Uveitis associated with parvovirus infection

EDITOR.—Parvovirus B 19 is a common infection in developed countries with a seroprevalence of 40–60% in young adults. The commonest age of infection is 5–14 years. Clinical features of acute infection include erythema infectosum (slapped cheek syndrome), arthralgia, and fever. It is usually a mild disease, but aplastic anaemia may develop in susceptible hosts and it may cause fetal loss if acquired during pregnancy. Acute infection can be accompanied by autoantibody formation including antinuclear antibodies (ANA) and rheumatoid factor (RF) and therefore infection may share clinical and serological similarities with early rheumatoid arthritis and juvenile chronic arthritis. Uveitis in young girls usually occurs in association with early onset pauciarticular juvenile chronic arthritis which has a high frequency of ANA in the absence of RF. We report a case of uveitis in a young girl, associated with a transient ANA and RF, and serological evidence of acute parvovirus infection.

CASE REPORT
A 6 year old white girl presented with a 2 week history of a painful red left eye. She had an unaided visual acuity of 6/9 in each eye; there was a left anterior uveitis with 3+ anterior chamber cells. The uveitis was non-granulomatous with no keratic precipitates, band keratopathy, synchia, or flare; she had a mild vitritis but no macular oedema or choroidal involvement.

Her medical history included an unspecified viral illness requiring brief hospital admission at 1 year of age from which she fully recovered. When 5 years old she developed recurrent otitis media and 6 months later underwent grommet insertion for glue ear. She developed tonsillitis and pharyngitis at 6 years associated with serological evidence of infectious mononucleosis. Her grandmother had rheumatoid arthritis, but there was no other family history of relevance.

She had a 2 year history of knee pains but had no other systemic symptoms at the onset of the uveitis. She was treated with topical steroids and cycloplegia; after 2 months she developed mild disc oedema and hypotony with intraocular pressures of 11 mm Hg right eye, 4 mm Hg left eye. Her acuity remained 6/9 in each eye, and she continued topical steroid treatment only. Ten months after onset she developed a painful right anterior uveitis, mild uveitis persisting in the left eye. Fourteen months after onset both eyes had mild panuveitis (antero uveitis, mild vitritis, and mild disc oedema, see Fig 1); the hypotony had resolved. Visual acuity remained normal and topical steroids were withdrawn 23 months after onset when all signs of inflammation had receded. There have been no recurrences at last review 27 months after onset.

Repeated rheumatological examinations did not reveal any inflammatory arthritis, and her knee pains ascribed to hypermobility syndrome.

The following were normal or negative: C reactive protein (CRP), immunoglobulins, neutrophil count, liver function tests, serum angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE), anti-double stranded DNA antibodies, and titres for adenosivirus, CMV, rubella, herpes B, enterovirus, mumps, measles, herpes simplex, varicella zoster, and cytomegalovirus. There was evidence of previous infection with rubella, Epstein–Barr virus, and streptococci.

Abnormal investigations included a persistent mild lymphocytosis. The RF was positive at the onset of uveitis (24 MRF units/ml, >20 = strongly positive) but undetectable thereafter. Parvovirus IgM was positive (18 units macroelisa) at 4 months after onset, but negative thereafter (Table 1). One year after onset she had a weakly positive ANA of the nucleolar pattern.

COMMENT
Parvovirus infection has been associated with transient neurological disease including encephalitis, aseptic meningitis, neuroretinitis with disc oedema, ciliary ganglionitis and disc hyperaemia, and immune mediated inner ear disease. There have been no previous reports of an isolated uveitis. Our patient developed a painful chronic bilateral panuveitis and arthralgia associated with IgM antibodies to parvovirus that were detectable 3 months after onset of disease and absent thereafter. As IgM antibodies subside within 3 months of an acute infection it is likely that an acute parvovirus infection occurred at the onset of the uveitis. This allows us to postulate a causal link between the infection and the uveitis, this is not proved and another aetiological agent for the uveitis cannot be excluded. Other causes of uveitis in children include ankylosing spondylitis, trauma, toxoplasmatisis, toxocariasis, pars planitis, and masquerade syndromes; Behçet’s syndrome, sarcoid, Fuchs’ heterochromic cyclitis, herpes simplex, and Vogt–Koyanagi–Harada are less common in younger children.

Acute parvovirus infection is known to trigger the production of autoantibodies and RF; in one study 7/53 patients developed ANA and 1/53 developed RF. Antibodies against immunodominant parvovirus peptides cross react with keratin, collagen type II, single strand DNA, and cardiolipin in humans; immunisation of mice with these peptides produce a similar pattern of autoantibodies. The presence of IgG antibodies to parvovirus has been found higher in patients with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in some studies but not in others. The arthritis associated with acute infection may only involve a few joints and most commonly involves the knee. It can therefore mimic early onset pauciarticular juvenile chronic arthritis (JCA) as well as RA. The differential diagnosis of acute parvovirus infection and autoimmune arthritis is sometimes only possible after exclusion of an acute parvovirus infection by examination of the changes in IgM parvovirus response.

Although most parvovirus infections resolve in a few weeks, joint symptoms may persist for several months. Recurrence of acute symptoms has also been recorded 7 years later. Persistent viral DNA has been found in blood, narrow erythroid precursors, and synovium, but viral DNA may persist without clinical disease. More recently evidence has emerged of parvovirus VP-1 antigen persistence in the synovium of a high proportion (30/39) of RA patients with some markers of infectivity present in this tissue. It is possible that virus may persist in the eye, but the delayed onset of disease in the second eye in our case suggests that haematogenous spread was the more likely route of infection than the activation of dormant intraocular virus.

De Boer et al examined the intraocular fluids of six patients with intermediate uveitis and IgG antibodies to parvovirus in their serum. None showed evidence of intraocular antibody production, but the report did not state whether the patients ever had clinical signs of acute parvovirus infection or whether seroconversion coincided with the onset of uveitis in these patients.

Uveitis associated with ANA + JCA is almost universally a painless anterior disease without significant disc oedema or vitritis. Our case presented with a painful, red anterior uveitis later associated with a mild vitritis and disc oedema. The only other case of parvovirus uveitis described was also a bilateral panuveitis with disc involvement but was painless and caused tonic pupils. Calvert describes a case of unilateral neuroretinitis in a patient with AIDS that occurred during a parvovirus infection. Our case did not have a uveitis typical of JCA and the absence of joint inflammation or persistent JCA make it unlikely that JCA was the cause.

Table 1 Summary of serological abnormalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month after onset of uveitis</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>ANA</th>
<th>Lymphocytes (×10⁹/l)</th>
<th>Parvovirus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>IgM+ IgG+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>IgM−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Weakly +</td>
<td>IgM− IgG+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>IgM− IgG+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Mild disc oedema, 14 months after onset of the uveitis. (A) Right eye, (B) left eye.
The incidence of uveitis in those under 10 years is low compared with adults with an age corrected incidence of 3/100 000 compared with 23/100 000 in adults (unpublished figures). A girl in the same school class as our patient developed a painful acute anterior uveitis within 1 month of the onset of disease in our index patient. She had no evidence of recent or previous parvovirus infection or any systemic inflammatory disease. It is possible that another infectious organism caused both cases.

CASE 1
A 7 year old Malaysian Chinese boy was referred to one of us (CL) with cloudy corneas since birth. His vision had always been poor but no strabismus developed. The pregnancy and developmental history were otherwise normal. There was no family history of ocular disease.
Examination revealed 6/18 part vision right and 6/36 left. Bilateral nasal epibulbar dermoids and reticular anterior stromal opacification were noted (Fig 1A).
Neither refraction nor a diagnostic contact lens improved vision. A left 7.5 mm lamellar corneal graft was secured onto a 7.5 mm bed with 16 interrupted, 10-0 nylon sutures. The graft epithelialised over 5 days, under Chloromycetin (chloramphenicol) cover.
The right eye was then patched for 6 hours daily. The sutures were removed at 6 weeks, and his vision improved to 6/18 right (4.00/ −5.00 × 20) and 6/18 left (−1.75/ −1.25 × 35).

PATCHING was continued and the patient was discharged to the referring hospital. Histopathology (Fig 1B) showed stromal opacification to a quarter thickness with a large number of vessels in the superficial stroma. The vessels are abnormal having only a single layer of endothelium and little other murine structure.

CASE 2
A 9 week old prematurely born white boy presented with an unsightly lump on his right eye. There were no other congenital abnormalities noted. A maternal uncle had a mass removed from one of his eyes, the nature of which was not known.
Examination revealed a small pale, yellow tumour 3 mm in diameter, on the superonasal limbus of the right eye with an adjacent corneal pannus (Fig 2A). The left eye was normal. Limbal dermoid was diagnosed and excised at 1 year of age. The eye healed well, with a small residual corneal scar. The corneal pannus was not removed and remained static (Fig 2A). Histopathology showed a goblet cell containing stratified, squamous, non-keratinising epithelium, overlying a spindle cell lesion that embraces islands of adipose tissue and occasional sweat gland elements.

At 18 months the right eye was found to be hypermetropic (+9.50) with associated exotropia (20 prism diptres) (visual acuity was unobtainable) managed with a contact lens and intermittent patching of the left eye. Aged 3, he had 2/60 vision right, 6/5 left and a 5 dioptre exotropia. Contact lens wear was intermittent and by the age of 3 years, the right eye was +4.00DS with 2/60 vision.

COMMENT
The incidence of corneal vascularisation with epibulbar dermoids is low. It has been reported with ectopic lacrimal tissue choristoma, which may resemble pterygia. The majority are unilateral and lie on the temporal cornea. One third are associated with multiple colobomata. Henkind et al reported two cousins born of sisters with unrelated husbands, who presented with bilateral corneal choristomata. Histology demonstrated a thickened cornea covered by a keratinising stratified squamous epithelium containing hair tufts. Other abnormalities included absence of Bowman's layer and stromal invasion by a dense vascularised collagenous tissue. Penetrating keratoplasty led to early rejection and graft opacification, but in the contralateral eye a lamellar keratoplasty resulted in rapid visual rehabilitation with low astigmatism (as in our case).
Neither of our cases had lacrimal tissue within the biopsies taken indicating that other forms of limbal dermoid may be associated with or possibly induce stromal vascularisation. Late referral for a specialist opinion occurred with both children. Early referral, especially in unilateral cases, is vital as lamellar keratoplasty and aggressive patching of the fellow eye could prevent amblyopia.

RICHARD NEWSOM
Sussex Eye Hospital, Brighton

CL is grateful to Mr John Dart for helpful discussions regarding the management of case 1.

Figure 2 Case 2. (A) Corneal vascularisation and stromal scar following resection of a peribulbar dermoid. (B) Histology showing stratified squamous epithelium overlying a spindle cell lesion that embraces islands of adipose tissue and sweat gland elements. Magnification ×400.

Figure 1 Case 1. (A) Temporal epibulbar choristoma with reticular anterior stromal opacification. (B) Histology from superficial keratotomy, demonstrating irregular keratinised epithelium and vascularised stromal tissue. Magnification ×400.
Herpes simplex virus (HSV-1) infection in a donor cornea

Editor,—According to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) studies1 up to 20% of organ culture donor corneas may contain herpes simplex virus (HSV) DNA. Only five HSV donor cornea infections, however, have been reported worldwide.2–5

The left cornea was fixed for microscopic examination. HSV-1 was detected by both methods in the medium of the left cornea but not of the right cornea. Histopathological examination confirmed unilateral total necrosis of the endothelium and the keratocytes. Immunohistochemical examination also gave an HSV-1 positive result. The right cornea was discarded 8 days later because of an insufficient endothelial cell count (below 2000).

COMMENT

This report illustrates for the first time in an organ culture cornea the course of an HSV-1 dendritic keratitis in the absence of immunological influence leading to complete necrosis of the endothelium and keratocytes. HSV-1 infection of the donor cornea was present already at the time of donor cornea excision supporting our hypothesis that horizontal transmission of HSV can only occur if active virus, and not only HSV-DNA fragments, is placed in organ culture. In addition to previously published reports we observed the typical clinical appearance of a dendritic corneal lesion in vitro. This provides compelling further evidence for HSV-1 infection of donor cornea as a cause for subsequent endothelial cell loss in organ culture.
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A case of acquired iris depigmentation as a possible complication of levobunolol eye drops

Editor,—Changes in iris pigmentation occur in relation to many circumstances, the most typical of which probably is a pigmentation associated with the prostaglandin analogue latanoprost. We report on a case of bilateral iris depigmentation with levobunolol eye drops and we suggest that changes in local iris prostaglandin concentrations may be responsible.

CASE REPORT

We report on the case of a 68 year old man who, after 5 years of using exclusively levobunolol eye drops, developed a complete depigmentation of his iris, reported that the colour of both of his eyes was changing from brown to blue. The drops were started 5 years ago for raised intraocular pressure when his intraocular pressures were found to be 32 mm Hg in each eye after a 5 year period of monitoring of ocular hypertension. Although there was no satisfactory photographic evidence of his eyes being brown previously, his service record stated that they once were.

Recent examination revealed that the stroma was indeed mostly depigmented with only a central area of brown pigmented stroma overlying the central ciliary area and a few small iris nevi in each eye (Fig 1A and B). Neither iris was atrophic. There were no tran-silumination defects and no pigment was deposited on the lens, cornea, or iridocorneal angle in either eye. There were no other signs suggestive of Horner’s syndrome and both anterior segments were quiet with no keratic precipitates. Both fundi appeared normal, except for cupped optic discs with cup to disc ratios of 0.8 right and left.

COMMENT

Iris colour depends on melanosomes present within the melanocytes of the anterior border and melanocytes of the iris stroma, as well as on the thickness of the iris stroma. Variation in the pigmentation of the iris pigment epithelium is not thought to play an important role.

Heterochromia has been widely described with congenital Horner’s syndrome especially after forcesps delivery and heterochromia has also been reported in longstanding acquired Horner’s syndrome in humans. The effects of iris depigmentation following cervical sympathetic pathectomy in rabbits and rodents has been described in 1919 by Callhou.3 The peripheral stroma is generally depigmented reflecting the distribution of sympathetic neurons in the above situations.

Levodulol is a non-selective blocker of both α1 and β2 receptors and is considered to be similar in its effects to timolol. It is tempting to speculate that 5 years of a pharmacologically induced state of Horner’s syndrome with the use of levobunolol eye drops has led to depigmentation of the irides in this case. Iris naevi appear not to be affected by congenital Horner’s syndrome appearing equally dark, as in our case, in affected and unaffected eyes.4,5 To the best of our knowledge, there has been no previous report of iris heterochromia following the use of timolol or levobunolol eye drops.

There has been recent interest in increased iris pigmentation following the use of latanoprost eye drops. Its side effect is increased melanosome synthesis without effects on...
melanocyte cell counts. It is possible that prostaglandin induced iris pigmentation may be the final pathway of the maintenance of iris pigmentation by endogenous catecholamines. Latanoprost has been shown to abolish sympathetocyt induced iris hypopigmentation in rabbits. Relatively low catecholamine levels may result in low prostaglandin levels and hence hypopigmentation, an effect which may be reversed by administration of latanoprost eye drops. Prostaglandins are also thought to mediate the lowering of intraocular pressure at 22–24 hours after surgical sympathectomy in rabbits. Further clinical and laboratory studies into the long term effects of topical adrenergic agents on iris pigmentation may confirm whether our isolated case of iris hypopigmentation is of significance and may provide insight into the relation between catecholamines and prostaglandins and their ocular effects.
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Phacoemulsification combined with silicone oil removal through posterior capsulorhexis

EDITOR,—Silicone oil is useful in the treatment of some cases of retinal detachment with severe proliferative vitreoretinopathy. With prolonged silicone lens contact, a permanent cataract will form in all cases. In order to reduce the incidence of postoperative complications in silicone filled eyes, many authors recommend that silicone oil should be removed from the eye as soon as a stable retinal situation is achieved.

We performed phacoemulsification and silicone oil removal through a planned posterior capsulorhexis.

CASE REPORTS
The retrospective study included 10 patients with proliferative vitreoretinopathy, seven females and three males, ranging in age from 12 to 82 years. Pars plana vitrectomy, membrane peeling, encircling band, and silicone oil tamponade were performed 6 months before removal of the silicone oil. The mean refractive error was –2.50 dioptres (range –10 to 0.50 dioptres).

Conventional phacoemulsification was performed and a central posterior capsulorhexis was performed using the capsulorhexis forceps. Silicone oil was removed through the posterior capsulorhexis with the irrigation aspiration handpiece and the corneal incision was not widened. In eight patients soft acrylic foldable lenses were implanted in the capsular bag. Two patients received an hparin modified poly(methylmethacrylate) lens, one into the capsular bag and one in the sulcus.
The preoperative intraocular lens (IOL) power calculation was performed with the modified SRKII formula, using the axial length of the fellow eye.

The mean follow up period after cataract surgery was 7.5 months. Prolapse of the iris and loss of the iris pigment epithelium did not occur during surgery. One posterior capsular rupture occurred during hydrodissection.

Two cases of transient postoperative corneal oedema were noted. One of the patients had recurrence of his retinal detachment 1 month after silicone oil removal. This patient underwent successful reattachment after silicone oil endotamponade.

The visual acuity improved in nine of the 10 eyes. Nine eyes achieved a best corrected visual acuity of 0.4 or better.

The postoperative refraction was more myopic than predicted by an average of 1.7 dioptres.

COMMENT

Silicone oil can cause anterior segment complications. There is evidence that these complications can be prevented by its early removal. Removal of silicone oil combined with cataract extraction reduces the number of surgical procedures.

After silicone oil removal the eyes were hypotonic during surgery. Foldable IOLs were implanted in eight eyes to reduce postoperative astigmatism. Silicone oil was observed to be adherent to the silicone IOL surface, for this reason we prefer implantation with the Acrysol IOL (Alcon).

Unpredictable refraction in eyes filled with silicone oil is the main problem. The use of specific sound velocities to calculate axial length has been proposed. IOL and coworkers first reported cataract surgery combined with transpupillary silicone oil removal through planned posterior capsulotomy. They removed the silicone oil by an essentially passive technique. The main difficulty of this technique was the prolapse of the iris. In our technique there was no widening of the corneal incision and iris prolapse did not occur. This technique avoided contamination of the operative field with the silicone oil. This method did not permit additional membrane peeling or retinal stabilisation and must be reserved for cases of stable retina with no or few recurrent membranes and closed retinal breaks.
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Modified Sheridan–Gardiner vision test with a semitransparent card

Editor,—Testing visual acuity in children can be difficult, largely because of their lack of knowledge of the alphabet. Their short attention span and poor cooperation also contribute. The Sheridan–Gardiner method is a very useful and widely used for testing vision in children, especially preschool children and those with learning difficulty.

The examiner shows letters of different sizes (corresponding to different levels of Snellen acuity) from a distance of 6 metres, to a child who holds a card with the same letters. Older children will point to the letter and turn the card to show it to the examiner. In most instances, however, the help of a second person is needed. This person indicates to the examiner whether or not the child has pointed to the correct letter.

In our modification of the Sheridan–Gardiner test, the child is given a semitransparent card with a tinge of pink colour. The letters are in black and can easily be seen against the pink background. This enables the examiner to directly visualise the letters pointed to by the child obviating the need of a second person (Fig 1).

The modified card was validated by comparing the results of visual acuity testing in 30 children (5–7 years old) each, by two school nurses. One nurse held the test cards at 6 metres and the other sat beside the child. The conventional method was first used and vision recorded. The modified card was then given to the child and the test repeated. In another group of 30 children, the nurses reversed roles and again both the conventional and modified cards were used.

With the conventional method only three out of 30 in one group and four out of 30 in the other group could be tested without the second person’s help. With the modified card, all children in each group could be tested easily and quickly and without the second person’s help.

In our opinion, use of the modified semitransparent card will improve the efficiency of testing vision in children.
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Corneal fleck dystrophy in an English family

Editor,—Flecks corneal dystrophy is a rare, stable, and usually asymptomatic condition. It is characterised by numerous small white flecks scattered in all layers of the stroma from the centre to the periphery. Francois and Neetens described the condition for the first time in 1957 and called it “héridity dystrophie mouchetée” while Streiten and Falls reported two families with the same condition in 1961 and translated the name to hereditary fleck dystrophy of the cornea. Only a few families have been reported in the literature and the dystrophy is thought to be rare, not well recognised, and easily missed by the ophthalmologist. We report on three affected members of an English family and comment on the differences with other described cases.

CASE REPORT

A 65 year old white man with no ocular history attended the eye casualty department for the treatment of a small right traumatic corneal abrasion. His best corrected visual acuities were 6/5 on the right and 6/6 on the left. Slit lamp examination revealed numerous bilateral small grey-white fleck-like opacities scattered in his corneal stroma at all levels.

Figure 1 Flecks (arrows) scattered in the corneal stroma at all levels.
with variable density and affecting both the central and peripheral cornea (Fig 1). There was clear intervening stroma between the lesions and associated anterior crocodile shagreen bilaterally. His epithelium and endothelium appeared normal and his corneal sensation was reduced. Other members in three generations of his family were examined. The patient's son and one sister also had decreased corneal sensation and associated anterior crocodile shagreen. On the other hand the patient's son had prominent corneal nerves but normal sensation and associated anterior crocodile shagreen. The only notable difference was a common and unilateral involvement of the two eyes is common and unilateral involvement has been noted. Patients are usually asymptomatic and a very few report occasional photophobia. The pathogenesis of this dystrophy remains obscure. Impaired or deficient hydrolytic enzymes within the kerocytes might be responsible for the abnormal accumulation of intracellular mucopolysaccharide and lipid. Extensive investigations failed to detect an underlying systemic metabolic abnormality and this condition might represent a localised storage disorder limited to the cornea and confined to the kerocytes. While our cases of hereditary fleck dystrophy are similar to those previously reported, the only notable difference is the presence of associated anterior crocodile shagreen in two patients and prominent corneal nerves in another. Because anterior crocodile shagreen is usually bilateral and seen in the elderly, its association with fleck dystrophy in two patients is likely to be a concurrent event due to chance only. On the other hand a familial and dystrophic form of posterior crocodile shagreen has been described as central cloudy dystrophy by François and found to be associated with fleck dystrophy in the same patient and in different members of the same family. Decreased corneal sensation initially reported by Bindoff and Ginsberg in 1972 is only present in two of our patients. This variable association has also been confirmed in other reports.

The importance of fleck dystrophy lies in its recognition as a non-progressive and benign condition compared with other inherited but progressive stromal dystrophies. The diagnosis should not be difficult in most cases. Its asymptomatic nature together with the distinctive forms and distribution of the stromal opacities can differentiate it easily from other known dystrophies such as Groenouw's granular dystrophy.

COMMENT

This is the first English family with corneal fleck dystrophy reported in the literature. Since the original description by François and Neetens' there have been a number of reports establishing the dominant inheritance and the variable expressivity of this benign condition. Only one family reported by Gillepsie and Covelli showed autosomal recessive inheritance with no apparent difference in phenotypic expression. According to the original description, the subtle, round, oval, or wreath-like lesions have sharp borders and vary in size and number. All levels of the stroma are affected with the lesions extending to the limbus. Asymmetrical involvement of the two eyes is common and unilateral involvement has been noted. Patients are usually asymptomatic and a very few report occasional photophobia. The importance of fleck dystrophy lies in its recognition as a non-progressive and benign condition compared with other inherited but progressive stromal dystrophies. The diagnosis should not be difficult in most cases. Its asymptomatic nature together with the distinctive forms and distribution of the stromal opacities can differentiate it easily from other known dystrophies such as Groenouw's granular dystrophy.

Figure 2 Pedigree of the English family with corneal fleck dystrophy.
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