlated with the extent of alteration of the glomerular basement membrane, and it is thought that they may result from abnormal glomerular filtration and subsequent tubular reabsorption of lipid material. Most authors agree on the existence of a correlation between an arcus and hypercholesterolaemia in the general population in these age groups, but a comparison of the incidence of arcus in Alport’s syndrome with the incidence in other patients in chronic renal failure is required before any further inferences are made.

True anterior lenticonus has been confused with anterior pyramidal opacities, which may be associated with microcornea and anterior chamber cleavage anomalies. The literature on lenticonus in Alport’s syndrome has been reviewed by Arnott et al. and more recently by Nielsen. The latter author stated that during the previous 13 years all reported cases of lenticonus which had been investigated were found to have evidence of nephritis and concluded that anterior lenticonus was seen only as a part of Alport’s syndrome. A defect of embryogenesis has been proposed as the aetiology of lenticonus, though the usual presence of a clear lens capsule and anterior lens epithelium and the absence of other anterior chamber defects militate against this theory. The thickness of the lens capsule varies according to the age of the eye and site of the capsule. The thinnest part of the anterior capsule is at the anterior pole and it becomes thickest in a circular area 3 mm from this. Several patients in this study could date the onset of their myopia and often stated that it was progressive. However, a well documented increase in the lenticonus has been rarely reported.

Spontaneous change of anterior lenticonus to anterior capsular cataract has been well described, and this has been observed to follow spontaneous rupture of the capsule overlying the cone. It would seem likely therefore that there is an inherent weakness of the anterior lens capsule in lenticonus. Lenticular posterior has been sporadically reported in Alport’s syndrome. Spherophakia has been reported in medical surveys of Alport’s syndrome, in which the corona diameters of the lens are not commented upon, and it is possible that these patients had a marked anterior lenticonus.

Many lens opacities have been described in association with Alport’s syndrome, including anterior and posterior polar, anterior subcapsular, cortical and coronary, and lamellar. Up to 75% of patients under 40 years develop posterior subcapsular lens opacities after renal transplantation, and there are only isolated reports of lens opacities developing in patients on haemodialysis not receiving steroids. No lens opacity appears to be specific for Alport’s syndrome.

Macular flecks in Alport’s syndrome were first described by Castlemen and Kibbee and later characterised by Petersson and Albert as a few flecks in the perifoveal region and by Polak and Hogewind in a much more diffuse distribution around the fovea which they considered to lie at the level of the internal limiting membrane. Fluorescein angiography of the macular region has been reported as always normal. Reports of flecks in the mid periphery are less frequent. Peterson and Albert described multiple small peripheral flecks which they considered to be in the pigment epithelium, and on fluorescein angiography they found tiny hyperfluorescent window defects. This angiographic appearance was confirmed by others, and the authors of both studies suggested that there was a widespread disturbance of the retinal pigment epithelium. These findings are in accord with this study but were not seen by others. The combination of both macular and peripheral flecks has only been described in 3 patients.

The distribution of the macular flecks appears to conform with the thickness of the internal limiting membrane (ILM). mentioned that both the thickness and the variation in thickness of the ILM increased progressively from the basal zone towards the posterior zone. At the origin of the foveal clivus in an area 1·5 mm in diameter the ILM gradually thins to 0·2 μm or less at the fovea. Furthermore the ILM is reduced to as little as 0·5 μm over retinal vessels. The flecks may also be related to the different underlying glial cells, Müller cells producing thick basement membrane and other astrocytes producing thin basement membrane. The distribution of the coalescences of the peripheral flecks is difficult to explain. However, the only anatomical correlate is the choroidal lobular vasculature, and in Fig. 4B can be seen a presumed choroidal infarct of similar dimensions to the coalescences. Fluorescein angiographic studies in the mid peripheral region in an area of relative choroidal hypoperfusion show the choroidal lobular filling pattern well (Figs. 5A, B). However, the coalescing flecks do not appear to bear any relationship to these. The lack of correlation between the presence of the macular and peripheral flecks suggests that they might have a separate pathogenesis.

Several authors have previously commented upon a weakened macular reflex, and Zylberman et al. reported a Cellophane light reflex. The occurrence of a well defined bilateral simple pre-
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retinal macular membrane in a 33-year-old patient is highly suggestive of a defect of the ILM. Idiopathic preretinal macular gliosis (IPMG), which has not been reported in patients under 30 years of age, is associated with a break in the ILM through which glial cells penetrate and proliferate. Many patients with IPMG are hypertensive, and glial proliferation has been described both clinically following severe hypertensive retinopathy associated with exudative retinal detachment and also pathologically following severe hypertension. Although it has been stated that epiretinal membranes are relatively common over cotton-wool spots, subsequent histological studies of ischaemic retinal infarcts have not revealed any evidence of preretinal membrane. This has been attributed to glial cell death. Hypertension may be the aetiology of IPMG in this patient. However, although a weakened macular reflex has been commented upon in patients with chronic renal failure associated with the nephrotic syndrome, further studies in other patients with chronic renal failure are required to determine the significance of IPMG in patients with Alport’s syndrome.

Polak and Hogewind described a photopic ERG at the lower limit of normal in one patient with Alport’s syndrome and both low scotopic and photopic ERGs in relatives. Other authors have described similar changes, and there is one report of abnormalities of the EOG. However, the amplitude of the b wave of the ERG is often reduced in patients with chronic renal failure and in those on dialysis and following renal transplantsations. Both hypertension and steroid administration have been reported to alter the b wave amplitude, and low EOGs have been described in patients on haemodialysis. Therefore no conclusions concerning the electrodiagnostic changes in Alport’s syndrome can be made until normal values in this group of patients have been determined.

Macular flecks have been detected in patients whose renal function at the time of examination was normal but who many years later developed renal failure. The appearance of the flecks bears a remarkable resemblance to many earlier reports of fundus albipunctatus, which may be stationary without any symptoms, or with stationary night blindness, and in which abnormalities of the ERG and EOG are inconstant. In this disorder the visual acuity is normal or near normal, and the flecks lie in the macular region, generally sparing the fovea and extending towards the equatorial region. They may occur in either region alone and sometimes form a radial distribution, though this is very variable. Both poor and irregular macular reflexes have been described, and the flecks are reported to lie at all neuroretinal levels. Fundus photography confirms that they do not as a rule overlie the retinal vessels. Fluorescein angiography shows a variable picture of occasional masking by the individual flecks and mottled choroidal transmission which does not correlate with the flecks. Most case reports do not contain general medical details, but in 4 cases reported by Nettleship nearly 100 years ago 2 sisters were noted to be deaf.

The incidence of optic disc drusen in the general population is 0.34%, and the much quoted single case described in association with Alport’s syndrome is likely to be coincidental. An increase or disturbance of the macular pigmentation has been noted but not detailed further. Anisocoria, heterochromia, and iris atrophy have been noted, and it is of interest that the sister of patient 5, who also has Alport’s syndrome, displayed a unilateral congenital Horner’s syndrome, and one patient had anisocoria.

Although an autosomal dominant inheritance is most commonly described (for discussion and references see Gubler et al.), some family studies show a sex-linked dominant inheritance and others are compatible with an autosomal recessive inheritance. Genetic heterogeneity has been proposed as an explanation of the presence or absence of ocular features among families and also of the varying severity of renal disease.

The characteristic ultrastructural alterations of the glomerular basal lamina in hereditary nephritis are irregular thickening of the basal lamina and replication of the lamina densa with a ‘basket weave’ pattern in which fibrils of lamina densa interconnect with one another and enclose electron-lucent lacunae which frequently contain small dense particles. A widespread basket weave pattern appears to be specific for hereditary nephritis. These appearances are not constant, and it is interesting that the renal biopsies of 2 patients (patients 4 and 6) who displayed florid retinal flecks and lenticonus showed no electron microscopy evidence of Alport’s syndrome. The cochlear abnormalities characterised by atrophy of the stria vascularis and electron microscopy studies have shown a multilayered basement membrane of the vas spirale. It has been suggested that there may be a metabolic defect in the biosynthesis or metabolism of collagen with changes in the glomerular basement membrane, cochlea, and capsule of the lens, with the possibility that the collagen may be specific in these 3 places. Tina et al. have shown an increased excretion of urinary hydroxylysine glycosides (collagen metabolites) in children with Alport’s syndrome, suggesting that there is an alteration of collagen metabolism. It seems likely that the retinal internal membrane may be
additionally primarily involved in this syndrome. One might also make the conjecture that an abnormal basement membrane of the retinal pigment epithelium could result in the pigment epithelial abnormality.

It is concluded therefore that the diagnosis of fundus albipunctatus should not be made in the absence of a full renal investigation and audiometry. The diagnosis of Alport’s syndrome can be made on the presence of one of three characteristic features. These are anterior lenticonus, macular flecks at the level of the ILM, and peripheral coalescing flecks conforming to Fig. 4. However, the absence of these features does not exclude the diagnosis. Other ocular features occur less regularly and are not specific. It seems likely that Alport’s syndrome is due to a widespread defect of certain basement membranes which is irregularly clinically manifest. Further studies into the morphological, biochemical, and biophysical properties of these important extracellular matrices are required. The lens capsule is not the thinnest basement membrane in the body but also the one most easily isolated (for review of this subject see Heathcote and Grant49). Cataract extraction is not infrequently required following steroid therapy for renal transplantation, when this basement membrane becomes available for analysis.
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