Iridoschisis: high frequency ultrasound imaging. Evidence for a genetic defect?
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Abstract

Aims—To elucidate changes in the anatomy of the anterior chamber associated with iridoschisis, a rare form of iris atrophy, and their potential contribution to angle closure glaucoma.

Methods—Both eyes of a 71-year-old woman with bilateral iridoschisis and fibrous dysplasia and her asymptomatic 50-year-old daughter were scanned with a very high frequency (50 MHz) ultrasound system.

Results—The symptomatic patient exhibited diffuse changes in the iris stroma with an intact posterior iris pigmented layer in both eyes. These changes were clinically compatible with the lack of iris transillumination defects. Additionally, iris bowing with a resultant narrowing of the angle occurred. The asymptomatic daughter showed discrete, but less severe iris stromal changes.

Conclusion—This is the first detailed study of high frequency ultrasonic imaging of the iris in iridoschisis. The observed structural changes suggest angle narrowing by forward bowing of the anterior iris stroma may be a mechanism of IOP elevation in this condition. The ultrasonic detection of iris changes in the asymptomatic daughter of the symptomatic patient and the association of iridoschisis with fibrous dysplasia suggest a possible genetic component in the pathogenesis of this condition.


Iridoschisis is a rare condition with approximately 100 cases being reported in the literature to date. An association of iridoschisis with angle closure glaucoma has been established.1 Also an association with interstitial keratitis has been suggested.2

We describe a case of iridoschisis associated with fibrous dysplasia and nanophthalmos. In an attempt to elucidate the relation of glaucoma and iridoschisis, high frequency ultrasound (HFU) images of the patient's irides were obtained. This is the first detailed report of HFU imaging of the iris in iridoschisis (a single HFU image of the iris in iridoschisis appears in a textbook).3 In addition, HFU imaging of the patient's daughter was also obtained to determine whether subclinical changes were present, thus implicating possible genetic factors in the pathogenesis of this rare condition.

Methods

Both patients received a complete ophthalmic examination including refraction, slit-lamp examination, gonioscopy, and dilated fundus examination.

The patients were scanned with a high frequency ultrasound system of our own design.4 The system utilised a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) transducer with a nominal centre frequency of 50 MHz. The transducer was mounted onto a motion control assembly consisting of two stepper motors (10 μm step size) aligned at right angles to each other. Scan sequences were performed under computer control. Each sequence consisted of a series of parallel, rectilinear scans in either sagittal or transverse planes focusing on the iris and angle structures at various clock hours. Echo data were digitised at a sample rate of 200 MHz and stored on hard disk. The number of scans per sequence, the interval between scan planes and the lateral width of each scan were all programmable.

Scanning was performed using an immersion technique. The suprane patient received one drop of proxymetacaine (proparacaine) hydrochloride 0.5% and the lids were retracted with a Barraquer lid speculum. A plastic drape with a central aperture and an adhesive backing was then attached to the skin in the periorbital area to form a watertight seal. Sterile normal saline was then added to form a water bath approximately 2 cm in depth above the globe. The transducer (focal length 12 mm) was then lowered into the water bath and aligned so as to place the area of interest (the iris) in the focal plane. The use of a water bath allowed non-contact ultrasonic examination. A fixation light was used to help direct and maintain steady gaze during scanning. Each scan sequence consisted of 16 slices 8 mm in depth by 10 mm in width with an interscan interval of 0.25 mm.

Results

FG is a 71-year-old Hispanic female with a history of excision of fibrous dysplasia of the left frontal bone and superior orbital decompression in 1979. The patient was first seen in 1969 after being referred by an optometrist for
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On 21 November 1994, her best corrected visual acuity was 20/25 in the right eye and 20/40 in the left with +1.75 D and +0.75 D in right and left eyes respectively. Slit-lamp examination was remarkable for changes in the irides of both eyes (Fig 1). The irides showed areas of anterior stromal rarefaction with a ‘shredded wheat’ appearance. The iris strands were attached to the peripheral irisa and pupillary margin but not in the mid-peripheral region. A few loose iris stromal fibres were noted floating in the anterior chamber. All quadrants were affected, with changes being more pronounced inferiorly and in the left eye. The pupils were both reactive and round. An area of touch between iris stromal strands and the corneal endothelium was present inferiorly in the right eye; however, the cornea was clear.

On gonioscopy, angle structures were visible only with eccentric positioning of the Zeiss lens (steep approach). In the right eye, the angle was graded (+2) × 270° and slit × 90° temporally. In the left eye, the angle was graded as slit × 180° inferiorly and superiorly and (+1) × 180° nasally and temporally. Indentation gonioscopy revealed angle structures to the ciliary body (+4) × 360° in the right eye and to the scleral spur (+3) × 360° in the left. Both eyes were nanopthalmic with corneal diameters of 9.5 mm and axial lengths on A-scan of 21.77 mm (right eye) and 22.14 mm (left eye). IOP was recorded at 23 and 26 mm Hg in the right and left eye respectively. In the left eye, a hyaloid remnant was noted arising from the disc. Optic cup to disc ratios were 0.5 and 0.8 right and left eye, respectively. Goldmann perimetry revealed full fields in both eyes although the Humphrey hemifield in the left eye was found to be borderline glaucomatous. No stigmata of congenital syphilis were noted.

The patient underwent successful laser iridectomy in the left eye without complications. After laser iridectomy, IOPs were initially 19 mm Hg right eye and 15 mm Hg left eye (day 1). Angle structures became visible in the treated eye. Gonioscopy revealed angle structures graded (+2) × 180° nasally and inferiorly and (+1) × 180° superiorly and temporally. Identication gonioscopy revealed angle structures to (+4) × 180° inferiorly and nasally and to (+3) × 180° superiorly and temporally. However, 1 month after laser iridectomy, IOP was recorded to be 23 mm Hg in the left eye with essentially unchanged gonioscopy findings.

One month after laser iridectomy, HFU imaging was obtained in an effort to understand the mechanism of elevation of IOP. HFU images demonstrated the absence of central iris stroma in both eyes (Figs 2–4). The amount of stromal rarefaction was unequal in various areas of the iris (Fig 2), being greatest inferiorly in the left eye, as expected from clinical examination (Figs 2 and 3). The posterior pigment epithelium of the iris was intact (Figs 2–4), in sharp contrast with the overlying stroma. The anterior iris strands were visualised to extend from the iris periphery to the sphincter although not adhering to the underlying stroma (Figs 2–4). Deep iris crypts extending to the posterior pigment epithelium were seen in many areas (Figs 2 and 3).

The area of iridocorneal touch in the left eye is visualised in Figure 2. As can be observed in
Figures 2–4, the angle was narrow; however, in certain areas it definitely remained open in both eyes. The posterior pigment epithelium was noted to have a slight anterior bowing in all quadrants in both eyes; this is slightly more pronounced in the right eye (Fig 4). In the left eye the posterior pigment epithelium seems to be more flaccid, draping over the anterior lens surface (Figs 2 and 3).

AH is the 50-year-old daughter of FG. After her mother’s diagnosis of angle closure glaucoma and iridoschisis she was called in for full ophthalmic evaluation. Horizontal corneal diameters were 10 mm in both eyes. Angles were graded (+2) × 270° and (+4)× 90° in both eyes opening to (+4)× 360° with pressure. IOP was 21 mm Hg in both eyes. Cup to disc ratio was 0.3 in both eyes. The irides of the daughter did not show iridoschisis; however, prominent radial ridges of the irises were seen. HFU imaging revealed normal posterior pigment epithelium corroborating the lack of transillumination defects on clinical examination (Fig 5). The iris stroma showed some rarefaction (Fig 5) compared with the appearance of a normal iris. Deep crypts extending to the posterior pigmented layer were observed (Fig 5).

Discussion
Iridoschisis has been associated with angle closure glaucoma. However, although angle closure glaucoma is relatively common, iridoschisis is rare. In addition, of the cases reported to date only approximately 50% had angle closure glaucoma. It has been proposed that avascular necrosis of the iris stroma in patients with acutely elevated IOP may lead to iridoschisis. Such a view is supported by evidence of hyalinisation of the anterior stromal vessels and dissolution of the vessels in the deep stromal layers near the dilator muscle. However, others argue that the stromal changes are unlikely to be related to ischaemia. Iris fluorescein angiography has shown that only radial stromal vessels of various calibres are filled, but not the connecting vessels or capillaries. In contrast, in essential iris atrophy with hole formation, the holes were...
surrounded by ischaemic zones with leaking vessels at the periphery of the ischaemic areas. Also, no significant vascular abnormalities were detected in iridoschisis by the above authors. In our symptomatic patient, iridoschisis was associated with angle closure glaucoma, although it is difficult to determine which of the two entities preceded the other. The patient was referred for evaluation of ocular hypertension approximately 25 years before she was noted to have the typical changes of iridoschisis. No consistent elevation of IOP, however, was substantiated until recently.

The clinical course of our patient supports the view that IOP elevation is not due to pupillary block. If that was the case IOP would be expected to be normal in the presence of a patent iridectomy. In the past it has been proposed that IOP elevation in iridoschisis is caused by narrowing of the angle from forward bowing of the iris or obstruction of the trabecular meshwork by pigment and debris. Our case would agree with the first of the above: the eye with the most extensive iridoschisis and narrower angle had the higher IOP. Angles narrowed in all quadrants in both eyes on HFU imaging (Figs 2–4) although certain areas remained open. It appeared that the anterior iris stroma was bowed forward in excess of the posterior pigment epithelium, effectively obstructing the angle. The partial bowing of the posterior pigment epithelium might be attributed to loss of the structural integrity of the iris. The more flaccid appearance of this layer in the eye that had undergone laser iridotomy supports this view. These observations suggest that the elevation of IOP in this case is due to narrowing of the angle by the bowed anterior leaflet of the iris, although clogging of the trabecular meshwork with debris cannot be excluded as a secondary mechanism.

Our patient was bilaterally nanophthalmic with iridoschisis being more severe in the smaller eye. A hyaloid remnant was also present in this eye. A similar association of iridoschisis with microphthalmos has been reported.

The patient described above also suffered from fibrous dysplasia involving the frontal bone. Although these two rare conditions (iridoschisis and fibrous dysplasia) can occur together by mere chance, it is also possible that they arise because of a common underlying defect in mesodermal maturation as has previously been suggested for both of them. In the past, other developmental abnormalities have been associated with iridoschisis. This has prompted some to propose that hereditary factors might be involved in the pathogenesis of iridoschisis. Recently, a case of a child with iridoschisis, microphthalmia, and other congenital abnormalities has been reported with an Xp deletion (distal to DXS16 and proximal to DXS143). Also, other reported cases of iridoschisis in patients with polyplody seem to involve the X chromosome. HFU imaging of the iris in both the mother and daughter reported here supports the possibility of a role for a genetic factor in the pathogenesis of iridoschisis. Although the daughter (21 years younger) did not have clinical evidence of iridoschisis, HFU imaging shows early changes of the iris stroma suggestive of iridoschisis. It remains to be seen if she will develop clinically evident iridoschisis in the future. A similar case of both mother and daughter affected by iridoschisis has been reported in the past.

What seems most confusing about iridoschisis is that although a degenerative process could explain many of the reported cases, others cannot be explained on this basis. It seems that part of the problem arises from overlapping clinical pictures of iridoschisis with other conditions. These include essential iris atrophy and glaucomatous atrophy for the older population, and mesodermal dysgenesis syndromes and congenital hypoplasia of the iris stroma for the younger patients. It is, however, conceivable that iridoschisis might represent a common clinical picture of more than one clinical entity. Thus, it is possible that a defect in mesodermal maturation is causative for some of the cases of iridoschisis (especially the ones appearing at a younger age), while other factors like trauma, IOP spikes, or ischaemia might be responsible for some of the senile cases. It is equally possible that a rare genetic defect predisposes certain patients to develop a particular form of iris atrophy when subjected to various exogenous or endogenous insults.

**Conclusion**

In this report we document anatomical changes in the iris of a symptomatic mother having iridoschisis and her asymptomatic daughter. These changes consisted of stromal rarefaction, forward bowing of the anterior layers of the iris, and an intact posterior pigmented layer in the mother and stromal changes in the daughter. The above observations suggest that the forward bowing of the anterior stromal tissue may cause angle narrowing with resultant elevation of IOP. In addition, the less extensive changes observed in the daughter hint at the presence of a genetic component in the aetiology of this condition.
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