Cytological factors relating to posterior capsule opacification following cataract surgery
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SUMMARY Simulated extracapsular cataract extractions on cadaver eyes were performed which demonstrated that the cells of the anterior capsule remain largely intact and that only a small amount of cortical lens matter remains postoperatively. Human lens epithelial cells from normal and cataractous lenses were grown in culture. There was no appreciable difference in growth rate between cells from normal and those from cataractous lenses or between equatorial and central capsule cells. The cells grew from the cut edges of the capsule, suggesting that release from contact inhibition is an important factor in stimulating proliferation. The daughter cells became increasingly abnormal and metaplastic in successive generations, but there was no evidence of differentiation into fibroblasts within the 35-day culture period, suggesting that a retinal growth factor may be involved with the fibrosis occurring in opacification of the posterior capsule. A small anterior capsulotomy will release fewer cells from contact inhibition and hence reduce cell proliferation after extracapsular cataract extraction.

The resurgence of the extracapsular technique of cataract extraction has been accompanied by a corresponding increase in the prevalence of postoperative posterior capsule opacification.1 The effectiveness of a cataract extraction, with or without an intraocular lens, may be considerably reduced when severe opacification occurs. Although the capsule membrane may be ruptured by YAG laser capsulotomy,2,3 this is a second procedure that is associated with further complications and may be difficult in elderly patients. If a greater understanding of the mechanisms underlying opacification of the posterior capsule could lead to its decreased incidence, there would be considerable clinical benefit.

Our study was in two parts: firstly, we obtained enucleated human eyes and performed an extracapsular lens extraction under operating theatre conditions. These eyes were examined histologically in order to determine which elements of the lens remained after an, apparently, complete extracapsular lens extraction.

Secondly we obtained normal and cataractous human lenses and examined the growth of the epithelial cells in cell culture in order to determine the best type of capsulotomy in extracapsular surgery. In particular: (a) The pattern of growth from capsule edges; whether removal of intercell contact inhibition is a factor in stimulating epithelial proliferation. (b) Whether cells from different parts of the capsule grow at different rates – in other words, does the site of the capsulotomy influence epithelial cell proliferation? (c) Whether epithelial cells from cataractous lenses grow at a different rate from those of normal lenses.

Material and methods

SIMULATED EXTRACAPSULAR CATARACT EXTRACTION

An extracapsular lens extraction was performed under standard theatre conditions on fresh cadaver eyes. This involved a corneal section, anterior capsulotomy, nucleus expression and lens matter aspiration with a hand held irrigation/aspiration coaxial cannula (0.3 mm port). Surgery was carried out under an
operating microscope, and as complete a removal as possible was achieved, with Hartmann's solution for irrigation.

The eyes were then fixed in 10% formalin and washed in methyl alcohol. The specimens were cooled in isopentane and nitrogen to facilitate sectioning the globe in a coronal plane posterior to the lens capsule. The anterior segment was embedded in paraffin wax and stained with haematoxylin and eosin, and sectioned for histological examination.

**TISSUE CULTURE**

Normal lens epithelial tissue was obtained from human donor eyes used for corneal graft surgery within 36 hours of the death of the donor. The eye was bisected equatorially behind the ora serrata and the lens removed by cutting the suspensory ligaments. Cataractous lenses were obtained from patients at surgery by an intracapsular technique using forceps. The lens was then pinned, anterior surface down, to a Sylgard pad. After a circular incision had been made round the equator the posterior capsule, nucleus, and cortical lens matter were removed. The remaining anterior capsule with adherent epithelium was cut into rectangular strips such that each strip spanned the diameter of the lens capsule. Hence the central and equatorial cells were cultured.

The epithelial strips were laid flat on collagen coated Petri dishes and incubated in medium E199 + 50% fetal calf serum (FCS), penicillin/streptomycin (50 units/ml, 50 mg/l respectively) at 37°C (in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2). The serum concentration in the medium, originally 50%, was reduced to 36% on day 10, 25% on day 13, and 10% on day 16. Thereafter the medium (+ 10% FCS) was changed every three days.

**CELL COUNTING**

The outgrowth of cells was examined either by direct observation under phase contrast optics (×4, Nikon inverted microscope) or after fixation (ethanol: acetic acid), staining (Giemsa), and mounting (Aqualite).

Cellular outgrowth was determined by counting the number of cells along an axis perpendicular to the cut edge of the rectangular explant: (1) by means of photographic enlargements of fixed/stained cultures, or (2) directly on growing cultures under phase contrast optics.

To measure the outgrowth from specific regions of the explant after 35 days the fixed/stained cultures were examined under the microscope (×26); with a calibrated eyepiece graticule the extent of the outgrowth along three axes perpendicular to the explant edge was measured at the equatorial and central regions respectively, and these values were averaged. The results could be expressed in terms of length, since variations in cell size were uniform within each culture, and each culture acted as its own control. This was not possible for comparisons of outgrowth between normal and cataractous lenses, since cell size increases with age of the culture. Thus, to avoid the possible inaccuracies that this size variation might introduce to measurements of outgrowth dimensions between cultures, it was decided to count individual cells along four axes perpendicular to the cut edge of the explant. Counts made on fixed/stained material, where much more detailed study was possible, acted as a check on the accuracy of the method, and all counts made by the method outlined above lay within one standard deviation of this more exhaustive method. Fig. 2 includes both sets of measurements.

**AREA DETERMINATION**

The area of cellular outgrowth was determined after 35 days of culture. The cultures were fixed, stained, and mounted as described above and placed over a grid (1 mm squares) and viewed under a dissecting microscope (×20). The total area (outgrowth plus explant) was determined by counting the number of squares covered and subtracting the area of the explant. The average cell diameter was determined by counting the number of cells along measured axes from the edge of the explant to the periphery of the outgrowth. With these two parameters it was possible to calculate the number of cells in the outgrowth. This value is an approximation and was not used as a criterion in experimental tests.

From the total number of cells produced before proliferation ceased and the number of parent cells (that is, the number of cells at the edge of the explant) the population doubling level (PDL) was calculated;

\[ \text{PDL} = \log_{10}(N/N_0) \]

where \(N\) = total number of cells,

\(N_0\) = number of parent cells.

**Results**

After 35 days the cultures were fixed, stained, and mounted and the total area of cellular outgrowth was determined as described in 'Material and methods.' The average area of outgrowth for nine capsular/epithelial strips after 35 days in culture was 85.4 (SE 8.4) mm² (n = 9). The cell diameter varied considerably from the edge of the explant to the periphery of the outgrowth, and this was a feature of all cultures. In a minority of cultures a limited degree of cell elongation was observed. Near the explant the cell diameter ranged from 30 to 50 μm, while some cells in the outer regions had diameters in excess of 100 μm. This compares with a diameter of 12 μm for parent cells on the explant. From the area, \(\pi r^2\), where \(r = 21.5\) μm (calculated as described in 'Material and methods'), and the total area of cellular
outgrowth it is possible to make an estimate of the number of new cells produced before the cessation of proliferation. For nine normal lens epithelial explants the average was 45,000. The population doubling level (PDL) can be calculated (equation 1) if the initial number of cells is known. The average area of the explants was 5.7 (SE 0.6) mm², which gives a value of 600–800 edge cells. On the assumption that these are the only cells available for proliferation, then from equation (1) the PDL achieved by these cultures of human lens epithelial cells was 6.

EXTRACAPSULAR CATARACT EXTRACTION IN VITRO
Histological study of the sections of the eyes showed that the remaining capsular bag was largely free of cortical lens matter (Fig. 1A), although small quantities were left in the equatorial regions (Fig. 1B). It was notable that in every case the epithelial cells remained intact and attached to the anterior and equatorial lens capsule. Normal irrigation/aspiration with Hartmann’s solution did not remove these cells, which remained in their normal configuration on the anterior capsule remnants. There were no epithelial cells posterior to the equator.

CULTURED LENS CAPSULAR/EPITHELIAL STRIPS
After 3–5 days in culture cellular outgrowth from the explanted tissue was visible. This outgrowth continued as a monolayer for approximately 30 days and then stopped (Fig. 2), by which time the new cells extended about 5 mm from the edge of the explant.

In Fig. 2 the growth kinetics of explants from seven cataractous lenses and five normal lenses (six explants from each lens) are compared. The data are presented as the average number of cells along an axis perpendicular to the cut edge of the explant (see ‘Cell counting’ under ‘Material and methods’), as a function of time in culture. After a lag phase of about 2–3
days the cells divided exponentially, reaching a plateau after about 28 days. No difference in growth characteristics was observed between explants from cataractous and normal lenses. At this stage no attempt was made to differentiate between types of cataract. Both cortical and nuclear as well as mixed cataractous types were used.

In neither normal nor cataractous explants was any difference in outgrowth between different regions along the strip of explant observed; central epithelial cells proliferated at the same rate as epithelial cells from the peripheral, germinative region (Fig. 3A and B), after 35 days the outgrowth extended 4.0 (SE 0.4) mm from the peripheral region and 4.4 (SE 0.3) mm from the central region (n = 9).

The cells grew from the cut edge of the capsular/epithelial strip, not only on to the surface of the collagen substrate but also round and on to the anterior surface of the capsule (Fig. 3B).

Discussion

The cells of the central anterior lens capsule are considered to be arrested in the G_{0-1} phase of the cell cycle and hence not actively regenerating, while the cells at the equator retain their mitotic activity.4

The proliferation of these arrested cells in culture has been attributed to the tissue culture medium being richer in essential constituents than aqueous humour.5 However, ultrastructural studies of human posterior capsule membranes and Elschng’s pearls suggest that they are formed by proliferation of the epithelial cells without any change or enrichment of the aqueous humour.6 7 Other workers have suggested that a retinal growth factor, uveal epithelial cells, and inflammation may play a role in stimulating anterior capsule cell proliferation.8 9

Our culture model excludes the influence of all these possible factors and demonstrates that the primary site of cell proliferation is from the cut edge of the capsule. We believe that release from contact inhibition is a major factor in triggering cellular proliferation, since multilayering of cells is not observed in the initial stage. In our culture cellular proliferation was found to occur across any suitable surface: outwards across the culture substrate and around the anterior edge of the capsule. This has been noted in histopathological studies.10 We found no significant difference in growth rate between cells from cataractous and normal lenses. We were limited in our choice of method for determining growth rate by the small amount of available starting material. Thus it was decided to opt for counting the number of cells from the edge of the explant as described in ‘Material and methods.’ Although this method may not be as precise (the error was estimated at 5%) as other cell counting techniques, such as 3H-thymidine incorporation or dye staining, these techniques necessitate the termination of culture and are less applicable to explant culture. Counting the number of cells eliminates errors due to variations in cell morphology that occur both with time and between cultures, and any measurement error due to the asymmetry of the outgrowth was reduced by counting cells from each of the sides of the rectangular explant and averaging the results. Contrary to our expectations based on animal studies,11 we found no difference in the growth potential between cells of the equator and those of the centre of the anterior capsule. Cytological studies have shown no dissimilarity between these cells.12

The finding that after six population doublings in
primary culture outgrowth ceases, and subsequently
the outer epithelial cells become increasingly ab-
normal, is in agreement with the findings of Tassin et
al.13 In the human, wrinkling of the posterior capsule
may be caused by contraction of hyperplastic lens
epithelial cells that have acquired myofibroblastic
differentiation.11 Retinal derived growth factor has
been shown to stimulate differentiation of rat lens
epithelial cells, and it has been proposed that neural
retina secretes a discrete factor(s) which interacts
with epithelial cells as they are displaced into the
vitreous compartment from the equator.14 In another
study on mouse lens epithelial cells the formation of
lentoid bodies could be triggered by the addition of
a strip of capsule.15

The clinical implications of our study are that
an anterior capsulotomy provides a stimulus for
epithelial cell proliferation. Varying the location of
the capsulotomy does not expose cells of greater
proliferative potential. Creating a large capsulotomy
will not reduce the risk of opacification by decreasing
the number of epithelial cells in the eye, as suggested
by Green and McDonnell.10 On the contrary a large
capsulotomy, especially if irregular or zig-zag as in
the ‘can opener’ technique, releases a larger area of
epithelial cells from contact inhibition. There is thus
a theoretical advantage in performing a small
capsulotomy or even a ‘letter box’ capsulotomy as in
the endcapsular technique. Conversely the radial
distance that proliferating anterior capsule cells have
to migrate before occluding the visual axis is decreased
with a small capsulotomy.7

In summary, our study has shown that after
capsulotomy cataract extraction the remaining
anterior capsule has a normal pattern of epithelial
cells that are firmly adherent to its posterior surface.
The edge cells of the cut capsule can proliferate in
culture. A significant factor governing their growth is
loss of contact inhibition, and it is this loss of contact
inhibition that is chiefly responsible for capsule
opacification. The role of inflammatory mediators
and growth and inhibitory factors in lens epithelial
cell proliferation requires further study.
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