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Abstract
Psychophysical dark adaptation studies were performed in six patients with Best vitelliform macular dystrophy (BVMD) using a Goldmann-Weekers darkadaptometer. Prebleach thresholds were determined before obtaining a postbleach full recovery curve. Unlike patients with Stargardt macular dystrophy, all patients with BVMD showed a normal time to reach their baseline dark adapted thresholds after bleaching of their rod visual pigment when tested in clinically normal appearing retina. Although a lipofuscin material accumulates within retinal pigment epithelial cells in patients with either Best or Stargardt dystrophy, functional findings pertaining to recovery of rod dark adaptation thresholds as well as electro-oculogram light peak to dark trough ratios are different in these two disorders. (Br J Ophthalmol 1994; 78: 430–432)

Best vitelliform macular dystrophy (BVMD) is an autosomal dominant macular degeneration with variable expression. It probably involves primarily a disorder of the retinal pigment epithelium.¶¶

Stargardt disease, another juvenile onset macular dystrophy, is transmitted as an autosomal recessive trait and also involves impairment of retinal pigment epithelial cells. In both Stargardt and Best dystrophies, the accumulation of lipofuscin or a lipofuscin-like material, has been demonstrated in the retinal pigment epithelium.¶¶

Since patients with Stargardt disease can show a prolongation of rod dark adaptation,¶¶ particularly if prebleach dark adapted thresholds are obtained, we analysed dark adaptation in six patients with BVMD to determine whether these patients also had abnormalities in dark adaptation. Unlike previous investigations of dark adaptation testing in patients with Best dystrophy,¶¶ we obtained prebleach dark adapted thresholds before determining the time course of rod dark adaptation.

Materials and methods
Six patients with BVMD were selected from the files of one of the authors (GAF). Inclusion criteria were an abnormally low light peak to dark trough ratio on electro-oculographic (EOG) testing, macular lesions consistent with recognisable phenotypes reported as occurring in BVMD, and at least one family member with BVMD. The study patients included four males and two females ranging in age from 12 to 67 years (mean 39±0 years; median 38 years). Patients 4 and 5 are siblings, and patients 2 and 3 are mother and daughter.

All patients underwent a complete ophthalmic assessment that included the determination of best corrected Snellen visual acuity; slit-lamp examination of the anterior segment, lens, and vitreous; and a dilated fundus examination. No ocular abnormalities were noted except for changes consistent with BVMD. No patient was receiving drugs known to affect vision, and none had a general disorder likely to cause visual loss, such as diabetes. Two patients (patients 2 and 3, Table 1) complained of a delay in the ability to adjust to a darkened environment after at least a moderate degree of exposure to light; the remaining four patients did not.

Visual field measurements were obtained with a Goldmann perimeter. Three patients (patients 1 to 3, Table 1) had various degrees of central scotomas with the II-e-2 and II-e-4 test targets while the others had no central scotomas to these test targets.

Control data from a previous study on dark adaptation were used.¶ Eight subjects (four men and four women) were used as controls, all of whom had normal ocular examination findings with visual acuity correctable to at least 20/20. The control group included staff personnel, students, and the spouse of one of the authors. The subjects’ ages ranged from 23 to 43 years.

Table 1  Dark adaptation findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No/ age/sex</th>
<th>Dark adapted rod prebleach thresholds (log units)</th>
<th>Cone plateau thresholds (log units)</th>
<th>Cone-rod break time (min)</th>
<th>Time to reach prebleach threshold (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/67/M</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/32/F</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/65/F</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/12/M</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/14/M</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/44/M</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient:</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1.9±0.3</td>
<td>1.9±0.3</td>
<td>1.9±0.3</td>
<td>1.9±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control:</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1.7–2.0</td>
<td>1.7–2.0</td>
<td>1.7–2.0</td>
<td>1.7–2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Time to reach within 0.2 log units of the prebleach threshold. †Could not be determined accurately.

¶How many patients?

¶¶What is the difference in time to reach threshold?
Dark adaptation with Best threshold cone-rod break prebleach thresholds, Table 1 period. vitelliform again were that these control subjects value. At the measured test to being monitored of illumination for values. The chosen stimulus was defined as the mean of three threshold measurements with the blue test stimulus. Thresholds were then measured at 5° on either side of the test locus to verify that thresholds at these locations were comparable with those at the test locus, so that if slight variations in fixation occurred, thresholds were being measured at loci with equivalent threshold values.

Subjects were allowed to adapt to room illumination for 3 minutes, and then the tested eye was exposed for 5 minutes to an adapting field of 3·1 log cd m⁻², provided by the Goldmann-Weekers adaptometer. Subjects were monitored during bleaching to ensure that the eye was kept fully open during the full bleaching period. Following the bleach, thresholds were measured at the chosen test locus until they returned to within 0·2 log units of the prebleach value. At the end of dark adaptation, thresholds were again measured at 5° on either side of the test locus to establish that they were comparable to those obtained before the bleach. Pupil size was also remeasured to confirm the maintenance of maximal dilatation throughout the testing period.

Results
Table 1 shows the age, sex, dark adapted rod prebleach thresholds, cone plateau thresholds, cone-rod break time, and time to reach the prebleach threshold for the six BVMD patients. Cone thresholds were defined as the mean of two to four measurements at the end of the cone plateau for the orange test stimulus. We defined the cone-rod break time as the time at which the threshold curve for the blue stimulus crossed the curve for the orange stimulus. Also shown in Table 1 are the means and ranges for eight normal eyes tested at 20° superior to fixation.

For all BVMD patients, the time to reach the prebleach rod threshold was within normal limits. In four BVMD patients, prebleach thresholds were within the normal range while in two patients, over the age of 60 years, the thresholds were slightly beyond the upper range of our younger control subjects whose ages ranged from 23 to 43 years. The cone plateau thresholds were within the range of normal for five patients. For the sixth patient (patient 2, Table 1) the cone plateau threshold could not be determined accurately because of an inconsistency in data points during this time period. For four patients, the cone-rod break time was within normal limits, while for patient 3, the cone-rod break time was slightly prolonged. In patient 2, the cone-rod break time could not be determined with certainty because an inconsistency in data points surrounding the cone-rod break time. A dark adaptation curve from a representative
BVMD patient (patient 1, Table 1) is shown in Figure 1. For comparison, a dark adaptation curve from a patient with Stargardt dystrophy showing a delayed recovery time to the prebleach threshold, is shown in Figure 2. Measurements were made with the same procedure and protocol as used for patients with BVMD. This patient had characteristic atrophic appearing macular lesions and yellowish-white fundus flecks.

Discussion

Previous studies of dark adaptation in patients with BVMD did not obtain prebleach thresholds and did not determine the rate of recovery to prebleach thresholds. Without determining a prebleach baseline threshold, it could be difficult to ascertain accurately a delay in threshold recovery. For example, by first determining a prebleach threshold, Alexander and Fishman were able to demonstrate a prolonged rod dark adaptation in some patients with retinitis pigmentosa. Also by using this procedure, delays in rod dark adaptation were demonstrated in patients with Stargardt disease.6

In the present study, prebleach thresholds were obtained on BVMD patients in order to establish a baseline, and rates of recovery to these prebleach thresholds were determined. After a bleach of their visual pigment, full dark adaptation curves for all six of our patients returned to prebleach values within a normal time. In addition, with consideration of their age in two patients, we found normal rod dark adapted thresholds in our BVMD patients, as did other investigators.9 It also like these investigators, we did not find a lengthening of the cone-rod break time to be a feature of this disorder, unlike Braley and Spivey10 and Sorsby et al,11 who did observe a prolonged cone-rod break time.

Patients with Stargardt disease and those with BVMD share a similar histopathological finding. In both macular dysstrophies, an accumulation of lipofuscin or a lipofuscin-like material in all retinal pigment epithelial cells has been demonstrated.1,9 The significance of the accumulation of this material to retinal pigment epithelial cell function is uncertain. In theory, this lipofuscin material could result in a mechanical impediment to the transport of nutrient materials to the retinal photoreceptors, as well as in a compromise of the transport of 11-cis-retinal between the retinal pigment epithelium and photoreceptors, causing possible abnormalities in dark adaptation. In fact, in patients with Stargardt disease, rod dark adaptation has been found to be abnormally delayed.4

In BVMD patients, however, rod dark adaptation is normal despite the presence of a lipofuscin-like pigment accumulation. This finding provides a further distinction between these two disorders, in addition to differences in EOG responses. EOG light peak to dark trough ratios are often normal in patients with Stargardt disease, while abnormal EOG ratios are, by definition, diagnostic of BVMD.

In our study, dark adaptation testing was performed only at retinal loci outside vitelliform lesions, in regions with normal or near normal absolute thresholds. Further studies are needed to determine whether normal rod dark adaptation recovery times will also be obtained in areas of the retina with ophthalmoscopically evident vitelliform lesions, since prolonged regeneration of foveal cone visual pigment has been shown by fundus reflectometry in at least some patients with Best vitelliform macular disease.15
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