Endogenous posterior uveitis

The recent development of several animal models of endogenous uveitis has considerably advanced our understanding of the many clinical presentations of uveitis. For instance, it is now quite evident that, pathogenetically as well as clinically, anterior uveitis is a separate disorder from posterior uveitis. In contrast, despite the considerable heterogeneity of posterior uveitis syndromes, the evidence from experimental models suggests that each clinical entity may be a manifestation of one aspect of a common pathogenetic process which is represented by a limited set of uveorigen responses to inflammatory stimuli.1,2

Many factors combine to produce uveitis. In anterior uveitis, a genetic predisposition is highlighted by the link with the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I antigen, HLA-B27,3 while an association with infectious disease is suggested, particularly with regard to viral infection4 and more recently Yersinia enterocolitica.5 Most inflammatory diseases of the posterior segment are of unknown aetiology, though some such as retinal vasculitis may be linked with systemic disease such as sarcoidosis, or they may occur as part of a well described clinical disorder such as Behçet’s disease.6 Association with other diseases, however, does not equate with causation, and the lack of evidence implicating micro-organisms in most cases of endogenous posterior uveitis has led investigators to consider autoimmunity as a cause. Indeed, sympathetic ophthalmia, which represents one form of endogenous posterior uveitis, was probably the first autoimmune disease described.7

Even in this regard, however, the distinction between autoimmune disorders (or disturbances of immune regulation) and infectious disease is becoming blurred. Current hypotheses concerning the aetiology of autoimmune diseases include several variations on the theme of altered immune regulatory mechanisms induced by invading microorganisms. Sequence homology between epitopes on foreign and self-antigens for instance, may be sufficient to initiate an autoimmune disease via cross-reacting anti-idiotypic T or B cells which persist long after the inciting micro-organisms have been eliminated. Molecular mimicry of this type has recently been demonstrated in experimental autoimmune uveitis induced by retinal antigen-related peptides bearing sequences which resemble peptides from certain viruses.8 All immune responses, including those against autoantigens, require the appropriate MHC haplotype for their induction, and this explains the genetic predisposition to (autoimmune) disease. Perhaps the strongest MHC association with disease in man yet described is that between HLA-A29 and birdshot retinochoroidopathy (>90%),9 a discrete clinical entity included within the term endogenous posterior uveoretinitis.10

It is clear therefore that, although immune mechanisms are currently under intensive investigation in uveoretinal inflammatory disease, they do not necessarily exclude an infectious aetiology, and they must be viewed within the context of genetic and environmental factors. In the final analysis it may not be possible, or even necessary, to unravel all the possible threads in the pathogenesis of uveitis (or indeed of any ‘autoimmune’ disease). Rather it may be more appropriate to define more clearly the cellular mechanisms involved in the production of tissue damage, so that these processes can be interrupted by more specific and/or selective immunotherapy.

In this brief review similarities are drawn between various clinical forms of endogenous posterior uveitis and experimental models of autoimmune uveoretinitis (EAU). Certain aspects of the immunopathology are discussed with particular reference to the mechanisms of tissue damage. In addition the role of clinical immunological testing in posterior uveitis is appraised, while possible future directions for immunointervention are indicated.

Clinical presentation of endogenous posterior uveitis

Endogenous posterior uveitis includes several discrete clinical entities (Table 1) which at first sight might seem to be unrelated. Pars planitis (intermediate uveitis), for instance, involves predominantly the peripheral retina and vitreous base, and characteristically is associated with vitreous inflammatory cell infiltrates (snowballs) and extensive sub-retinal chorioretinal infiltrates. Careful examination and fluorescein angiography will, however, show that many of these cases also have signs of peripheral retinal vasculitis, while visual loss is most frequently due to macular oedema, itself usually a manifestation of increased periocular capillary leakiness.11

Sympathetic ophthalmia is characterised by the focal chorioretinal nodule or microgranuloma — the Dalen-Fuchs nodule. These typically accumulate as healed scars within the fundus after a short self-limiting period of active inflammation. Similar nodules are observed in sarcoid uveoretinitis,12 in Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada’s disease during the phase of resolution,13 and in the less well defined disorder of diffuse choroiditis. They are also frequently the cause of exacerbations of vitritis in cases of ‘intermediate’ uveitis or pars planitis, where one or two small, round, white foci in the equatorial or pre-equatorial fundus may be sufficient to cause symptoms of floaters. They often escape detection, however, because they are short-lived and self-limiting, and may disappear leaving virtually no trace. When they occur in large numbers, as in sympathetic ophthalmia, less so in sarcoidosis, they have a characteristic appearance of fluorescein angiography during the active phase; hypofluorescent choroidal ‘spots’ in the early sequence with late hyperfluorescence.14 Healed, inactive lesions are overlaid by depigmented retinal pigment epithelium and appear as pigment epithelial defects on fluorescein angiography.

Retinal vasculitis involving the posterior pole may present as an ‘idiopathic’ disorder or as part of a disease entity such as sarcoidosis, Behçet’s disease, multiple sclerosis, systemic lupus erythematosus. The vasculitis may affect small or large
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<th>Diffuse uveitis</th>
<th>Panuveitis</th>
<th>Choroiditis</th>
<th>Discrete</th>
<th>Serpiginous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pars planitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic retinal vasculitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoid retinopathy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birdshot chorioretinopathy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behçet’s disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some pigment epitheliopathies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute retinal necrosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
vessels and occurs usually as a phlebitis with retinal haemorrhages. Less frequently, an arteritis and phlebitis occurs, with widespread retinal infiltrates and ischaemia even to the point of retinal necrosis. Retinal vasculitis rarely occurs in isolation. Careful examination will reveal that choroidal infiltrates similar to those in sympathetic ophthalmia are present. In addition, vitreous inflammatory cells are almost a prerequisite for the differentiation of retinal vasculitis from other retinal vascular thrombotic disorders.

Some forms of endogenous posterior uveitis appear to be predominantly choroidal, such as birdshot choroidoretinopathy, in which the hallmark is the widespread distribution of subretinal focal infiltrates and patches of retinal pigment epithelial atrophy. Others appear to be predominantly retinal, such as retinal vasculitis and acute retinal necrosis; while others still produce mainly vitreous cellular infiltration with minimal involvement of the ocular coats.

Some disorders appear to have a clear infectious aetiology such as herpes simplex-induced acute retinal necrosis, cytomegalovirus retinitis, and toxoplasmosis retinocorioiditis, though the evidence for the last has become less compelling in the light of recent data showing no clear serological differences between clinically 'toxoplasma' infected patients and healthy controls. Most uveitis syndromes, however, are of unknown aetiology and much of the reported histopathology has been concerned with endstage disease. Immunohistopathological studies have shown that T cells, particularly T helper (CD4+) cells, and monocytes predominate in many lesions such as the Dalen-Fuchs nodule in sarcoidosis and in sympathetic ophthalmia. Studies of active retinal vasculitis or pars planitis have not been reported in detail but some interesting studies of choroidal changes have been reported in Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada disease. In general, however, the available histological data have supported the concept of an immunologically mediated process in most forms of posterior uveitis.

In addition, despite the differences in clinical presentation, most forms of posterior uveitis have four cardinal features: (1) vitreous inflammatory cells and exudate (vitreous haze); (2) focal chorioretinal infiltrates; (3) retinal vasculitis; and (4) macular oedema. In some forms there may be a greater emphasis on one or more of these features such as retinal vasculitis or, in birdshot chorioretniopathy, focal chorio-retinal infiltrates. In other forms additional features may be present, such as retinal necrosis, exudative retinal detachment (in Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada disease), or subretinal and preretinal neovascularisation. As will be seen from the discussion below, however, these manifestations represent the spectrum of chorioretinal responses to an inflammatory stimulus, and this limited clinical set of responses is essentially the same whether the stimulus is immunological, chronic infectious, or a combination of both.

### Experimental models of posterior uveoretinitis

Since the pioneering work of Wacker and his colleagues demonstrated unequivocally that proteins within the retina could induce an autoimmune uveoretinitis, several antigens have been described including retinal S (soluble) antigen, interphotoreceptor retinal binding protein (IRBP), opsin, and rhodopsin (for review see Gery et al.). Complete amino acid sequence data for these proteins are now available, and considerable information on the immunodominant epitopes of two of them (S-antigen and IRBP) is known. A single injection of any one of these antigens, when emulsified with Freund's adjuvant and injected into the subcutaneous tissues of an experimental animal, will induce an organ-specific autoimmune inflammation (which includes the pineal gland, the invertebrate third eye). The degree of inflammation varies with the nature of the antigen, the species, and strain (ie, MHC type) of animal, the dose of antigen, the degree of immunosuppression, and the conditions for immunisation (Table 2). In addition, both acute and chronic uveoretinitis models have been developed. Despite the lack of homology between the different antigens, the clinicopathological features of the uveoretinal inflammatory disease in EAU are remarkably similar. An important corollary to this is that each antigen can induce the full range of clinical and pathological features seen in human posterior endogenous uveitis. For instance, the earliest lesion in both S-antigen and IRBP-induced EAU is a single cell infiltrate in the photoreceptor layer, the site of the target antigen(s). A characteristic lesion following this infiltration of cells is the focal chorioretinal nodule, similar to the human Dalen-Fuchs nodule. These develop and subside over a short time span (2-3 weeks), may occur in several places at the same time and sequentially, and progressively increase in number until the outer retina is extensively damaged and replaced by patches of fibrovascular scar tissue. In animals with a retinal vasculature, such as the rat and monkey, vasculitis is frequently observed as an early manifestation. Retinal vasculitis may involve a few small vessels or extend to many vessels and the degree of involvement depends on the dose of antigen. The clinical and fluorescein angiographic features of experimental retinal vasculitis are identical to human retinal vasculitis.

Acute inflammation with massive exudative retinal detachment may be observed with high doses of antigen in susceptible animals and is reminiscent of Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada disease in the acute phase. Acute necrosis of the retina may also occur. Acute EAU in Lewis rats has been the most extensively investigated model so far, but it represents only one type of uveoretinitis and is probably not the most characteristic of human endogenous uveitis.

In contrast, recent studies have demonstrated that chronic EAU can be induced in certain strains of mouse, guinea-pigs, and in rats with antigens prepared in the presence of protease inhibitors. In these models subretinal neovascularisation may occur in the late stages in precisely the same manner as occurs in human birdshot retinochoroidopathy.

A paradigm for human posterior uveitis has therefore emerged in that a single antigen can elicit the same range of clinical pathological manifestations in the experimental animal as are seen in human disease, and that several different antigens can induce the same limited set of responses. The factors which determine the clinical presentation, therefore, probably relate less to the nature of the antigen than to the dose of antigen, the susceptibility of the host, the genetic predisposition and the prevailing environmental conditions. Furthermore, it is likely that, although the initiating agent may differ, the mechanism of disease production is probably similar in most of these disorders but is modified by one or more of these factors. A relationship to 'infectious' forms of uveoretinitis may be envisaged whereby the initial microorganism-associated retinal inflammation induces breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier and permits
access of polyclonally activated autoreactive T cells to previously sequestered and potent retinal autoantigens, thereby setting up an autoimmune uveoretinitis which becomes self-perpetuating.66

**Relevance of experimental uveitis to clinical disease**

The initial enthusiasm for detecting autoantibodies to retinal antigens36 72 and for demonstrating cell mediated immunity to retinal antigens49 has become tempered by the observation that antiretinal antibodies occur normally in the general population50 51 and also that circulating autoreactive T cells to S-antigen and to IRBP are to be found in healthy individuals.36 57 Indeed, it has been suggested that high levels of antiretinal antibodies may be protective against the more severe forms of endogenous uveitis66 67 and that, in cases of active sympathetic ophthalma and Behçet’s disease, antiretinal antibodies may in fact be decreased (Forrester, Noble, Chamberlain, et al., unpublished observations). These results are not surprising, since only a very small proportion of serum immunoglobulins and circulating T cells are actually autoreactive, and an even smaller proportion will be specific for retinal antigens. Therefore, even if these antibodies and/or cells were proportionally increased, for example, by a factor of 10, they would probably remain below the detectable limit due to the wide range of values which occur in the normal population.

Similarly, other immunological tests of a less specific nature have been unrewarding in studies of endogenous uveitis. These include enumeration of total T and B and T cell subsets, and T/B cell proliferation studies to non-specific antigens.57 For the reasons detailed above it is unlikely that such studies would be informative.

More recently markers of T cell activation have become available, such as the MHC class II surface antigen and the interleukin-2 (IL-2) receptor. These markers have been shown to occur more frequently on circulating T cells in patients with endogenous uveitis,59 and these data would coincide with current concepts of a generalised increase in lymphocytic activation in inflammatory disease. According to this notion ‘activated’ lymphocytes, including activated autoreactive lymphocytes, could gain access to a tissue through defects in the blood-tissue barrier or more probably, through changes in the surface adhesiveness of the local vascular endothelium.60 61 If invasive autoreactive lymphocytes come in contact with their respective autoantigen, local clonal T cell expansion would occur with considerable lymphokine production and active recruitment of further T cells and cytotoxic mononuclear cells. A focus of tissue damage with proliferating cells that it, a microgranuloma) would ensue. Since this process, at least initially, occurs at a local microscopic tissue level, it is not surprising that these changes fail to be reflected in the levels of circulating lymphocytes and autoantibodies. The only clue to such a mechanism might be that the overall level of ‘activated’ lymphocytes in the circulation would in some cases be increased.

Therefore, although endogenous uveitis has been resistant to the label of an ‘autoimmune’ disease by conventional criteria of autoantibodies and defects in T and/or B cells, there can be little doubt that immunological mechanisms play a major, if not a primary, role in the disease. Firstly, immunological studies show considerable involvement of various T cell subsets in many forms of the disease. Secondly, the clinical and pathological features of all types of human uveitis show extensive similarity to the various forms of experimental autoimmune uveoretinitis, induced by recognised retinal autoantigens. And, thirdly, many forms of endogenous uveoretinitis (and experimental autoimmune uveoretinitis) respond preferentially to immunosuppressive therapy, whether it is relatively broad spectrum as with systemic steroids and azathioprine, or more selective as with the CD4+ T cell inhibitor, cyclosporin A. These observations should direct us in our search for more effective therapy.

**Possible immunotherapeutic approaches**

The possibilities for intervention in immunologically mediated diseases are several. The aim in immunotherapy is to be as selective as possible in inhibiting the tissue damaging components of the autoimmune response, while sparing the normally functioning cellular immune responses which are required for defence against foreign antigens. Immunomodulation may be achieved by drugs or may be more specifically directed against the autoimmune response. For example, in experimental allergic encephalomyelitis, which is an autoimmune disease induced by myelin basic protein and has certain similarities to multiple sclerosis, inhibition of the disease can be induced by ‘designer’ peptides which block the binding site for autoantigens on the MHC molecule of the antigen presenting cell.62 63 An alternative approach is to use monoclonal antibodies directed against surface molecules involved in T cell receptor recognition (particularly if they can be ‘humanised’ by structural manipulation)64 65 or against the antigen itself as with EAU.66 67 Intervention in this case may be mediated either by direct interaction with the antigen4 or via an anti-idiotypic mechanism.66 Therapy aimed at inhibiting interaction between the ‘activated’ lymphocyte and the endothelium – that is, at the site of blood-tissue barrier – is also possible, as has been shown recently in the case of antibodies to intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) to reduce the severity of the immune response in a primate model of asthma.68

At present these potential therapeutic strategies remain essentially experimental in relation to human uveitis. Of more immediate interest, however, are recent advances in drug based immunointervention in which increasingly selective immunosuppression is employed. In this regard, the T cell inhibitor for cyclosporin A (CsA) has been used successfully to control endogenous uveitis both experimentally69 and clinically in cases which had become steroid resistant or intolerant.70 71 Two major problems arise in relation to CsA therapy for uveitis, namely, nephrotoxicity, and rebound uveitis after sudden withdrawal of the drug. Nephrotoxicity is reduced but is still significant if low dose (<5 mg/kg body weight) CsA therapy is employed. Furthermore, patients at risk of developing nephrotoxicity may be identified by the clear link with hypertension which has recently been shown.72 That is, if the patient remains normotensive during therapy with CsA, then it is likely that he will escape renal dysfunction.

Some of the newer immunosuppressants may be less nephrotoxic, such as FK506, an inhibitor of T helper cells with an activity similar to CsA but at lower doses. In liver and renal transplant patients, FK506 has been shown to be remarkably free of nephrotoxicity,73 but studies in autoimmune disease have not yet been initiated. Other macrolide immunosuppressants such as rapamycin may also have potential in the therapy of autoimmune uveitis.

It can be seen therefore that, although we do not know precisely the nature of the antigens, either foreign or self antigens, involved in the pathogenesis of posterior uveitis, we now have a greater understanding of the mechanisms of immunologically mediated uveoretinal inflammation which has been gained from the study of models of EAU and has led to a more rational and direct therapeutic approach.
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