Effects of allopurinol and steroids on inflammation and oxidative tissue damage in experimental lens induced uveitis: a biochemical and morphological study


Abstract

Aims—To evaluate the effects of allopurinol in lens induced uveitis (LIU) by morphological methods and to compare these effects with those of steroids and a combination of both drugs biochemically and morphologically.

Methods—Lipid peroxides (LPO) of the retinal tissue were determined by two different methods (thiobarbituric acid assay (TBA) and high performance liquid chromatography expressed as malondialdehyde-like substances). Myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity in the iris/ciliary body complex was analysed spectrophotometrically. Histological changes on three morphological levels of LIU eyes were evaluated.

Results—Both allopurinol and the combination of allopurinol/prednisolone led to a significant reduction in the increased retinal LPO values. Prednisolone only revealed significant effects on retinal LPO when being measured with the TBA method. MPO activity in iris and ciliary body was significantly reduced in all therapy groups. The morphological evaluation of the sections by two masked investigators revealed a significant reduction (p<0.05) in the inflammation score in all therapy groups. Morphometric studies using the QUANTMEDIATE system (Leica, Cambridge) showed significantly reduced values (p<0.05) in the allopurinol group and in the group receiving prednisolone and allopurinol. Prednisolone alone did not lead to a significant reduction in the values.

Conclusions—The findings show that both allopurinol and steroids exert positive effects on the variables determined in LIU. The effects of steroids are believed to be mostly due to their direct action on inflammatory cells. The recently reported scavenging effects of methylprednisolone should play a minor role in this disease model. Allopurinol and oxypurinol act as direct scavengers of free radicals and hypochlorous acid, which is produced via MPO catalysis, thus leading to a reduction in tissue inflammation and tissue damage.

Materials and methods

Animal experiments

The treatment of animals conformed to the ARVO resolution on the use of animals in research. The experiments were performed with male Wistar rats (n=37). The animals were divided randomly into seven groups (two groups, five animals each for the biochemical study; five groups, five or six animals each for the slit-lamp/histological study). The values of the basic tissue levels, controls and the values of the allopurinol group (biochemical analysis only) were obtained from previous studies. These values are established laboratory standards. The animals were anaesthetised using ether and the tissue samples were taken as described below.

Experimental uveitis was induced according to Rao et al.1 The animals were sensitised every 2 weeks over a 2 month period with four subcutaneous injections of bovine lens protein 10 mg in complete Freund’s adjuvant (Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany). One week after the last injection the lens of one eye was ruptured using the tip of a 30 gauge needle. At the time of lens rupture the therapy groups were given allopurinol (50 mg/kg body weight; Henning, Berlin, Germany), methylprednisolone (7.5 mg/kg body weight; Hoechst, Frankfurt, Germany), or a combination of the two, intravenously.
BIOCHEMICAL STUDY

The biochemical values of the basic tissue levels, the control group, and the therapy group receiving allopurinol at a dose of 50 mg/kg body weight were obtained from previous studies. Twenty-four hours after lens rupture the animals were anaesthetised. Iris, ciliary body, and neurosensory retina were surgically removed using microforceps and an operating microscope. Thereafter, biochemical analysis was performed. All animals were killed afterwards by exsanguination. Determination of lipid peroxide (LPO) levels in retinal tissue samples, expressed as ‘malondialdehyde-like substances’ (MDA), was accomplished by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) according to the method of Estebaner et al. Determination of LPO with the thiobarbituric acid (TBA) assay, expressed as TBA reactive substances (TBARS), was performed according to Ohkawa et al., as modified by Augustin and Lutz.

Both methods (MDA, TBARS) were compared and a correlation was calculated.

Malondialdehyde-like substances (MDA)

HPLC was performed using an instrument by Bio-Rad (Munich, Germany). The retina sample was suspended in acetonitrile, homogenised using an Ultra Turrax blender (Janke & Kunkel, Staufen, Germany) and centrifuged at 3000 g for 5 minutes.

Clear supernatant 20 μl was injected into the HPLC apparatus. The following columns were used for direct determination of MDA: (a) 250×4.5 mm S5 NH2 spherisorb (aminophase); (b) precolumn 50×4.6 mm (aminophase) (Promochem, Wesel, Germany). The upper pressure limit of the high pressure pump was set at 5000 psi. An injection valve with a 20 μl loop and a 50 μl syringe were used. The ultraviolet detector was set at 270 nm. Values were calculated automatically with the aid of a computer and correlated with the protein content of the retinal sample, water soluble protein/ml. MDA values of the retina samples are expressed as means of MDA/mg water soluble protein (SEM). MDA values of the aqueous humour are expressed as means/ml.

Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS)

Tissue samples were homogenised in 0.9 ml 1.15 % KCl for 30 seconds with an Ultra Turrax blender and centrifuged at 3000 g for 5 minutes. The assay mixture consisted of 0.1 ml of the supernatant, 2 ml of 0.9%-NaCl, 0.2 ml of sodium dodecyl sulphate, and 3 ml of TBA, containing equal parts of 0.8%-aqueous TBA and acetic acid. TBARS values are reported as means of TBARS/mg water soluble protein (SEM).

Myeloperoxidase (MPO)

MPO activity in the iris and ciliary body was determined using the method of Bradley et al. To free MPO from primary granules of neutrophilic leucocytes, specimens were homogenised with an Ultra Turrax blender for 5 seconds in 3 ml of hexadecyl trimethylammonium bromide (HTBA) solution (0.5% HTBA in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.0). The homogenate was sonicated for 10 seconds, freeze thawed three times, and centrifuged at 40 000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C, resulting in the formation of a stable pellet. The supernatant was assayed for MPO activity by spectrophotometry using a mixture of 0.1 ml of supernatant and 2.9 ml of 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.0, containing 0.167 mg/ml of o-dianisidine hydrochloride and 0.005% hydrogen peroxide. The change in light absorbance at 460 nm was measured with a spectrophotometer. MPO values are expressed as U/mg water soluble protein (SEM).

HISTOLOGICAL STUDY

For the histological study the animals were deeply anaesthetised using ether. The lateral
aspects of the globes were marked by suture. Immediately thereafter a non-traumatic enucleation was performed and the animals were killed by exsanguination. The eyes were fixed by immersion in cold Karnovsky’s fixative (4% paraformaldehyde, 2.5% glutaraldehyde buffered in 0.1 M Na2HPO4, and 0.1 M KH2PO4).10 Numbers were randomly assigned to the enucleated globes by a masked technician. Then the globes were divided in the horizontal plane. One half of the specimen was embedded in paraffin. Sections of 4 μm were cut on a sliding microtome. In total, three levels (at the distance of 20 and 10 serial section, respectively) were prepared. The sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin.

Two independent, masked investigators (DM, WS) examined the numbered sections using a 1+ to 4+ score, depending on the degree of intraocular inflammation, which was morphologically seen as an accumulation of lymphocytes and polymorphonuclear granulocytes. The evaluation was done separately for iris and ciliary body tissue in the described manner. Thereafter, the histological numbers were reassigned to the original therapeutic groups.

In an independent, parallel setting a total area of the cell nucleus within a standardised region of the iris and the ciliary body of each level was calculated in a standardised manner using a modified QUANTIMED 500C imaging analysis system (Leica, Cambridge) connected to an Olympus light microscope (IMT-2, Olympus Optical Co (Europa) GmbH, Hamburg, Germany).

With the investigator scores as well as with the QUANTIMED scores a combined uveitis score was calculated from the average of the iritis and the cyclitis scores in each sample.

BIOMICROSCOPY
Before enucleation, both eyes of each animal were inspected with a slit-lamp microscope (Zeiss photo slit-lamp microscope) and exemplary cases were photographed on Agfachrome RS 100 colour slide film. For this inspection, dilatation of the pupils was not attempted, because inflammation induced miosis was present in all LIU eyes. All optical examinations were performed in a dark room.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Biochemical analysis
Statistical analysis of MDA/TBARS values in retinal tissue samples and MPO values was performed by Student’s t test. Normal distribution was assumed.

Tissue evaluation by scoring and by the QUANTIMED system
For each type of tissue investigated (iris and ciliary body), a separate statistical analysis of the scores was performed. The average of the histological iritis score and the cyclitis score was calculated as the combined uveitis score. This calculation was applied both to the quantitative results of the QUANTIMED system and to the scores determined by the two masked investigators. To determine the

| Table 1 Scoring and QUANTIMED evaluation of cyclitis, iritis, and calculated uveitis values (means (SD)). The therapy values are compared with untreated controls |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | Cyclitis         | Iritis           | Uveitis          | Cyclitis         | Iritis           | Uveitis          |
| Control          | 2.97 (0.74)      | 3.11 (0.84)      | 3.04 (0.77)      | 1.75 (0.80)*     | 2.02 (0.80)*     | 1.89 (0.66)*     |
| QUANTIMED        | 644 (128)        | 444 (190)        | 544 (151)        | 461 (173)        | 208 (77)*        | 354 (117)*       |
| Methylprednisolone| 1.83 (0.50)*     | 1.88 (0.58)*     | 1.46 (0.50)*     | 626 (35)         | 258 (78)         | 442 (62)         |
|                   | (NS)             | (NS)             | (NS)             | (NS)             | (NS)             | (NS)             |
| Combination      | 1.56 (0.39)*     | 1.79 (0.45)*     | 1.67 (0.4)*      | 435 (180)        | 175 (87)*        | 314 (100)*       |

*p<0.05, NS=not significant.
obtained with the TBA method were compared with each other.

A combination of the two drugs resulted in a significant reduction in the LPO values (Fig 1). The correlation of the two methods was 0.897.

Iris and ciliary body showed a significant increase in myeloperoxidase activity (p<0.05) as compared with base values (values obtained from Augustin et al1). A significant reduction in MPO (p<0.05) was achieved using allopurinol (values obtained from Augustin et al1), prednisolone, and a combination of the two drugs. The combination of allopurinol and prednisolone did not produce any additional significant changes compared with what were obtained by the single administration of each substance (Fig 2).

MORPHOLOGICAL STUDY (TABLE 1)

Scoring by two investigators
In all therapy groups the tissue inflammation (uveitis) was significantly reduced as compared with untreated controls (p<0.05).

QUANTIMED evaluation
The tissue inflammation (uveitis) evaluated by the QUANTIMED system revealed significantly reduced values (p<0.05) in the allopurinol group and the group receiving steroid and allopurinol as compared with untreated controls. In the present study, the steroid alone did not lead to a significant reduction in the values (p=0.18). The therapy groups (allopurinol, prednisolone, and allopurinol/ prednisolone) did not differ significantly with respect to the uveitis, iritis, or cyclitis scores.

Figures 3 to 6 show representative slit-lamp photographs of the uveitis eye (A) and the corresponding microphotograph of a histological section (B) of each study group. In the control group anterior chamber structures were barely seen, because of an extensive flare (Fig 3A). On histology the flare correlated with a massive proteinaceous exudate that is rich in inflammatory cells derived from grossly inflamed anterior chamber structures (Fig 3B).

In contrast, in the methylprednisolone group the slit-lamp view of the iris structure was much better (Fig 4A) and the histology showed a moderate, mainly polymorphonuclear, inflammatory infiltration of the iris and the ciliary body and a congestion of the limbal vessels. In the vast majority of the allopurinol treated animals the anterior chamber was clinically clear (Fig 5A). This observation was confirmed by microscopy. Here, only minor sequelae of the severe Arthus reaction, as shown in the control group, could be seen (Fig 5B).

Clinical and light microscopic changes in the allopurinol/ methylprednisolone group were, to a certain extent, comparable with those seen in the allopurinol treated animals (Figs 6A and 6B).

Discussion
The morphological results confirm previously
reported biochemical data regarding the beneficial effects of allopurinol on the inflammatory processes in LIU. The effects of allopurinol at a dose of 50 mg/kg body weight were comparable with those of steroids when given at a dose of 7-5 mg/kg body weight using the scoring evaluation. A combination of the two drugs did not show significant additive effects either biochemically or morphologically.

**BIOCHEMICAL RESULTS**

In the present study the variables of inflammation (MPO) and oxidative tissue damage (LPO) of the affected ocular structures were evaluated systematically.

Inflammation and inflammation associated reactions are believed to be the major source of free radicals leading to oxidative tissue damage following uveitis.11-14

In the present study the oxidative tissue damage was evaluated by two methods. The great advantage of the thiobarbituric acid method is its high sensitivity in detecting peroxides. However, the main disadvantage of this method is its lower specificity. Therefore, the two methods were used together and a correlation was calculated. The problem of just using the TBA method is documented in the results of the steroid group. In particular, values such as the steroid values bordering the threshold of significance may give different results with each of the two methods. One reason for this difference might be the higher sensitivity of the TBA method, which may lead to a higher variation of the values. Thus, it is conceivable that the steroid results can be regarded as a less pronounced improvement when compared with allopurinol and/or combination therapy. This fact is also documented in the morphological data, which demonstrate a significant improvement when using the score system and no significant improvement when using the QUANTIMED evaluation.

**Methylprednisolone**

Steroids influence several mechanisms leading to oxidative metabolites and reduce the accumulation of neutrophils and macrophages in the inflamed tissue.15-18 Steroids do not prevent the synthesis of antibodies. Their immunological effects are based on the reduction of the inflammatory response immunological processes and the prevention of the passage of antigen-antibody complexes through basal membranes. Apart from the reduction of the absolute number of phagocytic cells in the inflamed tissue steroids influence the arachidonic acid cascade that might be one source of oxidative metabolites in the LIU model.

However, there are additional sources of oxidative reactions in activated inflammatory cells, such as the MPO derived production of hypochlorous acid and the respiratory burst leading to powerful radicals such as the hydroxyl radical and a singlet oxygen, both being capable of tissue oxygenation.4 One might assume that the antioxidant effects of steroids are only the result of a reduction in the absolute number of inflammatory cells and the specific action on different pathways capable of producing oxidative metabolites. Another explanation for the minor effects of steroids when compared with those obtained by powerful scavengers such as allopurinol and/or oxypurinol, which are able to influence inflammatory induced free radicals and radicals from other sources, is offered by the recently reported free radical scavenging activity of methylprednisolone.2 3 Using a model of spinal cord injury this study showed that the corticosteroid methylprednisolone possesses significant antioxidant efficacy when administered in doses exceeding those given in the present study.2 3

The free radical scavenging activity of methylprednisolone is in no way related to the steroid’s glucocorticoid receptor mediated actions.2 3 Structurally similar drugs such as lazaroids are also known to be both potent scavengers of hydroxyl radical/superoxide anion radicals and inhibitors of activated phagocytic cells.19
Thus, it is conceivable that when given in doses below the scavenger dose of 30 mg/kg body weight methylprednisolone acts only on inflammation and thus only prevents the oxidative damage originating directly from the inflammation. The radicals already being generated and those originating from other sources such as iron are not influenced because the steroid dose is too low. These facts are confirmed by our results, which showed a significant reduction in MPO activity, but less pronounced effects on lipid peroxides in the retinal tissue.

The aim of this study was to compare the action of allopurinol with steroids at a dose range given in uveitis therapy. The doses necessary for scavenging activities will not be given in ophthalmology. Nevertheless, the LIU model might be suitable for a pharmacodynamic study of the scavenging effects of steroids.

**Allopurinol und oxypurinol**

In a previous study we demonstrated that allopurinol reaches the ocular tissues at levels necessary for a scavenger activity of this drug.

The scavenging effects of both allopurinol and oxypurinol in LIU were discussed in detail. In the present study we confirm the biochemical results achieved by morphological evaluation with a score system and with the QUANTI Medina evaluation. Our findings indicate the necessity for additional investigations on the effects of allopurinol and oxypurinol on inflammatory ophthalmic diseases. The topical application of allopurinol particularly shows promise as an alternative to steroids in several diseases.

The beneficial effects of these drugs on oxidative tissue damage and inflammatory response alone do not provide enough information regarding the beneficial effects on the whole disease process. Therefore, to draw conclusions about the contribution of tissue oxidation to the disease process and to evaluate the effects of the drugs investigated, it was necessary to obtain additional information, especially quantitative morphological data.

**HISTOLOGY**

The intraocular inflammation could easily be seen by light microscopy as an accumulation of polymorphonuclear granulocytes and/or lymphocytes within the anterior segment structures. The fellow eyes of the LIU eyes did not show any significant inflammatory reaction and were therefore given a score of 0. In contrast, the LIU eyes exhibited inflammatory infiltration of the iris, the ciliary body, and the limbus, as well as the presence of a proteinaceous and inflammatory cellular anterior chamber exudate, depending on the mode of treatment (Figs 3–6). Although a subjective impression cannot be expressed and to evaluate any morphological study, we attempted to minimise this influence not only by randomisation of the enucleated globes, but also by (1) masking the investigators, (2) contributing examinations at three different levels, and (3) defining strict score criteria, ranging from 0 in eyes with no inflammation to 4+ in eyes in which the above mentioned structures exhibited pronounced inflammatory alterations. Indeed, after reassignment, only the untreated eyes yielded the maximum score. The main advantage of the morphological method is that it examines the entire globe using different magnifications, delivering a comprehensive impression. It is not surprising that the treated eyes contained fewer inflammatory cells than untreated ones.

The morphometric studies using the modified image analyser QUANTI Medina were performed in an attempt to gain better objectivity of the histological data. Again, the main advantage of this system is an investigator independent calculation of the nuclear area, as defined by the basophilic colour of the nuclei on haematoxylin and eosin stained sections. However, the disadvantages of the method were as follows: (1) the calculations were carried out on a defined area of the iris and the ciliary body irrespective of the degree of the inflammatory changes. Thus, this selection did not always contain a representative area; (2) in particular, both the ciliary body and the iris...
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