Bilaterality of tears of the retinal pigment epithelium
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SUMMARY Eyes with tears of detached retinal pigment epithelium have been studied for up to 10 years following the acute event. In a retrospective study it has been determined that such a lesion in one eye implies a high risk of a similar event occurring in the fellow eye. Patients with loss of vision in their second eye as a result of a pigment epithelial tear were also studied; in 10 of 22 patients a similar lesion could be identified in the first eye. These observations suggest that these patients have specific changes at the level of Bruch’s membrane which predispose to this particular manifestation of age related macular disease.

Since they were first described in 1981 rips or tears of the retinal pigment epithelium have been recognised increasingly as a cause of severe central visual loss in age related macular degeneration.

With continuing review of patients suffering an acute pigment epithelial tear in one eye we have observed or suspected this complication in fellow eyes frequently. Therefore we have sought to determine whether or not tears occur bilaterally more commonly than would be expected by chance alone. Such a retrospective study requires that tears remain recognisable for some time after the acute event. In a previous study of 63 eyes it has been shown that these lesions undergo gradual modification over months to years. The bed of the tear becomes replaced by a pale fibrous plaque or by pigment epithelium over a period of a few weeks. This is followed by progressive scarring and retinal pigment epithelial hyperplasia which gradually obscure the ophthalmoscopic features by which the original nature of the lesion can be recognised. More rapid modification of the appearance of the lesion occurs in the presence of choroidal neovascularisation, either preceding or following a rip, and of significant subretinal blood. From this review it was established that a lesion can be identified reliably as a tear of the pigment epithelium in 94% of cases after one year, 77% at two years, but in none after six years.
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Patients and methods

A review of clinical and photographic records from the Retinal Diagnostic Department of Moorfields Eye Hospital between 1976 and 1986 was carried out to identify patients in whom the diagnosis of a pigment epithelial tear was made in at least one eye. Diagnostic features of acute lesions were: (1) sharply defined pigment epithelial discontinuity; (2) an exposed area of Bruch’s membrane and choroid denuded of overlying RPE (the ‘bed’ of the tear); (3) an elevated mound of pigment epithelial tissue adjacent to the bed; and (4) corresponding, sharply demarcated hypo- and hyperfluorescence on fluorescein angiography. More chronic lesions were recognised by characteristics established in the study of repair after acute tears. Excluded from the study were patients aged less than 55 years as well as those with inadequate photographic or clinical documentation.

Seventy-three individuals were diagnosed as having a pigment epithelial tear in at least one eye; 41 were females aged 56 to 84 years (mean 70.6 years), and 32 males aged 55 to 82 years (mean 71 years). Photographic, angiographic, and clinical data were evaluated on all 73 patients. Eleven were excluded because of opaque media in one eye, the application of laser photocoagulation to a pigment epithelial detachment, or inadequate documentation. The length of review ranged from one to 126 months. In 1986 all subjects were invited for further examination in order to provide as long a period of review as possible.
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Results

Forty-five of the 62 patients had bilateral visual loss either at presentation or lost vision in the second eye during the review period (Table 1).

Of the 40 patients who were seen initially with a pigment epithelial tear in one eye and contralateral good vision 23 lost vision in the second eye during the period of review, 14 from a tear of the pigment epithelium, four from primary neovascular disciform lesions, two from undetermined cause, one from geographic atrophy, and two from long-standing pigment epithelial detachment. The remainder retained useful vision in the contralateral eye. All had drusen, and some had atrophy or detachment of the pigment epithelium at the most recent review.

At presentation 22 patients had prior loss of central vision in the first eye at the time of diagnosis of a tear in the second. The nature of the lesion in the first eye was sought. A pigment epithelial tear could be diagnosed with assurance on the basis of clinical appearance and angiography in 10. Choroidal neovascularisation was evident as the primary event in four eyes. In the remaining eight, many of whom were seen three years or more after loss of vision, it was not possible to distinguish between a pigment epithelial tear and a primary neovascular disciform lesion in the eye with prior visual loss.

Discussion

This study implies that pigment epithelial tears occur frequently in both eyes; of the 45 patients with bilateral visual loss 24 had evidence of a tear in each eye. True bilaterality may be somewhat higher, since in eight patients one eye was examined late in the evolution of the lesion, at a time when a tear would no longer be identifiable. This can be compared with an estimated 1% risk of loss of vision in both eyes due to a tear if bilateral involvement were due to chance alone. In a hospital based population survey it was found that pigment epithelial detachments comprised approximately 10% of exudative macular lesions in age related macular disease, and in a natural history study 10% pigment epithelial detachments sustained a tear.

The high prevalence of bilaterality of pigment epithelial rips suggests that these patients may have particular attributes of age related change at the level of Bruch’s membrane which lead to this complication. That the specific changes required to produce this complication might affect both eyes similarly would be expected given the demonstrated symmetry of drusen as a clinical manifestation of age related macular disease.

The hypothesis that these patients have Bruch’s membrane changes which differ from those which precipitate other complications of age related disease now appears to be testable. This could be achieved by documenting the fundus changes in the fellow eyes of those with a retinal pigment epithelial tear, since this study shows that these eyes have a high risk of suffering a similar fate.

Table 1 Cause of visual loss in the contralateral eye in those patients with bilateral visual loss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual loss at presentation</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Tear</th>
<th>NV</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First eye</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second eye</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NV: subretinal neovascular lesion.
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