Topical ofloxacin compared with gentamicin in the treatment of external ocular infection
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Abstract
In a double-masked, randomised, controlled study the effectiveness and safety of 0.3% ofloxacin solution were compared with those of 0.3% gentamicin ophthalmic solution in treating external bacterial ocular infections. The clinical improvement rate for patients treated with ofloxacin was 98% (51/52) and 92% (48/52) for those treated with gentamicin. Microbiological improvement was achieved in 78% (40/51) of the ofloxacin patients, compared with 67% (35/52) of the gentamicin group. Ofloxacin treatment eradicated or controlled 85% (86/101) of the Gram positive and 89% (17/19) of the Gram negative organisms cultured, compared with 83% (103/124) and 78% (29/37), respectively, after gentamicin treatment. None of these differences were statistically significant. The incidence of adverse effects attributable to ofloxacin treatment (3.2%) was less than that reported for gentamicin (7.1%). Ofloxacin proved to be an effective, safe, and comfortable therapy for external bacterial ocular infection.

(The British Journal of Ophthalmology 1992; 76: 714-718)

The aminoglycosides gentamicin and tobramycin are well established as first-line therapy for external infections, and possess a broad spectrum of activity against Gram positive and Gram negative organisms. However, resistance to these antibiotics is increasing. For example, resistance to topical aminoglycoside therapy may be encountered in as many as 8% to 10% of ulcerative keratitis cases caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Resistance appears to be even greater in ocular infections caused by Gram positive organisms. Adverse drug effects such as punctate epithelial keratitis are encountered in some patients. The problems of resistance to the aminoglycosides and the possibility of adverse responses to these agents in some patients have given impetus to the search for new ocular anti-infective agents.

Ofloxacin is among the more promising agents under investigation as a treatment for ocular infections. An in vitro study demonstrated that ofloxacin is more potent than non-quinolone antibiotics (gentamicin, tobramycin, chloramphenicol, polymyxin B) and more potent than the quinoline, norfloxacin, against various ocular species, including Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, and Streptococcus pneumoniae. In another recent in vitro study comprising five fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, ofloxacin, pefloxacin, and temafloxacin) with gentamicin, tobramycin, and ceftazolin, the fluoroquinolones had excellent in vitro activity against isolates of common bacterial pathogens, and were less toxic to the corneal epithelium than the aminoglycosides. Ofloxacin also shows potent activity in vitro against chlamydial species, various anaerobes, and other species. Fluoroquinolones, such as ofloxacin, derive their antibiotic activity from their ability to inhibit bacterial DNA gyrase, an enzyme that catalyses the conversion of relaxed covalently closed circular DNA to a supercoiled form. These compounds alter the structure and disrupt the function of bacterial DNA and interfere with fundamental processes, including DNA replication, recombination, repair, and transcription. Ofloxacin-resistant bacterial strains do not form readily in vitro, and when such strains do appear they generally are not as viable as ofloxacin-sensitive strains. Cross resistance with ofloxacin and other classes of antibiotics has not been reported.

In clinical studies conducted to date, topical ofloxacin has significantly reduced the clinical signs and symptoms of ocular infection and eradicated the causative organism in a high proportion of patients. The present study was designed to assess the clinical value of topical ofloxacin treatment by comparing its efficacy, safety, and comfort of application with those of standard topical gentamicin therapy.

Subjects and methods

PATIENTS
A total of 194 patients with suspected external ocular bacterial infection, including conjunctivitis, blepharitis, and blepharoconjunctivitis, were enrolled in the study. A detailed case report was prepared for each patient. Patients were excluded from the study if any of the following were present:

1. Active ophthalmic disease (except external bacterial infection)
2. Uncontrolled systemic disease, such as hypertension or diabetes
3. Use of other topical ophthalmic medications
4. Sensitivity to test medications or solution components
5. Pregnancy or nursing, or planned pregnancy during the study

Table 1 Exclusion criteria

Patients were excluded from the study if any of the following were present:

1. Active ophthalmic disease (except external bacterial infection)
2. Uncontrolled systemic disease, such as hypertension or diabetes
3. Use of other topical ophthalmic medications
4. Sensitivity to test medications or solution components
5. Pregnancy or nursing, or planned pregnancy during the study

* Ofloxacin Study Group Investigators: Sanford Rakofsky, MD, Coral Gables, FL; Theodore Buka, MD, Cincinnati, OH; Valerie Moore, MD, Fort Myers, FL; Penny Ashell, MD, New York, NY; Beth Friedland, MD, Research Triangle Park, NC; Lawrence Lohman, MD, Kent, OH; William J Faulkner, MD, Reading, OH; J Elliott Blaydes, MD, Bluefield, West Virginia; Bruce Koffler, MD, Lexington, KY; James V Aquavella, MD, Rochester, NY; Leonard R Bormann, Pharm D, Arlene Gwon, MD, and Jess Frank, PhD (Biostatistician); Allergan Pharmaceuticals, Irvine, CA, USA.
were enrolled at 18 study sites. All patients signed informed consent forms describing the design and purpose of the study as well as the potential risks of participation. None of the patients were known to be sensitive to the test medications or solution constituents, such as benzalkonium chloride, or to nalidixic acid, gentamicin, or any other aminoglycoside. These and other exclusion criteria are shown in Table 1.

**DRUG TREATMENT**

Patients were randomly assigned to receive either 0.3% ofloxacin (n=93) or 0.3% gentamicin (n=98), administered in identical masked bottles. One drop of either ofloxacin or gentamicin solution was applied to the affected eye(s) six times daily (every 2 to 4 hours) for 2 days (day 1 and day 2) and then four times daily for the next 8 days (day 3 to day 10). The first dose was administered by the investigator, and all subsequent doses were self-administered by the patient. Patients were instructed to discontinue use of the study medication at least 12 hours prior to the second follow-up examination on day 11.

**PATIENT EXAMINATION, CULTURE EVALUATION**

During a baseline examination, medical and ophthalmic histories were taken, visual acuity tested, biomicroscopy and ophthalmoscopy performed, symptoms of ocular infection assessed, and conjunctival and eyelid specimens obtained. Sensitivity testing of the clinical isolates for ofloxacin, gentamicin, and tobramycin was performed with the Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method. The patients were re-examined on days 3 to 5 and again on day 11. The follow-up examinations on day 11 consisted of the same clinical observations as the baseline examinations and also included the investigator’s evaluation of the effectiveness of the treatment and an assessment of the comfort of the drug treatment. In patients with positive baseline culture findings, follow-up culture tests were performed at the day 11 examination.

At all follow-up examinations, the safety of the drug treatments was evaluated by noting adverse reactions and changes from baseline in visual acuity, ophthalmoscopic findings, and lens pathology. Comfort of the test medications was evaluated based on the severity of burning, stinging, tearing, itching, foreign body sensation, photophobia, blurring of vision, dryness, and pain experienced after applying the study medication.

To obtain ocular cultures, standard calcium alginate swabs were moistened with sterile unpreserved saline and rolled along the lid margins and conjunctival cul-de-sacs of each affected eye. Separate lid and conjunctival cultures were taken for each eye. Each culture swab was placed in a sterile tube with 1.0 ml of transport-dissolving buffer and was delivered to the microbiological laboratory within 4 hours of collection.

For quantitative analysis in the laboratory, the tube was vortexed until the swab fibres were finely dispersed. A sample of the suspension was plated directly onto a 5% horse blood agar plate and a chocolate agar plate. A dilution (1:10) of the sample was prepared in sterile trypticase soy broth and was also plated onto both blood and chocolate agar plates. Colony-forming units were counted after all plates were incubated for 48 hours at 37°C in 4% CO₂. Bacteria were identified and sensitivity tests (Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method) to ofloxacin, tobramycin, and gentamicin were performed.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Drug efficacy assessment was restricted to patients with positive baseline culture results, based on the criteria of Cagle et al⁴ (Table 2). Baseline culture findings were positive in 52 of 93 subjects (56%) treated with ofloxacin and in 53 of 98 (54%) subjects in the gentamicin group.

The microbiological improvement rate— that is, the proportion of patients evaluable for efficacy who had improved microbiologically— was determined by evaluating the change from baseline in bacterial colony counts. Microbiological outcomes, based on the worst outcome of all species at all culture sites, were classified as follows: *proliferated* (bacterial colony count positive, based on species-specific criteria listed above and greater than baseline); *no change* (bacterial colony count equal to baseline); *reduced* (bacterial colony count less than baseline but still greater than the species-specific threshold listed above); *controlled* (bacterial colony count less than the species specific threshold listed above); or *eradicated* (bacterial colony count equals zero). Patients were considered to have improved microbiologically if the colony forming units per swab of *S. aureus* were reduced by at least 1 log₁₀ during the course of treatment.

**Table 3 Clinical improvement rate: 10 key variables and calculation of clinical improvement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key variables</th>
<th>Cumulative summary score value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolved</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diminished</td>
<td>&lt;baseline and &gt;0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>=baseline and &gt;0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsened</td>
<td>&gt;baseline or patient discontinued due to lack of drug efficacy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* According to the criteria of Cagle et al⁴
the bacterial infection was either eradicated or controlled.

The clinical improvement rate was defined as the proportion of patients evaluable for efficacy who showed improvement in a cumulative summary score involving 10 key biomicroscopy and symptom variables (Table 3).

Patients were considered to have improved overall if they had improved both clinically and microbiologically. The statistical significance of differences in the clinical, microbiological, and overall improvement rates between the ofloxacin and gentamicin groups was determined by the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test, with stratification by investigator. 29 This stratified analysis was completed in an attempt to adjust for differences between investigators at the 18 sites, particularly regarding clinical scoring.

Results

Three of the 194 patients enrolled in the study were disqualified prior to receiving medication. Treatment safety was evaluated in the remaining 191 patients: 93 were treated with ofloxacin and 98 with gentamicin. A total of 105 subjects ranging in age from 19 to 88 years were considered evaluable for efficacy because of positive baseline cultures. Of these, 25 men and 27 women were assigned by random selection to receive ofloxacin, while 15 men and 38 women were designated for treatment with gentamicin. The two treatment groups were not significantly different with regard to age, race, iris colour, or medical and ophthalmic histories, though there were significantly more women than men in the gentamicin group (Table 4).

A significantly greater proportion of the gentamicin patients presented with a history of corneal disease compared with the ofloxacin group; otherwise, there were no significant differences in clinical diagnoses between the treatment groups (Table 5). Conjunctivitis was the most common diagnosis among the study subjects, accounting for 90% of culture-positive patients. Another 5% of patients were diagnosed with blepharoconjunctivitis and blepharitis. There were no significant differences between the ofloxacin and gentamicin groups with respect to the frequency of specific diagnoses.

Among patients treated with ofloxacin, 98% (51/52) were either clinically cured or improved by day 11, compared with 92% (48/52) of the gentamicin group (Table 6). The signs and symptoms of infection were judged to be completely resolved in 52% (27/52) of the ofloxacin group, compared with 44% (23/52) of the gentamicin group at day 11. There were no notable differences in clinical improvement rates between patients with different baseline diagnoses. Ninety eight percent of ofloxacin-treated subjects with conjunctivitis were found to have improved by day 11, compared with 100% of those with other diagnoses. Among the gentamicin group, 91% and 100% of the patients with conjunctivitis and other diagnoses, respectively, had improved by day 11. None of the differences between the groups showed statistical significance.

Microbiological Improvement

Microbiological improvement was achieved in 78% (40/51) of the ofloxacin patients, compared with 67% (35/52) of the gentamicin group (Table 6), although the difference was not statistically significant. Ofloxacin treatment eradicated the infecting bacteria in 67% (34/51) of patients at day 11, compared with 58% (30/52) after gentamicin treatment. Proliferation occurred in 16% (8/51) of the ofloxacin group vs 27% (14/52) of gentamicin-treated subjects. Nonetheless, all the ofloxacin-treated patients in whom proliferation had occurred were found to have improved clinically as of day 11, compared with 12 of 14 gentamicin patients who had clinical improvement by this time.

Ofloxacin treatment eradicated or controlled 85% (86/101) of the Gram negative organisms and 89% (1719) of the Gram negative organisms of the Gram positive organisms cultured, compared with 83% (103/124) and 78% (29/37), respectively, after gentamicin treatment. In cases involving the most virulent organisms (for example, those with threshold

---

**Table 4 Demographic profile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Ofloxacin</th>
<th>Gentamicin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acinetobacter anitratus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus lwoffii</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus mirabilis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micrococcus species</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus epidermidis</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus species</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus viridans</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5 Clinical diagnoses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Ofloxacin</th>
<th>Gentamicin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctivitis</td>
<td>47 (90.4%)</td>
<td>48 (90.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blepharitis</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (3.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blepharoconjunctivitis</td>
<td>2 (3.8%)</td>
<td>1 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratitis</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratoconjunctivitis</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal ulcer</td>
<td>2 (3.8%)</td>
<td>1 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6 Clinical, microbiological, and overall improvement rates in patients treated with ofloxacin or gentamicin**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug treatment</th>
<th>Clinical</th>
<th>Microbiological</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td>51/52 (98%)</td>
<td>40/51 (78%)</td>
<td>40/51 (78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>48/52 (92%)</td>
<td>35/52 (67%)</td>
<td>32/51 (63%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no statistical differences between the groups in any of the improvement rates, though there was a trend (p=0.089) in the overall improvement results favouring ofloxacin.
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values of 0 as defined by Cagle et al), eradication or control was achieved in 87% (14/16) of the ofloxacin patients and in 82% (36/44) of the gentamicin group. Microbiological improvement rates for selected micro-organisms cultured during the study are listed in Table 7.

Disc diffusion sensitivity testing revealed that 2% (4/195) of the Gram positive organisms isolated were resistant to ofloxacin; 11% (22/197) were resistant to gentamicin; and 18% (34/194) were resistant to tobramycin. None of the Gram negative isolates were found to be resistant to ofloxacin or gentamicin; however, 12% (5/41) of the Gram negative isolates were resistant to tobramycin.

Among the ofloxacin patients, 78% (40/51) improved overall – that is, both clinically and microbiologically, compared with 63% (32/51) of gentamicin patients (Table 6). The observed differences in clinical, microbiological, or overall improvement rates between the ofloxacin and gentamicin groups were not statistically significant.

Adverse reactions possibly caused by drug treatment were encountered in three of the 93 ofloxacin patients (3.2%) and seven of the 98 gentamicin patients (7.1%). These reactions, which included burning, stinging, and photophobia, necessitated discontinuation of the drug. No drug treatment related effects on visual acuity, ophthalmoscopic findings, or lens pathology were observed. There was no notable difference between treatment groups in comfort of drug application.

Discussion

The results of this study indicate that 0-3% ofloxacin is an effective, safe, and comfortable treatment for external ocular bacterial infection, primarily conjunctivitis and blepharoconjunctivitis. The clinical, microbiological, and overall improvement rates achieved with ofloxacin were 6%, 11%, and 15% higher, respectively, than those with gentamicin, though statistical significance could not be established.

The clinical and microbiological improvement rates observed in the present study for ofloxacin (98% and 78%, respectively) and for gentamicin (92% and 67%, respectively) are consistent with the results of earlier clinical studies. Cagle et al observed clinical cure or improvement in 92% of patients treated with topical gentamicin. Gentamicin yielde


