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Abstract
During the past 5 years we have seen 10 patients who had developed sclerosing lipogranuloma of the lid and orbit after endonasal sinus surgery with subsequent ipsilateral monocular haematoma. The histopathological examination of all and the additional nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic examination of four surgical specimens in combination with the typical clinical course led to the conclusion that the granulomas were caused by small paraffin droplets. These in turn stemmed from the ointment plugs applied to the sinuses at the end of the operation, and were washed out into the surrounding tissues by the postoperative haemorrhage. As the chronic and recurring granulomas can lead to considerable cosmetic and functional impairment, the application of ointment plugs at the end of sinus surgery should be abandoned, especially when peri- or postoperative bleeding occurs.

Paraffin-induced sclerosing lipogranuloma has long been known as a typical complication after intradermal injection of paraffin in dermatology or cosmetic surgery.1,2 A few cases have been reported in which sclerosing lipogranuloma of the lids was caused by paraffin-containing ointment plugs of the lacrimal drainage system,2,3 by topical ointment application to the conjunctiva,1 or by hydraulic oil injury to the lid skin.4 Though Brusis5 in 1984 reported a case of granulation tumour of the orbit after ointment tamponade of the maxillary sinus, no attention has as yet been drawn to the fact that paraffin granuloma may be a typical and severe complication of endonasal sinus surgery. Strempel,6 in a paper about orbital lipogranulomas, described three patients who had undergone sinus surgery and had developed sclerosing lipogranuloma. The author related this reactive inflammation to a nonspecific surgical trauma, but was not aware of paraffin as the possible causal agent.

During the past few years we have encountered a number of patients who developed typical sclerosing lipogranuloma or paraffin granuloma several weeks or months after endonasal sinus surgery. This retrospective study was undertaken to work out the typical clinical course and the histopathological picture, to clarify the aetiology and the pathogenesis, and to help prevent future cases. Two patients, who impressively demonstrated the diagnostic difficulties in this disease, will be described in more detail.

Material and methods
Between 1987 and 1991 the ophthalmo pathological laboratory of the University Eye Hospital in Freiburg received surgical specimens of 10 patients with sclerosing lipogranuloma of the lids and the anterior orbit which had developed after endonasal sinus surgery. The clinical data, including those from the ear, nose, and throat clinics were taken from the patients' records. In most cases a computed tomogram was available.

The surgical specimens were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin wax. The following staining methods were applied: haematoxylin and eosin, periodic acid Schiff, trichrome Goldner, and Berlin blue for iron. Frozen tissue of some specimens was stained for neutral fats with Sudan red.

Formalin fixed tissue of the last four patients was extracted with cold chloroform and examined by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. The spectra of $^1$H and $^{13}$C were taken for differentiation and were compared with those of healthy lid tissue from other patients.

Results

CLINICAL COURSE
The important clinical data of the 10 patients are summarised in Table 1. All patients were operated on for polypoidal sinusitis by endonasal surgery. In all cases bleeding occurred during surgery and bilateral or monolateral monocular haematoma developed. In nine patients a tamponade of the operated sinus with paraffin-containing ointment plugs was documented; in one patient it can only be suspected.

After the haemorrhage had subsided, the patients first realised a slowly growing irregular tumour of the lids 3 to 4 weeks after the endonasal operation, sometimes in connection with an inflammatory reddening of the covering skin. In one patient the lid tumour became

---

Table 1: Clinical data of 10 patients with paraffin ointment induced sclerosing lipogranuloma following ear, nose, and throat surgery for ethmoid/maxillary sinusitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Age (years), sex</th>
<th>Complications of ear, nose, and throat surgery</th>
<th>Signs and symptoms</th>
<th>Time between ear, nose, and throat surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50, F</td>
<td>Injury to the orbital wall, monocular haematoma</td>
<td>Swelling of lids, proptosis, restriction of upward gaze</td>
<td>10 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>53, F</td>
<td>Bleeding from sphenopalatine artery, monocular haematoma</td>
<td>Swelling of right upper lid, proptosis, restriction of upward gaze</td>
<td>9 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>49 F</td>
<td>Left monocular haematoma</td>
<td>Swelling of eyelids, proptosis</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>44, M</td>
<td>Left monocular haematoma</td>
<td>Swelling of left eyelids</td>
<td>14 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>48, M</td>
<td>Right monocular haematoma</td>
<td>Swelling of right eyelids, proptosis</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>35, F</td>
<td>Severe bleeding during puncture, monocular haematoma</td>
<td>Swelling of right upper and lower lid</td>
<td>3 months and 15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>34, F</td>
<td>Right monocular haematoma</td>
<td>Swelling of right lower lid</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24, M</td>
<td>Right monocular haematoma</td>
<td>Swelling of right lids, proptosis, restriction of upward gaze</td>
<td>17 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>59, M</td>
<td>Monocular haematoma left side</td>
<td>Swelling of left eyelids</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>50, F</td>
<td>Right monocular haematoma</td>
<td>Swelling of right eyelids</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Case reports

CASE 1

This 35-year-old woman (No 6 in Table 1) appeared in our eye hospital in January 1989 with an irregular hard tumour of the right lower lid and the nasal canthal region (Fig 4), which had slowly grown during the last months. The patient had seen her local practitioner and her ophthalmologist, but a definite diagnosis could not be made. As the results of computed tomography were suspicious of an infiltrating neoplasm the patient was referred to our clinic for operation. An excisional biopsy was done and the histopathological diagnosis was 'sclerosing lipogranuloma' possibly due to paraffin or a similar substance (Fig 2). The patient denied having had any operation or injection more recently. On inquiry, however, she admitted that she had
been operated on for a tumour in the same region 15 years earlier and that a slight swelling had remained ever since. We were able to retrieve her old records and the histological slides. While the microscopic picture was that of a typical fresh paraffin granuloma, the records revealed that the patient had undergone nasal sinus surgery with severe subsequent bleeding 3 months before the first lid operation. Thus the causal relationship between the ear, nose, and throat operation and the lid granuloma and its recurrence 15 years later could finally be established.

CASE 2
The 24-year-old man (No 8 in Table 1) was referred to our eye hospital in October 1991 because of an increasing swelling of the right upper lid with ptosis, downward displacement of the right globe, and partially restricted motility (Fig 5). One and a half years before, in April 1990, he had undergone right paranasal sinus surgery which had been followed by a massive monocular haematoma. When the lid was operated on, a diffusely infiltrating yellowish tumour was found which could not be completely excised. The histopathological examination of the excised tissue revealed a typical paraffin granuloma with densely packed epithelioid cells (Fig 6). Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy demonstrated large amounts of n-alkanes, the typical constituents of paraffin. On request, the ear, nose, and throat surgeon confirmed that the paranasal sinuses had been plugged with an ointment tampon at the end of the endonasal surgery. Three months later the lid tumour recurred. As the patient was not willing to undergo a second operation without general anaesthesia, he was sent to his local practitioner for preoperative evaluation. On chest x-ray and computed tomography a swelling of the hilar lymph nodes and several round foci of the lungs were detected (Fig 7) and the patient was referred to a pulmonary clinic without our knowledge. There, a bronchoalveolar lavage and a bronchial biopsy were performed yielding lymphocytes and a small epithelioid granuloma in the bronchial mucosa, respectively. As, in addition, the angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) level was elevated to 88 U/ml (normal 30 U/ml), the diagnosis of sarcoidosis was made and the lid tumour was felt to be yet another manifestation of a sarcoid granuloma. The patient was put on systemic steroids for 2 months, and when he returned to our eye hospital the infiltration of the lid and the lungs had completely subsided.

The histological slides of the bronchial biopsy were re-examined in our laboratory and compared with the slides of the lid tumour. The type of granuloma was the same in both specimens and was more likely to be caused by paraffin than to be a sarcoid granuloma.

Discussion
The typical histological pattern, which is well known from paraffin granulomas of other sites, and the fact that in four of the 10 cases high concentrations of n-alkanes could be demonstrated by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy leave little doubt that paraffin was also the triggering agent in our patients. All our patients had undergone endonasal sinus surgery. In nine of the 10 there is proof that the sinuses were plugged at the end of the operation with ointment tampons containing paraffin as a major constituent. Thus, it is very likely that ear, nose, and throat surgery and the paraffin granulomas of lid and orbit are causally related. Since endonasal sinus surgery is a very common operation and postoperative paraffin granuloma, however, a rather rare event, there must be an additional factor for initiating the chronic disease. This factor appears to be postoperative haemorrhage. All our patients had a postoperative monocular haematoma and in all of them the paraffin granuloma developed at the same site after the haematoma had subsided. During endonasal sinus surgery an injury of the extremely thin bony wall between the sinuses and the orbit cannot always be avoided. If small branches of the ethmoidal or sphenopalatine arteries are severed considerable bleeding may occur, spreading to the anterior orbit and through the emissaries in the orbital septum and also to the lid or, in case of anteriorly located ethmoidal cells, directly to the lid. The blood emulsifies the ointment and distributes it to the surrounding tissues. After the blood has been absorbed tiny droplets of paraffin remain. As
they cannot be degraded by cellular enzymes they act as foreign bodies eliciting a diffuse chronic granulomatous inflammation.

Many ear, nose, and throat surgeons seem not to be aware of the fact that chronic paraffin granuloma can be a complication of sinus surgery, when bleeding occurs and ointment plugs are used. As a consequence the patients are not informed, and when they see the ophthalmologist several weeks or months after ear, nose, and throat surgery the causal relationship is often overlooked. Even after the operation of the lid and orbital tumours the correct diagnosis is often not made because the pathologist may not be familiar with the typical histological pattern of paraffin granuloma. This delay in diagnosis is well illustrated by the first of our two cases described in more detail.

The second case is especially interesting because a generalised disease led to considerable confusion. We can, of course, not exclude the possibility that our patient indeed had sarcoidosis as well, especially since paraffin may trigger the development of sarcoidosis or sarcoid-like disease in predisposed patients. On the other hand, it is well known that paraffin itself—e.g., after long term application of paraffin-containing ointments to the nasal mucosa, or to a tracheostoma, or after accidental or occupational ingestion, may lead to pulmonary granulomas identical to those found in our patient. The small bronchial biopsy specimen showed a microscopic pattern compatible with that of the lid tumour. Although the remaining findings were thought to be diagnostic for sarcoidosis, as the detection of lymphocytes in the bronchoalveolar lavage and the raised ACE level are indeed nonspecific, we strongly believe that the pulmonary lesions in our patient were due to the ingestion of paraffin caused by the endonasal sinus surgery.

Though not a common event, postoperative paraffin granuloma is a severe complication leading to chronic and recurrent disease with considerable functional impairment. The use of paraffin-containing ointment in sinus surgery should therefore be abandoned, especially if peri- or postoperative bleeding occurs.

We thank Professor Fritz, Ciba Geigy Basle, and Professor Prinzbach, Institute of Chemistry of the University of Freiburg, for the nuclear magnetic resonance spectrographic examinations.

History of ophthalmology

India in the 1900s

Quite a few ophthalmologists headed for India around the turn of the last century, just as junior doctors head for the Antipodes today (although in India the pay was worse, not better). R H Elliott was the most famous and reported his more singular experiences at the Ophthalmology Congress in 1916.

The main point he made was that because his area was so large and the queues in his outpatient clinics very long (so what’s new?), his patients would invariably have been treated at home first. This did not preclude a cure: squirting breast milk into sore eyes and rubbing the soles with oil to ‘draw the heat from the head’ rather amused him.

What really annoyed Elliott and his colleagues, was that patients with persistent symptoms were taken to the local eye expert, invariably an old woman whose reputation was proportional to her years, in spite of the fact that, as Elliott says, ‘her ignorance was as colossal as her confidence’.

Very unfortunately, traditional remedies consisted of applying paste of tamarind (very nasty stuff), lime juice, iron filings, and cow dung to the afflicted eyeball. While admitting that the specialists’ intentions are ‘as angelic as the results are devilish,’ Elliott was truly appalled by the results.

One particular example upset him greatly. He described a tall, thin man walking to clinic with a long stick held out behind him, to which three small children clung. The history was that some weeks ago the eyes of all three had become inflamed, and pastes from the village specialist were applied with high hopes and with vigour. The man was obviously fond of his offspring. As a result, five eyes were hopelessly scarred and the sixth nearly so. Hence the stick.

The cosmetic results of this were also unfortunate. Mukerjee, out of pity for a 13 year old girl whose marriage value was diminished by her scarred cornea, experimented with contact glass painted in oils to match the other eye, and achieved an excellent result.

Nor was superstition confined to the lower classes. A highly educated man was advised to wash his eyes daily in his own urine, and nearly lost his sight as a result. Presumably Elliott said some harsh words before treating him and packing him off to the 1917 equivalent of a genitourinary clinic.

Worse still, deliberate corneal irritation was a popular form of malingering. One merely had to walk to an outside wall of one’s house, scrape a little limewash off and drop it in the conjunctival sac, to get the day off with ‘catarrh of the eye.’ There was a real risk of blindness from overdoing this, yet the trick was extremely popular with the local police. Elliott put paid to this by explaining to his staff how the milkiness of the eye and the occasional lime particle did tend to give the game away, and the police desisted, while frequently alluding to the ‘devilish cunning’ of the ophthalmic hospital.

Planning operating lists was also fraught with difficulty, since local astrologers had strong views on which hours were most favourable. If lists were late, patients would up and go to have their horoscope recast for the next favourable lunar phase, by which time they would sometimes be blind.

Knowledge of the frustrations of ‘traditional treatment’ might well have put practitioners off; indeed applications for Indian service declined sharply around this time. However, the obvious explanation was the pay; many Lancet correspondents were making stinging remarks about ‘pecuniary remuneration,’ and complained that as shooting and racing were getting beyond medics’ financial reach, they were coming home.
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