The economic burden of global blindness: a price too high!

The World Health Organisation defines blindness as a best corrected visual acuity of less than 3/60.1 Using this definition it is estimated that there are currently 37-9 million blind people (1-4 million children 0–15 years of age, and 36-5 million adults 15 years of age or greater) worldwide.2 Of this figure roughly 75% of all blind people live in the developing countries of Asia (21-4 million) and Africa (7-1 million), typically in rural areas with few or drastically underused eye care facilities.2 3 At least 50% to 70% of all cases of childhood blindness and 75% of adult blindness are either preventable or curable with currently available medical or surgical technology.3 Moreover, blindness prevention and treatment strategies are among the most cost effective of all known medical interventions.4

In economic terms, the cost of blindness depends not only on the cause and duration of the blinding disease, but also on the availability of family or alternative sources of economic assistance for blind individuals. Not surprisingly, therefore, children blinded during childhood or at birth incur a higher economic cost to their family members and society over their lifetime than adults blinded in later life. By the same token, the cost of blindness also depends upon how many blind people are economically productive, as well as on how many would enter economic activity if they were able to do so. While these variables are difficult to fully quantify on a global scale, a proxy measure of the cost of blindness may be had by estimating the loss of per capita gross national product (GNP) as a result of the loss of income from blind people over an individual's working life in each of the main global economic divisions. The guiding assumption of this approach is that blind people do not contribute to GNP when they are blind. While this is obviously not the case, exactly what proportion of per capita GNP may be attributed to blind people has not yet been reliably determined.

Table 1 summarises the population size, average GNP per capita, prevalence of blindness, number of blind, total working years lost due to blindness, and the loss of per capita and total GNP due to blindness for each of the main economic divisions. In calculating the per capita GNP lost due to blindness it is assumed that GNP would otherwise have grown by a rate of 3% per annum and that there were no new cases of blindness— that is, a best case scenario! It is further assumed that the total number of working years lost as a result of adult blindness ranges from 0–10 years, while for childhood blindness the figure ranges from 0–50 years. Lastly, it is assumed that 75% of adult blindness and 50% of childhood blindness is either curable or preventable.

The most striking feature of Table 1 is that the total GNP lost as a result of both childhood and adult blindness combined ranges from a low of US$ 167 518 million to a high of US$ 243 938 million. The total GNP of the UK, by contrast, is roughly US$ 1 045 674 million.5 Viewed in other terms the total cost of global blindness is equal to roughly a sixth to a quarter of UK GNP. Clearly, the costs of blindness are unacceptably high, not only for blind people, but for society as a whole.

What can be done to reduce these costs? No single, or simple, solution exists. However, it is possible to organise the financial and personnel resources, both public and private, which are now available to combat blindness in far more effective ways. Sophisticated tools being developed in the emerging fields of 'ophthalmic services research' provide significant guidance in this context.

Broadly defined, ophthalmic services research is an integrated discipline rooted in ophthalmology, epidemiology, economics and operations research' ... which ... attempts to use both clinical and non-clinical methods to ensure that the delivery of eye care services, be these surgical, or medical in a given region, are maximised to the fullest possible extent.6

---

Table 1 The cost of global blindness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global economic division (a)</th>
<th>Population (millions) 1993 (a)</th>
<th>GNP per capita (US$) 1993 (a)</th>
<th>Prevalence of blindness (%)</th>
<th>Number of blind people (millions)</th>
<th>Total working years lost due to blindness (c)</th>
<th>GNP per capita lost due to blindness (growth rate=3%) US$ 1993 (d)</th>
<th>Total GNP lost due to blindness (growth rate=3%) US$ millions 1993 (e)</th>
<th>Total GNP lost due preventable or curable blindness (growth rate=3%) US$ millions 1993 (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low income economies</td>
<td>3092</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Adults 24-5</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>380–511</td>
<td>9310–12 520</td>
<td>6983–9375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Children 0–9</td>
<td>0–50</td>
<td>380–1666</td>
<td>342–1499</td>
<td>171–750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle income economies</td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>2480</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Adults 9–5</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>2480–3333</td>
<td>23 560–31 664</td>
<td>17 670–23 748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Children 0–3</td>
<td>0–50</td>
<td>2480–10 872</td>
<td>744–3 262</td>
<td>372–1 631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High income economies</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>23 090</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Adults 2–5</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>23 090–31 031</td>
<td>57 725–77 578</td>
<td>43 294–58 184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Children 0–2</td>
<td>0–50</td>
<td>23 090–1 0122</td>
<td>4618–20 245</td>
<td>2309–10 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World total</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>4420</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Adults 36–5</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>4420–59 400</td>
<td>161 330–216 810</td>
<td>120 998–162 608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Children 1–4</td>
<td>0–50</td>
<td>4420–19 377</td>
<td>6188–27 128</td>
<td>3094–13 564</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Data taken from the World Development Report 1995.5
+Data taken from Thylefors et al.2
This represents an average number of working years lost due to blindness for all causes and the actual number of years may be lower.
*Given by GNP per capita×(1–0.03)<sup>10</sup> for adult blindness and GNP per capita×(1–0.03)<sup>15</sup> for childhood blindness.
*Given by GNP per capita×(1–0.03)<sup>10</sup>×number of blind adults and GNP per capita×(1–0.03)<sup>15</sup>×number of blind children.
*This column is obtained by multiplying column (d) by 0.75 the total cost of adult blindness and by 0.5 the total cost of childhood blindness.
Advances in data collection, organisation, and distribution have made it possible to significantly reduce duplication of services and to reallocate resources to areas or specific activities where these may be of the greatest economic and social benefit.

In a parallel fashion, sequencing allocation and routing algorithms, queuing models and decision analyses have been developed to a high standard in recent years by operations researchers. The results of their work are now being applied to significant competitive advantage by the management of firms, both large and (with the ever increasing use of personal computers), small worldwide. 'Just in time inventory' (JIT-I) delivery systems, now common, have resulted in huge cost savings and higher per unit profits for many retail and manufacturing firms in recent years. This is one simple example of the practical application of operations research techniques in the commercial world.

If the private sector can, so rapidly, take advantage of the fruits of this research why can't the public and 'para-public' sectors follow its lead? No doubt they can! Moreover, if the direct and indirect costs of global blindness are to be significantly reduced, they must! It is feasible today that a system similar to the JIT-I models of the commercial world could be developed so as to better allocate scarce ophthalmic resources to those areas where these might meet the greatest need at the lowest per unit cost in the most effective way possible. This presents a tremendous challenge. But tools to do the job are at hand. These simply need to be applied.
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