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Allergic eye disease is a common ocular problem. It is one part of the whole spectrum of allergic diseases which share a common initiating mechanism and a characteristic pattern of inflammation. The association between exposure to airborne plant pollens and the development of ocular and nasal symptoms in itself was noted by Charles Blackley in 1873. The classification of allergic eye diseases is based entirely on clinical features, which in the past has resulted in the use of inappropriate or unsatisfactory treatments, owing to our lack of knowledge of the underlying mechanisms. Recent work has defined such underlying pathogenic mechanisms of allergic eye disease, extending our knowledge of the cellular and mediator mechanisms involved, and enabling pathophysiological correlates to be made between the clinical patterns of disease and responses to treatment. This is now resulting in a clearer understanding of the disease processes which, in the long term, holds promise for the development of more effective treatments.

As the prevalence of allergic disease is increasing, probably through environmental factors, it is appropriate at this stage to review our current knowledge of the mechanisms of allergic eye disease.

The morbidity and mortality of asthma have increased in the past few years with no corresponding rise in the incidence of allergic eye disease. Environmental causes have been cited as responsible for this, as the rising trends have occurred too quickly for genetic causes to have exerted their effects. The increase in asthma has coincided with an increase in house dust mite levels, and is greatest in communities exposed to high allergen levels. However, there are no firm data available on which to base any change in the incidence of allergic eye disease.

The reported incidence rates for the different forms of allergic eye disease have been varied. This is because of geographical variations, the lack of any unequivocal objective diagnostic criteria, and difficulty over the diagnosis, particularly if not associated with other manifestations of atopy such as rhinitis, asthma, or eczema. Any rise in the incidence of allergic eye disease is at present unproved, because no epidemiological studies have surveyed all the subtypes together at any one time, so that there is no reference point from which to measure any time trends. Therefore, published incidences may not give a true indication of disease prevalence.

Clinical classification of allergic eye disease (see Table 1 and Fig I)

Acute allergic disease accounts for up to 2.7% of all medical consultations seen in general practice, giving an annual rate of 51 per 1000 of the practice population. The milder forms of allergic eye disease have fluctuating symptoms of itch, tearing, and swelling which may be distressing but not sight threatening. Chronic forms of the disease give rise, in addition, to more severe symptoms including pain, visual loss from corneal scarring, cataract or glaucoma, and disfiguring skin and lid changes.

The signs, symptoms, and differential diagnosis of allergic conjunctivitis have recently been comprehensively reviewed and have been classified on clinical criteria in a similar fashion by several authors (Table 1) into the following subtypes.

(1) Seasonal allergic conjunctivitis (SAC) is the commonest and one of the mildest forms of allergic conjunctivitis. It accounts for 25%–50% of all cases of ocular allergy, affects between 10% and 15% of the UK population, self limiting, and is characterised by small tarsal papillae.

(2) Perennial allergic conjunctivitis (PAC) has a documented prevalence of 0.03% of the population, although this is likely to be an underestimate because symptoms are mild and most patients are only seen by their general practitioners and not an ophthalmologist. Symptoms persist throughout the year, with seasonal variations in up to 87% of patients, particularly in the autumn months, when exposure to dust mite and fungal allergens is greatest. The clinical signs and symptoms are similar to SAC, but are milder and more persistent.

(3) Atopic keratoconjunctivitis (AKC) is a more serious form of ocular allergy, with prolonged symptoms, sight threatening changes, and atopic dermatitis. Around 3% of the population have atopic dermatitis, of which 25%–40% have some form of ocular involvement. Conjunctival scarring, papillae formation (with shrinking of the fornices), and a punctate corneal keratopathy may develop. This may progress to severe corneal epithelial disease, opacification, pannus, and superficial vascularisation. There is also an association with cataracts and keratoconus.

(4) Atopic blepharocconjunctivitis (ABC) is similar to AKC but with blepharitis and no keratopathy.

(5) Vernal catarrh or vernal keratoconjunctivitis (VKC) comprises 0.5% of allergic eye disease. Patients are usually under 14 years of age, with males predominating over females in a ratio of 2:1. The condition usually resolves spontaneously by puberty, but if it does persist, the sex distribution equalises. There is a seasonal peak in incidence in spring when exposure to tree grass pollens increases, suggesting an extrinsic element in the disease. VKC is a florid condition characterised by giant papillae found in either the upper tarsal conjunctiva or at the limbus, the former predominating in white patients and the latter in African and Mediterranean patients. Conjunctival scarring can develop. Corneal changes vary from fine punctate erosions superiority, enlarging to superficial ulcers with plaque formation leading to subepithelial scarring which, if it persists in the visual axis, can result in permanent amblyopia. Other characteristic features include Trantas' spots, composed mainly of eosinophils, and pseudogerontoxon.
Giant papillary conjunctivitis (GPC) is an iatrogenic disease associated with foreign bodies in the eye such as contact lenses, prostheses, or protruding corneal sutures, because of the predominance of mast cells. The increased T cell count is similar to that of VKC (see later), which clinically it resembles. GPC invariably resolves when the cause is removed, and keratopathy is rare. There are no published epidemiological studies of this condition.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasonal allergic conjunctivitis (SAC)</th>
<th>Perennial allergic conjunctivitis (PAC)</th>
<th>Atopic keratoconjunctivitis (AKC)</th>
<th>Vernal keratoconjunctivitis (VKC)</th>
<th>Giant papillary conjunctivitis (GPC)</th>
<th>Contact dermatitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence 25–50% of all AED 10–15% of population</td>
<td>0.03% of population</td>
<td>1.5% of population</td>
<td>0.5% of all AED</td>
<td>Under 14 M &gt; F Usually resolves by puberty After this M = F</td>
<td>Iatrogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity Mild</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Sight threatening</td>
<td>Not sight threatening Similar to AKC</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs Small tarsal papillae</td>
<td>Similar less severe Conjunctival scarring</td>
<td>Blepharitis</td>
<td>Papilla formation Similar to AKC but no keratopathy</td>
<td>Florid — giant papilla in tarsus or limbus</td>
<td>Reaction to contact lens, stitches provokes Florid giant papilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal Yes</td>
<td>All year round with seasonal variation</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(6) Giant papillary conjunctivitis (GPC) is an iatrogenic disease associated with foreign bodies in the eye such as contact lenses, prostheses, or protruding corneal sutures, all of which may precipitate and perpetuate an inflammatory reaction. It is thought to have a possible allergic mechanism.
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(7) Contact hypersensitivity is precipitated by exposure to an allergen whose withdrawal leads to cessation of signs and symptoms. There are no epidemiological studies available. It may occur in the conjunctiva and the lid skin, as it does more diffusely in the skin in atopic dermatitis. This is a form of delayed type hypersensitivity in response to an exogenous and usually iatrogenic source, such as eye cosmetics or ocular therapeutic preparations.25

Immunopathogenesis

It is known that in acute allergic conjunctivitis there is an immunoglobulin E (IgE) mediated (type 1 hypersensitivity) response,26 while in chronic disease a mixed cell response.27 28 The evidence that different immunopathogenetic mechanisms play a part in producing the varying clinical pictures is reviewed here, together with new data from immunohistochemical and in situ hybridisation studies of the inflammatory cell populations in the conjunctiva of patients with allergic eye disease.27–36

Immunohistochemical quantification of the cellular infiltrate in different forms of allergic eye disease has used conjunctival biopsies23–35 processed into the water miscible resin, glycol methacrylate (GMA).37 Sections of 2 µm thickness were cut, and stained with a panel of monoclonal antibodies in order to accurately quantify different cell populations. By comparison of different antibodies in consecutive sections, it has been possible to colocalise specific cellular markers—for instance, for different cytokines or mast cell types in adjacent sections.12–14 (see Figs 2–4). The numbers of cells found in the substantia propria and the epithelium of bulbar and tarsal conjunctiva in non-atopic controls were compared with those in allergic tissue. Most of the recent advances in our knowledge of Allergic eye disease mechanisms have been based on these techniques. For a summary of the cell types, see Table 1.

Although immediate or IgE mediated reactions are initiated by sensitised mast cells in SAC or PAC, and cell mediated hypersensitivity reactions by T cells in AKC and VKC, a similar range of cells including macrophages, neutrophils, and eosinophils, is found in both categories. For instance, for different cytokines or mast cell types in adjacent sections.12–14 In GPC, 30% of mast cells appear to be in a degranulated state, while in VKC this increases to 80%.38

In humans there exist two distinct mast cell subtypes which may be distinguished on the basis of their granule neutral protease content.40 The MC8 subtype contains within the secretory granules only a single neutral protease, tryptase, while the MC3c subtype is defined by the presence of both tryptase and chymase (Figs 2 and 3).40 While both mast cell subtypes develop from the same CD34+ mononuclear precursor for its development, the MC8 subtype is dependent on the presence of T lymphocytes, predominates at mucosal surfaces, and increases in numbers in aeroallergen driven allergic disease. The MC3c subtype appears to be independent of T cells, but its development requires fibroblastic derived growth factors (including stem cell factor, SCF), predomi-
that mast cells were responsible for this phase, and were degranulating and so refractory to tryptase. The conjunctival cellular infiltrate contained mast cells, neutrophils, eosinophils, and macrophages, but few CD4+ or CD8+ T cells. Tissue adhesion molecules E-selectin and ICAM-1 levels were significantly increased at 6 hours, explaining conjunctival recruitment of granulocytes, especially neutrophils. Significantly raised levels of tear eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) were detected at 6 hours providing evidence for the selective recruitment of eosinophils into the conjunctiva. VCAM-1 levels were also increased at 6 hours, explaining the influx of eosinophils during the late phase reaction, its implication in the genesis of inflammation seen in SAC, and in the prolonged forms of allergic eye disease such as in AKC or VKC. Immunohistochemical analysis of the cellular response 6 hours after allergen

Figure 2 To show neutral proteases in sequential sections in bulbar conjunctiva from seasonal allergic conjunctivitis (SAC). (A) GE-C in bulbar conjunctiva of SAC sequential section. (B) AA1 in bulbar conjunctiva of SAC sequential section. (C) CPA in bulbar conjunctiva of SAC sequential section. (D) Chymase in bulbar conjunctiva of SAC sequential section. GE-C = cathepsin-G polyclonal antibody; AA1 = antitrypsinase monoclonal antibody; CPA = carboxypeptidase monoclonal antibody. Example of stained cell marked with an asterisk.
challenge demonstrated degranulated mast cells, increased numbers of both neutrophils and eosinophils, but no increase in the number of CD4+ or CD8+ T lymphocytes. The presence of granulocytes is accounted for, at least in part, by the preceding action of mast cells and the release of mast cell autacoids and cytokines.

Recent work has demonstrated an additional role for the conjunctival mast cell in the ocular allergic response. It has been shown that mast cells store and release the pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-8, IL-13, and tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα). The two types of mast cell present in the conjunctiva (the MCc phenotype predominating in normal conjunctiva, the MCt in allergic conjunctiva) (see earlier) are heterogeneous with respect to cytokine storage. In SAC, IL-4, and IL-13 are predominately localised to MCc cells, IL-5 and IL-6 to MCt cells.

The functional significance of these cytokines is exemplified by IL-4 which is an essential mediator of the allergic response, inducing the switching of B cells from IgM to IgE production (Fig 4). It promotes T cell growth, directs T helper cell (TH-2) differentiation essential to the allergic reaction, and is obligatory for the expression of the IL-4 gene cluster on chromosome 5, which includes IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-13.

Immunocytochemistry has shown that there are two types of IL-4 present, one form contained in granules throughout the whole cytoplasm and one confined to the cell membrane (Fig 4). The former is thought to be the stored form, and is present in out of season SAC patients.
The latter is present in increased amounts with a different immunohistochemical characteristic, and is found in active SAC. Not only is IL-4 stored in mast cells, but by using riboprobes to IL-4 mRNA, in situ hybridisation techniques have demonstrated that IL-4 is also manufactured in the mast cell (Anderson, personal communication).

IL-5, a growth and differentiation factor for eosinophils and a chemoattractant and priming agent for these cells, is localised to the MC mast cell in SAC. In SAC, IL-6 which augments T and B cell function, and potentiates IL-4 synthesis of B cells, is also localised to the MC, mast cell phenotype. TNF-α, a potent priming agent for mediator secreting cells and upregulation of endothelial cell adhesion molecules, is also present in conjunctival mast cells, but is not significantly increased in SAC.

Mast cell growth and maturation depends on the presence of cytokines and growth factors, of which stem cell factor (SCF) is the primary regulator. SCF, which also enhances IgE dependent mast cell mediator release and cytokine generation and release, is a chemoattractant for mast cells. Using the techniques of in situ hybridisation, immunohistochemistry, and reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), for the first time anywhere in the body it has been shown that both conjunctival mast cell phenotypes not only store but also manufacture SCF, with a fourfold increase in SAC. Thus the mast cell has the potential to control its own growth and activity.

As mast cells are an important source of multifunctional cytokines in allergic eye disease, this enables them to have a central role in the disease mechanism, their degranulation leading not only to “the immediate symptoms of SAC, but through the IgE dependent release of stored cytokines, to a rapid upregulation of conjunctival inflammation in all forms of ocular allergy.”

Other conjunctival cells also contribute to the disease process, one of which is the eosinophil. It is a powerful...
Vector cell, releasing arginine rich toxic proteins capable of causing corneal epithelial damage. These include major basic protein (MBP), ECP, and eosinophil derived neurotoxin, EDN.\textsuperscript{56, 57} However, while its presence is strongly indicative of allergic eye disease, its absence on conjunctival scrape cytology does not preclude the diagnosis.\textsuperscript{58} In the normal conjunctiva, eosinophils are not normally found in the epithelium of non-atopic subjects\textsuperscript{58} and rarely in the deeper layers, but numbers are increased in AKC,\textsuperscript{17} and to a greater degree in VKC, both in the conjunctival epithelium, subepithelium, and tears.\textsuperscript{59} Eosinophil granule derived proteins have been immunolocalised to the base of vernal ulcers.\textsuperscript{57}

These observations provide strong evidence of a role for the eosinophil in allergic eye disease. In SAC, in studies during the pollen season or following allergen provocation, eosinophils have been found to infiltrate the conjunctiva but return to normal levels out of season.\textsuperscript{32} Their contribution to the inflammatory response is suggested by this increase.

**INFLUENCE OF STEROID HORMONES ON INFLAMMATORY CELLS**

It is known that both androgens and oestrogens can exacerbate the clinical and histopathological manifestations of allergic conjunctivitis.\textsuperscript{60, 61} Thus, the demonstration that sex hormone receptors are present on most inflammatory cells\textsuperscript{62} and, in particular, on eosinophils\textsuperscript{63} is of considerable interest. A recent study of VKC\textsuperscript{64} has shown that eosinophils form 70% of cells expressing oestrogen and progesterone receptors in both tarsal and bulbar conjunctival sections. The observation that up to 30% of oestrogen and progesterone receptor expression was on cells other than eosinophils raises the possibility that other inflammatory cells may also be influenced by these hormones.\textsuperscript{64} The functional expression of steroid receptors might explain VKC predominance in prepubertal children, the decreasing incidence of VKC with puberty after which there is equal distribution between males and females, and the decline in prevalence of VKC with age.\textsuperscript{66}

**T CELL MEDIATED HYPERSENSITIVITY IN ALLERGIC EYE DISEASE**

The normal human conjunctival epithelium is populated by CD4\textsuperscript{+} and CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells, mainly in the subepithelium.\textsuperscript{27} In the active forms of SAC and PAC, the T cell repertoire remains virtually unchanged when compared with the normal (Figs 7 and 8).\textsuperscript{32, 33} However, in chronic allergic disorders (AKC, VKC, GPC, but not ABC), CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells but not CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cell numbers are increased,\textsuperscript{27} with a mixed cellular infiltrate containing many mast cells, eosinophils, neutrophils, and macrophages\textsuperscript{16–27} (Figs 7 and 8). In chronic allergic diseases non-IgE mechanisms contribute to the immunopathological mechanism.\textsuperscript{16} For instance, in VKC and GPC there is no clear cut difference between the allergen specific IgE responses and the nature and severity of the allergic responses,\textsuperscript{44} hence it is likely that cell mediated responses are involved.

In the normal conjunctiva, most of the T cells are naive, but in the chronic allergic conditions, especially AKC, VKC, GPC, when there is an increase in CD4 cell population, 90% of the T cells are memory T cells.\textsuperscript{27} Together with this rise of activated T cells (activated [HLA-DR]CD4+ memory [CD45RO+] T cells), there is a corresponding upregulation of markers present on antigen presenting cells.\textsuperscript{27} The type of T cells in AKC and ABC is different from that in VKC and GPC (50% of T cells in VKC and GPC co-expressed CD45RO and CD4RA, but this was rare in AKC and ABC).\textsuperscript{27} This suggests in AKC and ABC, both associated with systemic atopy, that T cells may be recruited from circulating specific memory T cells, whereas in VKC and GPC T cells are produced locally.\textsuperscript{27}
In addition to classifying lymphocyte subsets with respect to their T cell receptors, such as CD4+ and CD8+, they may also be classified by the profile of cytokines that they generate and secrete. Studies originally performed in the mouse have shown the presence of two distinct CD4+ T cell subsets. The first designated TH-1 cells produce IL-2, IL-3, TNFα, and interferon γ (IFNγ), and are more closely associated with the cell mediated reaction (classic delayed type hypersensitivity). The second, TH-2 cells, produce a range of cytokines encoded on chromosome 5 including IL-4 and IL-5, which promote immediate hypersensitivity responses through their ability to stimulate proliferation, B cell IgE production, and eosinophil production, activation, and survival. To help determine the mechanisms of allergic eye disease, the cytokine profile of T lymphocytes in conjunctival biopsies has been determined by in situ hybridisation, a technique which demonstrates the presence of product specific messenger RNA within a cell. Early results have shown that in AKC there are increased numbers of both TH-1 and TH-2 type lymphocytes, and that in VKC, only cells positive for IL-3, IL-4, and IL-5 mRNA are found, which are typical of the TH-2 phenotype. This suggests that VKC results from a maturation shift of CD4+ T cells towards a pattern of cytokines which drives a mast cell and eosinophil mediated inflammatory response.

ROLE OF ADHESION MOLECULES IN ALLERGIC EYE DISEASE MECHANISMS (SEE FIG 1)

Adhesion molecules expressed on blood vessel endothelial cells and leucocytes arrest the passage of circulating leucocytes in areas of inflammation. This is achieved by adhesion molecule ligand interactions that cause leucocytes to adhere to the vascular cell wall, followed by their transendothelial migration to the site of active inflammation. We have found correlations between the levels of expression of the adhesion molecules E-selectin, intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1), and the different cell types found in the inflammatory infiltrate of allergic eye disease. In the normal conjunctiva there is a basal expression of all adhesion molecules, while in allergic eye disease these levels are increased. ICAM-1 and E-selectin are expressed in 40–78% of vessels in SAC and PAC, and 90% in VKC. In SAC, biopsies taken out of season demonstrated a marked reduction in all three CAM levels, almost to normal. VCAM-1 levels were elevated in all forms of allergic eye disease, but were highest in VKC. There was a positive correlation between ICAM and E-selectin levels and the degree of granulocyte and lymphocyte infiltrate, whereas VCAM expression correlated with eosinophil levels. This suggests that it is the relative concentration rather than any selective adhesion molecule association that results in the specific patterns of leucocyte recruitment. This explains why VCAM-1, under the specific influence of IL-4, is most selectively and strongly expressed in VKC, but not after allergen challenge in SAC out of season. This is consistent with the demonstration in VKC of the high numbers of CD4+ cells and eosinophils present (both of which bear its integrin ligand VLA-4), with presumably a strong IL-4 signal which is produced by TH-2 cells.

To account for the different forms of allergic eye disease, a mechanism is thus provided with the selective cell recruitment of cells and subsequent mediator release. The
mast cell is central to this process, inducing different adhesion molecule expression in the acute phase, and with continued activation, generating increased adhesion molecule expression.

OTHER FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO THE INFLAMMATORY CONJUNCTIVAL RESPONSE

Corneal sutures, deposits, and filtering blebs have been implicated in causing GPC. These abnormalities have in common an abrasive surface against which the tarsal conjunctiva rubs with blinking. In addition to IgE cross linkage, mast cells are also known to degranulate in response to a range of different stimuli, including the neupeptides, substance P, VIP somatostatin, and the complement fragments C3a and C5a. Thus alternative mechanisms may be postulated for the initiation of mast cell driven disease. Concurrent infection with staphylococci is common in AKC. The role of toxins produced by staphylococci in producing keratopathy has not been confirmed, but the blepharomeibomianitis contributes to the symptomatology of those with atopic dermatitis and AKC. In addition, there is evidence that staphylococcal cell wall products may also induce mast cell degranulation in vitro.

Conclusions

The atopic genotype may manifest itself in phenotypes of varying severity in the eye, as in other organs, hence the different clinical types of allergic eye disease. There are numerous parallels and differences between allergic eye diseases and allergic manifestations in other organs, such as the airways and the skin, which may help us to elucidate the molecular and cellular processes of ocular immunopathology. While perennial rhinitis, for example, which occurs in association with PAC, appears to be mast cell driven, in the more chronic conditions such as atopic dermatitis and asthma which, like AKC and VKC, have an appreciable morbidity, CD4+ T lymphocytes and eosinophils play an important role. However, what is not understood at present is the variable incidence of and relative importance of the TH-2 type response, which occurs both in VKC and GPC, in allergic and non-atopic allergic eye disease. Further research could focus on the cause of the apparent functional differences of CD4+ cells in AKC, associated with atopic dermatitis and asthma, and VKC, in which other factors, such as the overexpression of sex hormone receptors, may also play a role.

Treatment options and regimens

Now that the cellular infiltrates in both acute and chronic disease are better characterised, there are significant implications for treatment of allergic eye disease (for review see Abelson and Schaefer).

Topical therapy is the preferred treatment in mild diseases of SAC and PAC. Since neither are life threatening, or even sight threatening, experimental systemic treatments are not indicated in the way they may be in more serious ocular allergic disease such as AKC. Powerful anti-CD4+ T cell agents, such as cyclosporin, should be kept for severely affected cases with keratopathy. In the T cell driven processes of VKC and AKC specific anti-TH-2 treatment of VKC, rather than blanket anti-T cell therapy, may be a future option.

In SAC and PAC, where mast cells and histamine release are involved in the disease pathogenesis, both topical and systemic therapies have been tried. Oral histamine H1 receptor antagonists (antihistamines) such as astemizole, terfenadine, and loratidine have shown to be effective, but they are not ideal because they take several hours to act and may have some systemic side effects such as sedation. Terfenadine, which inhibits release of histamine (and prostaglandin from mast cells) can also interact with other drugs, especially erythromycin and other macrolides.

Topical treatment offers several obvious advantages. Eye drops are easily applied and seldom lead to systemic side effects. Also, the physical presence of the drops themselves will have a washout effect, helping to remove the inflammatory mediators and thereby lessening some of the symptoms. Topical treatment may also have some disadvantages. Vasoconstrictor drops only act for a short time and can lead to a rebound vasodilatation. Topical steroids are effective in reducing the influx of inflammatory cells but have little effect on mast cell mediator secretion, are slow to act and take several days to achieve their maximal effect. They may also have serious side effects, such as producing glaucoma, causing cataracts, and potentiating infection, and so should not be used routinely in these conditions.

Mast cell stabilisers, such as sodium cromoglycate, the only one available for many years, are most effective in SAC and PAC and carry very few side effects, but patients need to receive treatment for several days before the expected exposure to allergen reducing the tryptase and inflammatory cells after allergen challenge. New quicker acting and more efficacious agents are now available. One of these is lodoxamide which acts to reduce tear tryptase and inflammatory cells after allergen challenge. In a comparative trial with sodium cromoglycate in subjects with AKC, VKC, and GPC, it was found to be superior in terms of symptom relief, and to be effective in the long term treatment of VKC especially in cases where an epitheliopathy exists. There is no published evidence of the effectiveness of lodoxamide in SAC and PAC, although it is
promoted for these conditions! Nedocromil sodium is another new generation mast cell stabiliser. In experiments in vitro, it was at least significantly more potent than cromoglycate in inhibiting mast cell histamine release induced by anti-human IgE85–88 and more potent than cromoglycate on eosinophils89–90 and macrophage activation.90–96 In placebo controlled trials, nedocromil sodium has been found to be effective in the treatment of SAC97–99 PAC,100 GPC,101 and VKC,102 and compared with sodium cromoglycate, superior in SAC99 and VKC.103 It has also been found to be superior to oral astemizole in SAC.104

Until recently, topical formulations of antihistamines which were developed primarily for systemic administration, although having some beneficial effects, were usually not potent enough for monotherapy, and had to be administered with vasoconstrictors.105 However, levocabastine,106 developed for topical administration, acts within 10 minutes,107 has a high speed binding affinity for histamine H1 receptors, but does not interact with cholinergic receptors108 and has few systemic side effects. It is effective when given alone, as is effective as a vasodilator antihistamine combination for the treatment of SAC,109 and more effective than either placebo110 or sodium cromoglycate in SAC.111 In double blind trials it has been found to be at least as effective as oral terfenadine,106 107 and on days when there was a high pollen count, was demonstrated to be more effective than oral terfenadine112–114 and topical sodium cromoglycate in relieving the symptoms of SAC.115

Several promising drugs are under development for the treatment of allergic eye disease, but have not yet been released. Of the new generation of H1 receptor antagonists, topical azelastine has been shown to be a powerful topical antihistamine, decreasing eosinophils and T lymphocyte activation.116 It both reduces and prevents the symptoms of SAC,117 but does not appear to reach maximal activity for 7 days.118 It acts on all types of inflammatory cells as well as reducing adhesion molecule expression.119 Lenoprednol, a so called soft steroid, is effective in SAC prophylaxis120 and GPC treatment,121–124 but it can cause an intraocular pressure rise.125 Rimexolone is effective in the treatment of uveitis and so has a potential, so far untested, for allergic eye disease treatment. Another drug under development for ocular use is olopotadine, which is both a selective H1 receptor and an inhibitor of mast cell release.126

Thus, the suggested treatment regimen of SAC and PAC, both of which are mast cell dependent, is for topical histamine H1 antagonists and mast cell stabilisers sodium cromoglycate, lodoxamide, nedocromil, or levocabastine, two to four times daily to be administered while symptoms last. If this treatment is insufficient to control the symptoms, then vasoconstrictors or oral antihistamines can be added. Alternatively, lubricants such as hyromellose will act to irrigate the eye and wash out tear histamine and other inflammatory mediators, thus diluting their effects and aiding the patient’s comfort.

In the T cell dependent AKC and VKC, the existing mast cell stabiliser sodium cromoglycate has been used prophylactically or as maintenance therapy to control mild symptoms only, but is ineffective in acute exacerbations. In these conditions, the new mast cell stabilisers, such as lodoxamide90 91 and nedocromil,90 91 have been found to be effective, but steroids (dexamethasone) in doses up to one drop hourly, may still be required if these drugs are not sufficient to control the disease process, especially if a keratopathy is present. Topical cyclosporin, an anti-CD4+ cell agent, has been found to control the symptoms of VKC,128 but is not generally available. Topical lubricants may help in diluting the tears whose pH is abnormal as a result of the released cytokines and histamine.

In cases where corneal involvement occurs, particularly in VKC with corneal ulcers in the visual axis, the ulcers need to be debrided quickly to prevent scarring and the onset of ambyopia. Topical antibiotics may be added to the intensive topical steroid regimen while ulceration lasts.

In AKC and ABC strict attention should be paid to lid toilet in order to reduce lids rubbing and possible staphylococcal colonisation of the lid, but the exact role of staphylococci in these conditions is uncertain.129 If blepharomembranitis is severe, then systemic oxytetracycline, 250 mg twice a day for a month, may be needed, particularly if it is associated with a punctate keratopathy. Unless the latter is treated rigorously, this can develop into permanent corneal scarring and visual loss.

For GPC, removal of the allergen such as a contact lens, if necessary coupled with a mast cell stabiliser, will be sufficient.

Future trends
Future work needs to be directed at increasing our understanding of the genetics and workings of the cytokine repertoire of mast cells and T lymphocytes, the regulation of adhesion molecule expression, and B cell regulation of IgE secretion. As more allergens are identified, their complex structure needs to be defined, in order to identify that part, or epitope, of the allergen stimulating the allergic response.

With this knowledge, more ways of treating allergic eye disease will be developed, including more effective mast cell stabilisers and antihistamines, agents to antagonise adhesion molecules and reduce the production of allergen specific IgE, and, eventually, provide therapies which are able to downregulate the allergic response, rather than control its effects.

Many important questions remain unanswered. For example, it is unclear what determines whether a person suffers from SAC which is non-sight threatening, or from AKC, which is. Further work on the genetic analysis of allergic eye disease is required, and is possible with the new analytical tools now available.
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