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Abstract

Background—Optic neuropathy is a rare manifestation of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). In a previous series most patients with optic neuropathy in association with SLE had a final visual acuity of 20/200 or less despite corticosteroid therapy.

Methods—Three patients (five affected eyes) with severe retrobulbar optic neuropathy in association with SLE were treated promptly with intravenous cyclophosphamide and corticosteroids.

Results—All patients recovered excellent visual acuity.

Conclusion—Although optic neuropathy in association with SLE might have several different aetiologies, intravenous cyclophosphamide should be strongly considered as a therapeutic alternative.

Instructional note: The use of corticosteroids in the treatment of optic neuropathy in association with SLE has been disappointing. In reporting their experience with seven patients with optic neuropathy in association with SLE, Jabs and colleagues noted that 13 of 24 patients with SLE as described in their series and others had final visual acuities of 20/200 or worse. One of us (CMN) has recently reported a favourable clinical experience with the use of intravenous cyclophosphamide for the treatment of severe central nervous system manifestations of SLE. We now report more detailed observations with regard to the optic neuropathy in two of the patients from that original series as well as a third previously unreported patient.

Patients, methods, and results

Two patients have been reported previously by one of us (CMN), but no information with regard to visual acuity was provided. The third patient was evaluated at the uveitis clinic of the Casey Eye Institute, Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland, Oregon.

Characteristics of the patients are summarised in Table 1. All patients were female. All patients clearly satisfied the American College of Rheumatology diagnostic criteria for SLE. All patients had a strongly positive antinuclear antibody test. In all patients the diagnosis of SLE had been established before the onset of the optic neuropathy. Two of the patients had other central nervous system manifestations of systemic lupus. Each patient had what clinically appeared to be retrobulbar optic neuritis with a normal appearing optic nerve and fundus. The patients with unilateral or asymmetric disease displayed an afferent pupillary defect. Each of the patients was treated with intravenous cyclophosphamide within 2 weeks of the onset of the visual loss. Each patient regained near normal visual acuity but the

Table 1 Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age at clinical onset of SLE (years)</th>
<th>Age at onset of optic neuritis (years)</th>
<th>Initial VA</th>
<th>Length of follow up (months)</th>
<th>Final VA</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Other SLE features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>RE: NLP</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>RE: 20/25</td>
<td>Cyclophosphamide iv, prednisone orally, methylprednisolone iv</td>
<td>Arthritis, nephritis, pleuritis, alopecia, possible pneumonitis Myelopathy, peripheral neuropathy, seizures, pleuropericarditis, arthralgias Seizures, mononeuropathy multiplex, polyarthritis, proteinuria/casts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YJ</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>RE: 20/20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>RE: 20/25</td>
<td>Cyclophosphamide iv × 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>RE: LP</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>RE: 20/20</td>
<td>Cyclophosphamide iv × 2, prednisone orally</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RE = right eye; LE = left eye; NLP = no light perception; LP = light perception; HM = hand movements.
improvement in visual acuity was gradual. At follow up, optic nerve pallor was universally present even among those eyes which recovered excellent visual acuity.

The course for patient 1 is summarised in Figure 1. She had previously been treated with intravenous cyclophosphamide for lupus associated renal disease. This treatment was discontinued 1 year before the onset of optic neuritis. Her test for antinuclear antibodies was moderately positive but she had no history of thrombosis. At the onset of optic neuritis her only medication for lupus was 2 mg of prednisone per day. Intravenous cyclophosphamide was instituted at a dose of 500 mg/m² 13 days after the onset of optic neuritis. The dose of cyclophosphamide was increased by 20% monthly as tolerated to an eventual maximum of 870 mg/m². Her course was complicated by hospitalisation after the second treatment with cyclophosphamide. A pneumonitis developed along with hypotension and a subendocardial myocardial infarction. The lung disease may have been infectious or secondary to the lupus. Visual recovery was gradual with some improvement occurring immediately but with continued improvement for 1 year after the onset. Although acuity has recovered both optic nerves have pallor and subjectively colour vision and contrast sensitivity remain affected.

YJ, patient 2, while on corticosteroids and azathioprine, developed optic neuritis in her left eye. She had hand motion (HM) vision in her left eye and 20/20 vision in her right eye. One week later she developed acute transverse myelopathy and was treated with intravenous cyclophosphamide (500 mg/m²) immediately and again in 1 month. Her transverse myelopathy dramatically improved promptly and vision in the left eye improved to the counting fingers level and eventually to 20/25. Although the optic neuritis in both eyes remained stable after the initial treatment courses of cyclophosphamide, the transverse myelitis has continued to flare with cessation of therapy, and at present the patient has received a total of 28 treatments for her recurrent transverse myelopathy.

FB, patient 3, developed acute optic neuritis with blindness in the right eye. She also developed progressive mononeuropathy multiplex despite high doses of oral corticosteroids. Intravenous cyclophosphamide (500 mg/m²) was begun and after treatment for 2 months vision in the right eye improved from light perception (LP) to 20/30. The patient had a flare of mononeuropathy multiplex several years later, but this time responded to corticosteroids alone.

In addition to those patients reported here, we have evaluated four other patients with systemic lupus and optic neuritis. One patient, ML, fits well with the three described in this report. She had severe visual loss and responded markedly to intravenous cyclophosphamide. She did not return for adequate follow up such that visual acuities and the course after the first dose of cyclophosphamide are not available. KC had lost vision completely in one eye secondary to optic neuritis before our evaluation. That episode had been treated by intravenous methylprednisolone. Intravenous cyclophosphamide was instituted as a corticosteroid sparing agent several months after optic neuritis had affected the second eye. At that time visual acuity had already improved to 20/25. Three more episodes over a 2 year period affected the second eye. Intravenous cyclophosphamide appeared to be effective but visual acuity was not recorded on a frequent enough basis to document this probable benefit. The patient had moved to a town 1000 miles from our practice when the fourth attack of optic neuritis occurred. This attack was treated with oral and intravenous corticosteroids and the vision did not recover beyond light perception.

Our initial report on the use of intravenous cyclophosphamide for CNS lupus also contained two other patients with optic neuropathy. Both of these patients had stable and long standing visual loss before the initiation of cyclophosphamide therapy. Their clinical response could not be evaluated with regard to recorded visual acuity nor would we anticipate that visual loss which had been stable for some time would respond.

Discussion

Optic neuropathy is a rare but well described association with autoimmune disease including giant cell arteritis, polyarteritis nodosa, Takayasu’s arteritis, Churg–Strauss vasculitis, Behçet’s disease, systemic lupus erythematosus, and relapsing polychondritis. A prospective study of 550 patients with SLE noted retinopathy usually manifesting as cotton wool
spots in 7%. Only two patients had optic neuritis.

The complete review of optic neuritis in association with SLE was by Jabs et al in 1986. They reported improvement in four of their seven patients following treatment with corticosteroids. Their results were better than others reported in the literature which they analysed in detail. Their tabulation found that 13 of the 24 SLE patients with optic neuritis reported by that time have final visual acuities of 20/200 or worse. They felt that the common underlying pathology in SLE patients with optic neuritis (presenting as either retrobulbar neuritis or ischaemic neuritis) is vaso-occlusive disease in the small vessels of the optic nerves. Additional reports describing the association of SLE and optic neuritis have appeared since 1986. The therapeutic approach to treating optic neuritis associated with SLE has not changed in the decade since the report by Jabs and colleagues.

Our patients had unilateral or bilateral retrobulbar neuritis occurring in the setting of well established SLE. Some of these patients also had transverse myelitis. It can be difficult to distinguish active CNS lupus from multiple sclerosis (MS) in these patients. Certainly optic neuritis and transverse myelitis are more commonly found in MS patients, but have been reported in patients with SLE. There have been a few reports of the coexistence of MS with SLE as noted above, although some neurologists will not diagnose MS in the presence of a disease that can mimic it as well as SLE can. It may be, as Jabs et al postulate, that mild ischaemia causes demyelination and more severe ischaemia causes infarction. The very gradual recovery of vision in our patients with SLE is in contrast with the rapid recovery of vision characteristic of optic neuritis in association with MS.

Intravenous cyclophosphamide is effective in the treatment of lupus nephritis and is a potentially treatable form of visual loss. In the same patients with multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus, Acta Neurol Scand 1983;87:356–60.


