Levator sling for Marcus Gunn ptosis
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SUMMARY A modified simple technique of sling operation is presented in 15 cases using the levator aponeurosis as the material for the sling as originally devised by Lemagne and Bemcher. The levator is cut behind the superior transverse ligament and the posterior part of the levator is cauterised and allowed to retract into the orbit. The horns are cut from behind forwards, and the levator, which is kept attached on the tarsal plate, is used to lift up the lid. This method eliminates the jaw winking phenomenon as well as lifting the lid.

Surgical steps
The operation was carried out under general anaesthesia. The lid fold was marked at its proposed site by gentian violet. A skin and orbicularis deep incision was made. The upper skin muscle flap was further dissected upwards to expose the orbital septum, which was incised to release the pad of fat (Fig. 1). The fat was retracted and the levator was carefully dissected to determine the position of the superior transverse ligament (Fig. 2). Three white cotton thread stay sutures were passed through the aponeurosis distal to the superior transverse ligament and three proximal to it. The muscle was then divided just proximal to the superior transverse ligament (Fig. 3 and 4, showing the line of division of the levator muscle and its cut edges).

The medial and lateral horns were cut from behind forwards (Figs. 5, 6) so that the levator was made free at the level of superior transverse ligament and remained attached at the tarsal plate (Fig. 7). The posterior part of the levator was cauterised and allowed to retract totally in the orbit. The levator tendon with its attachment on the tarsal plate was used as the material of the sling for brow suspension.

The frontalis sling operation of Betharia was used with some modifications. The muscle was tied with 5-0 merselene suture and the two arms of the suture were brought out through the single brow incision.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mild to moderate jaw winking</th>
<th>Severe jaw winking</th>
<th>Amount of ptosis (mm)</th>
<th>Levator action (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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with the help of a Wright fascial needle (Fig. 8). Before pulling the levator tied with the merselene suture the lid fold was formed with interrupted 5/0 black silk suture (Fig. 9). Three knots were tied and the suture was buried. The skin incision was closed with 5/0 black silk suture (Fig. 10). Finally an inverted Frost suture was inserted (Fig. 11).

Results

The details of the results are given in Table 2. The postoperative follow-up of these cases ranged from six months to 15 months, average nine months.

Discussion

The two problems the surgeon faces in the correction of ptosis with the jaw winking phenomenon are the adequate correction of ptosis together with abolition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good correction</th>
<th>Under correction</th>
<th>Lagophthalmos (average)</th>
<th>Disappearance of jaw winking</th>
<th>Lid fold formation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>In all cases</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1  Showing the levator aponeurosis and the herniation of the orbital pad of fat.

Fig. 2  Arrow depicts the position of superior transverse ligament.
of the abnormal innervation causing the jaw winking. This latter should be effected by the division of the levator and its entire attachment to the lid. The unique feature of the present technique is the cutting of the muscle at the level of the superior transverse ligament. The other important features of this technique are the fact that the attachment of the levator was kept intact on the tarsal plate and the levator aponeurosis was used as the sling, thereby giving an even lift of the lid without any notching. The 5-0 merselene suture was very well tolerated and has not produced suture granuloma or infection so far.

We have noticed undercorrection in five cases. This finding has also been noted earlier by Beard, the probable reason being the blepharospasm in the early postoperative period as the patient is accustomed to a ptotic lid and is not willing to accept an open eye. It might be minimised by patching the opposite normal eye of the patient as suggested by Illif.11
We consider that slight overcorrection should be planned in all cases so that the final correction becomes cosmetically acceptable. The sling should be made taut so as to have the lid margin in a position of mild ectropion, and it should be kept at the level of the upper limbus. Lid lag which was marked in the beginning became less after a period of three months. Lagophthalmos was minimal in all cases.

This simple method of levator sling has given good results (Figs. 12 to 16) and has various advantages—namely, total abolition of the Marcus Gunn phenomenon, maintenance of a good contour of the lid margin, and a single stage operation obviating the use of bilateral slings with fascia lata or synthetic material.
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