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Abstract

Aim—To investigate the correlation between tear function tests and ocular surface integrity in patients with dry eye. Methods—297 dry eye patients (55 Sjögren’s syndrome, two male and 53 female, average age 52.4 (SD 15.0) years, and 242 non-Sjögren’s syndrome, 41 male and 201 female, average age 53.5 (14.1) years) were examined. The following tear function tests were performed: (1) cotton thread test, (2) Schirmer test with topical anaesthesia, (3) Schirmer test without anaesthesia, (4) Schirmer test with nasal stimulation, (5) tear clearance test, and (6) tear break up time (BUT). The ocular surface was evaluated by rose bengal and fluorescein staining. Correlation analysis was performed between each tear function index and vital staining scores. Results—Among the six tear function tests, the Schirmer test with nasal stimulation correlated most with both of the vital stains (p=0.530 for rose bengal and 0.393 for fluorescein). The Schirmer test with or without anaesthesia correlated slightly with rose bengal staining, whereas tear clearance test and tear break up time slightly correlated with fluorescein staining. Conclusion—Vital staining of the ocular surface correlates most with reflex tearing measured by the Schirmer test with nasal stimulation.

Patients and Methods

Dry eye Patients

The diagnosis of dry eye was made using the following three criteria as previously reported: (1) symptoms of dry eye, (2) abnormalities of tear dynamics determined by the Schirmer test (<5 mm after 5 minutes), clearance test (8×), cotton thread test (<10 mm after 5 minutes), and tear break up time (BUT, 5 seconds), (3) abnormalities of ocular surface determined by rose bengal (>3+) or fluorescein vital staining (>3+). Vital staining was performed using a fixed concentration and volume of dye in order to obtain consistent results. Patients with symptoms and positive findings in tear function tests or staining scores were diagnosed as dry eye, and the diagnosis of Sjögren’s syndrome was made according to Fox’s criteria. A total of 297 dry eye patients (55 with Sjögren’s syndrome (SS) (two males, 53 females, average age of 52.4 (SD 15.0) years) and 242 non-Sjögren’s (non-SS) dry eye patients (41 males, 201 females, average age of 53.5 (14.1) years)) were recruited for this study. Among the 242 non-SS dry eye patients, 103 had low levels (<160) of autoantibody such as rheumatoid arthritis factor (RA) or antinuclear antibody (ANA), but did not match the diagnosis criteria of Sjögren’s syndrome, and were therefore classified as autoimmune positive dry eye.
Table 1: Correlation between vital staining and tear function tests (as a whole)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rose bengal score</th>
<th>Fluorescein score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right eye</td>
<td>Right eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton thread test</td>
<td>( \rho = -0.087 )</td>
<td>( \rho = -0.217 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(( p = 0.1372 ))</td>
<td>(( p = 0.021 ))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schirmer test with anaesthesia</td>
<td>( \rho = -0.197 )</td>
<td>( \rho = -0.344 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(( p = 0.0007 ))</td>
<td>(( p = 0.001 ))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schirmer test without anaesthesia</td>
<td>( \rho = -0.332 )</td>
<td>( \rho = -0.333 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(( p = 0.0001 ))</td>
<td>(( p = 0.001 ))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schirmer test with nasal stimulation</td>
<td>( \rho = -0.530 )</td>
<td>( \rho = 0.021 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(( p = 0.0001 ))</td>
<td>(( p = 0.001 ))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear clearance test</td>
<td>( \rho = 0.161 )</td>
<td>( \rho = 0.383 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(( p = 0.0059 ))</td>
<td>(( p = 0.001 ))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear break up time</td>
<td>( \rho = 0.174 )</td>
<td>( \rho = 0.332 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(( p = 0.0043 ))</td>
<td>(( p = 0.001 ))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value shows the Spearman rank correlation (\( \rho \)) and probability (\( p \)).

Eighty-five patients had simple dry eye with positive ocular surface staining, but without evidence of autoantibodies. The remaining 54 patients had only decreased tear break up time (BUT) associated with symptoms of dry eye without positive vital staining.14

TEAR EVALUATION

The Schirmer value with anaesthesia and the tear clearance rate (TCR) were measured 5 minutes after instilling a 10 µl drop of 0.5% fluorescein and 0.4% oxybuprocaine hydrochloride solution into the conjunctival sac.18 The Schirmer value was measured, and TCR was determined by the staining intensity of the filter which was compared with a dilution standard graded from 1× to 256×. The Schirmer test without topical anaesthesia was also performed, while maximum tear production was evaluated by the Schirmer test with nasal stimulation,6 which measures the maximal tear secretion from the ipsilateral eye. Briefly, the patients were examined by the simple Schirmer test for 5 minutes without topical anaesthesia. Then a cotton swab was inserted into the patient’s nasal cavity, and the Schirmer test was repeated for 5 minutes using a 75 mm long Schirmer paper strip while the cotton swab was kept in place. An increase in tear production is observed when the lacrimal gland is intact. The Schirmer test with nasal stimulation is performed after the confirmation of low Schirmer results without nasal stimulation. This test is usually performed several minutes after the first testing on the same day or on the next visit if the patient did not have the time to have the second test. All tear evaluation tests were performed by the same examiner (YG).

OCULAR SURFACE EVALUATION

The ocular surface was examined by the double staining method. Two µl of preservative-free solution consisting of 1% rose bengal and 1% fluorescein dye were applied to the conjunctival sac by micropipette.15 The severity of rose bengal staining was recorded in the temporal and nasal conjunctiva and cornea, and then quantified on a scale of 0 to 3 points. Thus, the maximum score obtained from the staining of one eye is 9. Fluorescein staining was also rated from 0 to 9, but only in the cornea. All of the evaluation was done by one examiner (KT).

DATA ANALYSIS

Spearman’s rank correlation test was performed between each vital staining score (rose bengal and fluorescein) and tear function tests.

Results

Table 1 shows the results of correlation analysis between vital staining scores and tear function variables. Analysis of rose bengal scores revealed that the correlation coefficient (\( \rho \)) was greater than −0.5 only between the rose bengal score and the Schirmer test with nasal stimulation. Although other tear function tests also demonstrated some correlation with rose bengal scores, they were relatively small. The Schirmer test with and without anaesthesia had correlation coefficients of −0.197 and −0.344 respectively, whereas the cotton thread test, tear clearance test, and tear break up time had \( p \) values of less than 0.2.

Correlation between fluorescein staining and tear function tests demonstrated a similar tendency. Maximal correlation was observed in the Schirmer test with nasal stimulation (\( \rho = -0.393 \)) followed by tear break up time (\( \rho = -0.332 \)) and tear clearance (\( \rho = -0.283 \)). The cotton thread test, the Schirmer test with and without anaesthesia had values of less than −0.2.

Of all the tear function variables, the Schirmer test with nasal stimulation correlated most with both rose bengal and fluorescein staining, while the Schirmer test with and without anaesthesia slightly correlated with rose bengal staining. Tear clearance and BUT were associated more with fluorescein staining than rose bengal staining. The cotton thread test did not show any correlation with either dye.

Discussion

This study demonstrated the importance of reflex tearing in the primary assessment of patients with dry eye. Among all the various tear function tests examined, the results of the Schirmer test with nasal stimulation was the only test that showed acceptable correlation with vital staining.

We have previously reported that reflex tearing is dramatically decreased in SS dry eye and that the ocular surface tends to be more compromised than in non-SS dry eye.8 Although the Schirmer test without anaesthesia has been considered to reflect reflex tearing, many patients with low values in this test are capable of producing substantial amounts of reflex tears by other stimuli such as nasal stimulation. This phenomenon suggests that the Schirmer test without anaesthesia does not accurately measure maximal tear secretion. In contrast, the Schirmer test with nasal stimulation shows greater values in patients with residual lacrimal function, and correlates well with lacrimal gland destruction observed in pathological sections. Since the Schirmer test with nasal stimulation reflects the actual function of the lacrimal gland whose purpose is to protect the ocular surface, it is not surprising that the results of the Schirmer test with nasal
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Since the Schirmer test is a diagnostic criterion for dry eye, and rose bengal staining is a prominent finding in such eyes, it has been presumed that a correlation exists between tear function measured by Schirmer test and ocular surface abnormalities detected by the vital dyes. However, there is still a controversy as to the correlation between the Schirmer test and ocular surface conditions. Paschides et al reported that there was no correlation between the Schirmer test results and squamous metaplasia of the ocular surface. To our knowledge, there are no definite reports providing evidence of any correlation between tear function tests and ocular surface abnormalities. This may be due to the relatively small number of patients examined, or the variation in test results which is always a factor in such studies. The present study attempted to eliminate these variables by using micropippettes to instil dyes, recruiting a large number of patients, as well as limiting the examiner and investigator to a single person to assure maximal objectivity. Although the correlation coefficients observed in our study are relatively small, it is possible to say that under the clinical conditions given, a slight correlation exists between the Schirmer test and vital dye staining.

It is interesting to note that all types of the Schirmer test correlated more with rose bengal staining than fluorescein staining, whereas tear clearance and tear BUT correlated more with fluorescein staining than rose bengal. Although the cotton thread test is believed to reflect the amount of accumulated tears in the cul de sac, it was observed in this study. Since rose bengal staining reflects epithelium cells deprived of mucin, whereas fluorescein staining reflects the destruction of cell to cell junctions, the two factors reflect different pathological conditions. Conjunctival mucin expression may be related more to the lack of tear components, while corneal permeability may be related more to the accumulation of inflammatory cytokines or cytotoxic factors as a result of poor clearance.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the Schirmer test with nasal stimulation best reflects ocular surface abnormalities among the various tear functional variables available. Although this test is not common in standard clinical practice, it is recommended for better understanding of dry eyes and lacrimal gland function owing to the simplicity and reproducibility of the procedure. The Schirmer test with nasal stimulation is the most reliable tear function variable that can be used to screen potential SS patients before costly serological tests.