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Abstract

Aim—To determine the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in patients with Down’s syndrome and diabetes mellitus.  
Method—Nine patients with Down’s syndrome and diabetes mellitus were assessed. Factors recorded included type and duration of diabetes, level of diabetic control, blood pressure, urinalysis, and results of ophthalmological examination.

Results—The duration of diabetes ranged from 8 to 41 years (mean 17.6 years). All had satisfactory glycaemic control and blood pressure measurements on the low side of normal (mean 106.6/70 mm Hg). One patient had early background diabetic retinopathy. The remainder had no evidence of diabetic retinopathy.

Conclusion—The low prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in these Down’s syndrome patients, despite the long duration, is an interesting finding. It suggests some inherent protective factor against the development of diabetic retinopathy in this patient subgroup.

Diabetes is an important cause of blindness in developed countries. The precise cause of diabetic retinopathy in patients with diabetes mellitus has not been defined. Definite contributing factors include chronic hyperglycaemia and the duration of diabetes. The presence of coexisting hypertension and hormonal changes associated with puberty and pregnancy may also contribute. By contrast, certain factors may be protective including glaucoma, myopia, and ipsilateral carotid artery disease.

Down’s syndrome (trisomy 21) is a chromosomal anomaly characterised by moderate to severe mental retardation and a characteristic physical appearance. Ocular problems are frequently encountered including an increased incidence of strabismus, keratoconus, cataract, and refractive errors.

Diabetes appears to be associated with Down’s syndrome, but few data exist on microvascular complications in such patients.

In this study, we attempted to determine the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in a group of nine patients with Down’s syndrome and diabetes mellitus.

Patients and methods

All patients with Down’s syndrome and diabetes mellitus who attend the diabetic unit in the Mater Misericordiae Hospital were included in this study. The diabetic unit has been established for over 30 years. There are currently 6000 active attenders. All patient data are recorded on a computerised database, which is updated annually. In Ireland, diabetes care is hospital based. Thus, this group is probably representative of the population of diabetics at large. Information about all patients with Down’s syndrome and diabetes mellitus was retrieved from the database, and patients were invited to attend for an ophthalmological assessment.

The ophthalmological examination included orthoptic assessment, refraction, slit lamp examination, fundoscopy with a direct ophthalmoscope, 90 dioptre lens, and fundus photographs for cooperative patients.

Other factors recorded included: type of diabetes, duration of diabetes, mean glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) over the previous 5 years, most recent blood pressure measurement and the presence of proteinuria (measured by dipstick analysis and 24 hour urinary collection when positive).

Results

There were nine patients included in the study, eight females and one male. The ages ranged from 25 to 44 years (mean 37.2 years). Seven had insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) and two had non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM). The duration of diabetes in the group with IDDM ranged from 8 to 41 years (mean 20 years). In the two patients with NIDDM the duration was 9 and 10 years respectively.

The ophthalmic clinical features are summarised in Table 1. Four patients had esotropia, four had blue dot lens opacities, and one had keratoconus. Refraction showed that four patients were myopic (ranging from −6 to −9 dioptres), two were emmetropic, and three had compound hypermetropic astigmatism. On fundoscopy, no evidence of diabetic retinopathy was present in six out of seven (85.7%) patients with IDDM. The remaining patient had minimal background diabetic retinopathy, with only a few microaneurysms being present. Neither patient with NIDDM had any diabetic retinopathy.

The patient characteristics are summarised in Table 2. Blood glucose control was assessed by the mean glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) during the previous 5 years. Mean HbA1c levels ranged from 6.4% to 9.1% (normal range 4.2% to 6.2%).

Systolic blood pressure measurements ranged from 90 to 130 mm Hg (mean 106.6 mm Hg). Diastolic blood pressure measurements ranged from 60 to 80 mm Hg (mean 70 mm Hg).
Table 1 Ocular features of patients in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no</th>
<th>Retinopathy</th>
<th>Cataract</th>
<th>Keratoconus</th>
<th>Strabismus</th>
<th>Refraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hyperopic astigmatism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Emmetropic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hyperopic astigmatism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Myopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Myopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hyperopic astigmatism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Emmetropic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Myopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Myopic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Systemic features of patients in study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no</th>
<th>Type of DM</th>
<th>Duration of DM</th>
<th>Blood pressure</th>
<th>Mean HbA1c</th>
<th>Urinary protein</th>
<th>Retinopathy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IDDM</td>
<td>24 years</td>
<td>110/70</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IDDM</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>100/70</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IDDM</td>
<td>13 years</td>
<td>110/70</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IDDM</td>
<td>41 years</td>
<td>130/80</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>IDDM</td>
<td>24 years</td>
<td>110/70</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>IDDM</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>90/60</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>IDDM</td>
<td>12 years</td>
<td>110/70</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NIDDM</td>
<td>9 years</td>
<td>110/70</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NIDDM</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>90/70</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM = diabetes mellitus; IDDM = insulin dependent diabetes mellitus; NIDDM = non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus.

from 60 to 80 mm Hg (mean 70 mm Hg). Mean arterial pressures ranged from 70 to 97 mm Hg (mean 82 mm Hg).

Urinalysis was negative for protein on all occasions in seven of nine patients (77.7%). The remaining two patients had positive dipstick analysis and subsequently had 24 hour urinary collections for protein. One had non-significant proteinuria (0.22 g/24 hours), the other had significant proteinuria (0.48 g/24 hours). Interestingly this latter subject was the single patient who had mild background diabetic retinopathy.

Discussion

The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy was reported in the Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy as 97% in those who had diabetes for 15 or more years, when the age at diagnosis of diabetes was less than 30 years.3 In our current study, diabetes mellitus was diagnosed in eight patients with Down’s syndrome before the age of 30 years and at 34 years in the remaining patient. The mean duration of diabetes in those diagnosed before the age of 30 years was 18.75 years. Only one patient had any signs of diabetic retinopathy. This suggests a protective factor against the development of retinopathy in Down’s syndrome patients.

Chronic hyperglycaemia is an important risk factor in the development of diabetic retinopathy. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) proved conclusively that tight blood glucose control reduced the risk of developing retinopathy by 76% over a 6.5 year period.4 In that study the mean glycosylated haemoglobin (A1c) in the intensively treated group was 7.2 %. In our study, the mean HbA1c was 7.72 % (SD 0.86%). This is between the intensively and conventionally treated range of the DCCT and indicates satisfactory control. However, after 9 years the percentage of patients who developed retinopathy was about 15% in the intensively treated group, and about 55% in the conventionally treated group. In our study, only one patient out of nine developed any signs of diabetic retinopathy despite a mean duration of 17.6 years.

Hypertension has been associated with an increased incidence of severe diabetic retinopathy.8 12–15 In patients with IDDM this is reported to be associated with an elevated diastolic blood pressure above the 75th percentile.16 In the same study it was shown that an increased incidence of retinopathy also occurred in patients with high/normal blood pressure. Other studies have shown a significant association between systolic blood pressure (above 140 mm Hg) and an increased prevalence of retinopathy.17–19 This effect of increasing blood pressure on the subsequent development of diabetic retinopathy, may be mediated through the accompanying increase in retinal blood flow in a setting where the normal autoregulatory responses to changes in blood pressure are impaired.20–23 In the patients with Down’s syndrome in this study, all blood pressure measurements were on the low side of normal. Mean systolic pressure was 106.6 mm Hg and mean diastolic pressure was 70 mm Hg. This may be significant in protecting this group from developing diabetic retinopathy. The absence of hypertension may also reflect the absence of clinical nephropathy in our patient group.

Myopia has been shown to be protective against diabetic retinopathy.9 10 The study by Rand et al.10 showed that patients with HLA-D group antigen markers of IDDM and no significant refractive error had 3.74 times the risk of developing proliferative retinopathy when compared with patients with HLA-D group antigen markers of IDDM and myopia of 2 dioptres or more. Four patients in our study had myopia ranging from −6.0 dioptres to −9.0 dioptres. None had diabetic retinopathy.

Short stature is a characteristic feature of Down’s syndrome. Affected children have severe postnatal growth arrest which can be partially reversed by growth hormone therapy.24 Furthermore, growth hormone deficiencies have been described in some but not all series of cases of Down’s syndrome.22 23 There are extensive data in the literature implicating growth hormone in the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy4 and the absence of diabetic retinopathy has previously been reported in a group of sexual ateliotic dwarfs with poorly controlled diabetes.25 It is possible that abnormalities in growth hormone secretion or responsiveness in Down’s syndrome could account for the low prevalence of diabetic retinopathy noted in this study.

In conclusion, the development of diabetic retinopathy, while driven by hyperglycaemia, may be modulated by a number of other factors. The low prevalence of retinopathy in Down’s syndrome patients with diabetes suggests such factors may be operational here. Their relatively low blood pressures may be an important protective factor. Other unidentified factors may also play a role, including the possibility of growth hormone deficiencies in...
Down’s syndrome patients. The observations in this study may further aid our understanding of the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy in affected individuals. The results should also be confirmed in a multicentre clinical study.
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