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Abstract

Background—The study sought to determine dynamic changes and theoretical bases of a clinical diagnostic test, the tear clearance rate.

Methods—Thirty-four healthy subjects ranging in age from 22 to 84 years underwent examination of tear clearance rate, the Schirmer test with anaesthesia, as well as fluorophotometric measurement of tear turnover, tear volume, and tear flow. By applying 0.5% fluorescein into the conjunctival sac and subsequently measuring colour fades on a Schirmer strip, the tear clearance rate for assessing tear drainage was divided into nine grades. The results of the tear clearance rate were compared with those of the basal tear turnover and tear flow obtained from fluorophotometry.

Results—Significant relations were found between the tear clearance rate and the basal tear turnover or tear flow (r=0.91 and 0.79, respectively, p=0.0001). Considering the grades of progression from low to high, each grade of tear clearance rate showed a 12-5% increase in basal tear turnover (3.59%/min) and tear flow (0.38 μl/min). There was no significant correlation between age and the basal tear turnover, tear volume, tear flow, or the tear clearance rate.

Conclusion—The tear clearance rate is proposed as a simple and useful way to estimate basal tear turnover and tear flow, and measure tear drainage indirectly.

(Br J Ophthalmol 1995; 79: 1042-1045)

The Schirmer test for assessing a hyposcretion of tears is well known clinically despite its lack of precision and stability. Other techniques for estimating tear flow are based on the introduction of dye into the conjunctival sac with a subsequent determination of the rate at which the colour fades in the fluid. The tear clearance rate has been combined with the Schirmer test with anaesthesia to evaluate decreased tear production, and is used mainly in estimating tear drainage. However, it is not clear whether this method can show dynamic changes in the tear flow. Following a decay of fluorescein concentration in tears, tear turnover can be determined fluorophotometrically, which provides an indirect quantitative assessment of tear production. Tear turnover is the percentage decrease per minute of fluorescein concentration in tears after the instillation of fluorescein. Fluorophotometric measurements given without topical anaesthesia have shown two phases of tear production, an initial fast phase caused by reflex lacrimation and a subsequent slow phase caused by physiological or basal lacrimation. In order to standardise the tear clearance rate and identify its value in assessing tear drainage, we measured tear flow without anaesthesia using an objective fluorophotometer, and compared the results with those of the tear clearance rate.

Participants and methods

Subjects

The study group comprised 34 healthy individuals (mean age 58:4 (SD 14:7) years, ranging from 22 to 84 years). Tear turnover was determined in 55 eyes of the subjects. They were examined by slit-lamp microscope without fluorescein to ensure there were no corneal epithelial lesions. Subjects who wore contact lenses, had ocular abnormalities, or systemic diseases related to tear secretion were excluded. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects before the study.

Instrumentation

Fluorophotometric measurements were carried out with an FM-500 laser flare meter (Kowa Company Ltd, Japan), consisting of a slit-lamp and a photometric microscope. Light from the fluorescein solution passes through green barrier filters to a photomultiplier whose output can be read on a computerised display. The instrument was adjusted to scan linearly at a 30° angle between the optical axis of the eye and the excitation beam, and at a 60° angle between the optical axis of the eye and the photometric microscope. The tear film meniscus was measured at the centre of the lower lid margin.

Measurement procedure

Autofluorescence of the tear film meniscus was obtained from each eye before fluorophotometry. A 1 μl drop of 0-005% sodium fluorescein (50 μg/ml) was then instilled into the upper lateral part of the bulbar conjunctiva without touching the eye. The subject was asked to blink with no squeezing so as to homogenise the fluorescein over the tear film. The eye was scanned in a dark room by fluorophotometer every minute for 5 minutes, and subsequently every 1-5 minutes for 12 minutes. The Schirmer test with anaesthesia and the tear clearance rate were administered 1 hour after the fluorophotometric measurement. A 10 μl drop of 0-5% fluorescein and 0-4% oxybuprocaaine hydrochloride was instilled into the
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**Schirmer & Clearance Test**

Figure 1  Standard colour plate of the tear clearance rate.

Conjunctival sac. Subjects were asked to open their eyes for 5 minutes. Standard Schirmer strips were inserted with both eyes closed for another 5 minutes. The length of the wet portion was measured and the intensity of the staining was compared with a standard colour plate for the Schirmer test with anaesthesia or the tear clearance rate.\(^3\) The latter was determined by the rate at which colour of the 0.5% fluorescein faded, and graded as 1, 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/16, 1/32, 1/64, 1/128, or 1/256 (Fig 1).

**DATA PROCESSING**

Fluorescein values were corrected for auto-fluorescence so as to get relative fluorescein concentration in tears. The fluorescein concentration equivalent (FCE (ng/ml)) is obtained from values of the photon count (per ms).

\[
\text{FCE}=2.42 \times \text{photon count} \quad (1)
\]

Basal tear turnover was determined from values of the fluorescein concentration measured 5 minutes after instillation. The fluorescein concentration in the first 5 minutes was influenced by reflex lacrimation and determined as initial tear turnover (Fig 2). Tear turnover is a decay of fluorescein concentration in terms of a single exponential. The fluorescein concentration in tear film, \(C_t (\text{ng/ml})\), at time \(t\) is given by\(^4\):

\[
C_t (t)=C_t (0)e^{-bt} \quad (2)
\]

\(b\), a decay constant of the tear fluorescence per minute, can be calculated from the curve of the fluorescence decay by exponential regression to the data points. Tear turnover, \(T_t (\% \text{/min})\), at each minute (t1) after the instillation of fluorescein, is expressed as a decreasing percentage of fluorescein concentration in tears:\(^5\):

\[
T_t (t1)=100 \left(1-e^{-bt}\right) \quad (3)
\]

Tear volume, \(V_t (\mu l)\), is determined from drop volume, \(V_d (\mu l)\), fluorescein concentration in the drop, \(C_d (\text{ng/ml})\), and fluorescein concentration at time \(t\), \(C_t (\text{ng/ml})\):

\[
V_t=V_d C_t /C_d \quad (4)
\]

This equation is valid only when initial fluorescein concentration in tears is mixed well without any loss. The value of \(C_d\) is determined from the intercept of exponential regression at time 0 (Fig 2). Tear flow, \(F_t (\mu l/min)\), under physiological conditions is estimated from basal tear turnover, \(T_t (% \text{/min})\), and tear volume, \(V_t (\mu l)\):

\[
F_t=T_t \times V_t/100 \quad (5)
\]

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

STAT VIEW software (1988 Abacus Concepts, Inc) was used for the determination of Student’s \(t\) test, correlation, and regression. A level of \(p<0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The basal tear turnover in healthy subjects with a mean age of 58.4 (SD 14.7) years was 10.7 (SD 8.0) %/min, and the tear volume 9.7 (SD 4.2) \(\mu l\). Thus, the tear flow obtained from the basal tear turnover and tear volume was 1.1 (SD 1.0) \(\mu l/min\). Table 1 shows the mean of the basal tear turnover, tear volume, and tear flow in each grade of the tear clearance rate. There were significant relations between the tear clearance rate and the basal tear turnover or tear flow (r=0.91 and 0.79, respectively, \(p=0.0001\), Fig 3). Each grade of the tear clearance rate showed a 12.5% increase in the basal tear turnover (3.59 %/min) and tear flow (0.38 \(\mu l/min\)) in progressing from low to high clearance rate as calculated from the linear equations \(y=3.598x -2.738\) and \(y=0.381x -0.361\), respectively (Fig 3). No significant correlation was found between the tear clearance rate and the tear volume (\(r=0.02\)).
of healthy subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tear volume (µl)</th>
<th>Tear flow (µl/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.8 (5.2)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1 (5.5)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5 (5.4)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6 (3.3)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4 (2.7)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.6 (5.3)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were also no significant relations between age and the basal tear turnover, tear volume, tear flow, or results of the tear clearance rate ($r=0.10$, $0.17$, $0.20$, and $0.16$, respectively).

Results of the Schirmer test with anaesthesia were divided into two groups according to clinical criteria for assessing tear secretion (Table 2). Although the mean value of the Schirmer test with anaesthesia in the group of $\leq 8$ (mm) was significantly lower than that in the group of $>8$ (mm, $p=0.0001$), a significant difference between their tear volumes was not observed ($p=0.34$). There were also few correlations between the values of the Schirmer test with anaesthesia and the basal tear turnover,

discussion

Tear dynamics consists of three components: tear secretion, evaporation, and drainage. Tear evaporation has been discussed elsewhere.$^{10}$ Tear secretion or tear drainage cannot be evaluated independently of the other two factors in tear dynamics. The Schirmer test is primarily applied in assessing tear production. A modified form of the test given with anaesthesia is supposed to measure basic tear secretion.$^{11}$ The tear clearance rate as an extended form of the Schirmer test with anaesthesia involves the assessment of tear drainage. It is evident that this method is of value in estimating tear turnover and tear flow.

The lacrimal secretory system involves two parts: a basic secretion and a reflex secretion. The former consists of secretion of a mucous layer, an aqueous layer, and an oil layer, mainly the secretion from accessory lacrimal glands of Kraus and Wolfing. The reflex secretion is from the main and accessory lacrimal glands. Basic tear secretion alone can produce three layers of the precorneal tear film and thus prevent a dry eye.$^{12}$ However, such secretion independent of reflex tearing was questioned. Numerous stimuli (such as psychogenic and sensory) may influence the basic tear secretion.$^{13}$ On the other hand, the existence of physiological tear flow relies on such stimuli to some extent.$^{14}$

The introduction of dye into the conjunctival sac and the determination of the rate at which the colour fades can be evaluated by both the Schirmer strip and a fluorophotometer.$^{15}$ The grade of tear clearance rate defined from 1 – the colour of undiluted 0.5% fluorescein solution, to 1/256 – no apparent staining on Schirmer strip, is based on titre changes of the fluorescein concentration in the conjunctival sac. Coincidentally, fluorophotometry for determining tear turnover and tear flow is based on fluorescence decay in the tear film. In both situations fluorescence concentration changes have two prerequisites – no obstruction of the lacrimal drainage passage and continuous production of the tear flow. Tear clearance rates in anaesthetised eyes and basal tear turnover in anaesthetised eyes are supposedly the same when assessing basic tear flow. In the present study, the grades of tear clearance rate in vivo have shown variation in the basal tear turnover or tear flow assessed by fluorophotometry. Thus, the tear clearance rate offers a simple way to determine tear drainage and/or tear production while measuring Schirmer value, and estimating tear turnover and/or tear flow when a fluorophotometer is not available.

That tear volume does not correlate with the tear clearance rate is not unreasonable since tear production may not be the only factor determining tear drainage. Other factors such as tear evaporation and tear drainage forces also interfere with tear drainage.$^{4}$ Variation in forces affecting tear drainage is reflected in

Table 2 Comparison of the Schirmer values with anaesthesia and the tear volume determined by fluorophotometry in 55 eyes of healthy subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schirmer value (mm/5 min)</th>
<th>Number of eyes</th>
<th>Mean (SD) of Schirmer values (mm/5 min)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
<th>Mean (SD) of tear volumes (µl)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$&gt;8$</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19.5 (8.3)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>9.2 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\leq 8$</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4.3 (2.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.9 (4.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical analysis from Student's t test, two tailed.
values of the Schirmer test with anaesthesia and the tear clearance rate. A high tear clearance rate may be accompanied by a low Schirmer value if the drainage forces are strong. This phenomenon was also observed in a previous study where high tear turnover rates were found in eyes having low Schirmer test results, and vice versa. In addition, tear volume assessed by fluorophotometry did not differ in two groups having a significant difference in Schirmer values, indicating that other factors, probably tear drainage, may produce an impact on Schirmer values. Thus, lack of correlation between the Schirmer value and the basal tear turnover, tear volume, or tear flow is not surprising. The basal tear turnover in healthy subjects in this study is 10.7 (SD 8.0) %/min, slightly lower than 15-16 %/min reported by Mishima and others reported previously. The average tear volume (9.7 (SD 4.2) μl) and tear flow (1.1 (SD 1.0) μl/min) are similar to those reported previously. These suggest that the measurement procedures applied in this study are relatively reliable. That tear production does not decrease with advancing age is consistent with results of the other studies.

An age dependency of tear production found in the Schirmer test was probably induced by insertion of the Schirmer strip even after topical anaesthesia. Using the non-invasive measurement of fluorophotometry, reflex tear production was not present at an appreciable level. Possible sources of error in the measurements include excessive blinking after installation of the fluorescein solution and stimulation from Schirmer strip and light. Although most subjects blinked gently so that fluorescein would be mixed well without loss, some subjects squeezed their eyes before fluorophotometric measurement and part of the fluorescein stuck to the margins of the eyelids. These (13) eyes were excluded from the calculation. In the study we intended that reflex tearing be diminished as far as possible. Although irritation was minimised after topical anaesthesia, stimulation from Schirmer strip to lid margin may not reflect basic tear secretion independent of reflex tearing. Besides, light can cause reflex tearing both in measuring fluorophotometry and tear clearance rate or the Schirmer test with anaesthesia. The present study demonstrates that tear clearance rate is of value in estimating the basal tear turnover or tear flow. With a properly functioning exit pathway, tear drainage, mainly the basal tear turnover or tear flow, can be evaluated by the tear clearance rate.
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