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Abstract

Background—The strategy of pressure reducing surgery in primary congenital glaucoma has changed over the last decade. Ab externo filtering procedures—for example, trabeculectomy or trabeculotomy combined with trabeculectomy—have now been accepted even as primary intervention.

Methods—The authors reviewed 61 eyes in 55 consecutive patients with primary congenital glaucoma, who underwent different types of initial ab externo surgery between 1988 and 1996 (median follow up 36 months) to determine the efficacy of different surgical techniques and the influence of various risk factors.

Results—Trabeculotomy was performed in 17 eyes (27.9%), trabeculectomy with trabeculotomy in 15 eyes (24.6%), and trabeculectomy in 29 eyes (47.5%). Regarding age, preoperative intraocular pressure, corneal diameter, ocular axial length, and incidence of corneal haze the subgroups were comparable. Success rates of trabeculotomy, trabeculectomy, and a combined procedure did not significantly differ when assessed by life table analysis. Patient age under 3 months (p=0.014) and an ocular axial length of 24 mm or more (p=0.016) proved to be major risk factors for primary ab externo surgery failure. A second operation was necessary in 20 of 61 eyes (32.8%) during follow up.

Conclusion—Prognosis of primary ab externo glaucoma surgery in primary congenital glaucoma seems to be governed more by the individual course and severity of the disease than by modification of surgical techniques.

Strategies of antiglaucomatous surgery in primary congenital glaucoma have always been governed by historical trends. Goniotomy clinically introduced by Barkan in the 1940s was undoubtedly a great step forward in the surgical management of congenital glaucoma. However, good visibility of the chamber angle structures and considerable surgical experience are required for this delicate kind of ab interno surgery. Even if a good gonioscopic view is achieved by corneal epithelial removal, the handling with the goniotomy knife carries particular risks (for example, inadvertent damage to the lens and cyclodialysis) in the intraoperatively flattened anterior chamber of newborns. In the 1970s and 1980s trabeculotomy became an established alternative ab externo procedure in the surgical treatment of congenital glaucoma. This method of pressure reducing surgery does not depend on the visualisation of the chamber angle structures through an often cloudy cornea. However, an abnormally stretched anatomy of the limbus in congenital glaucoma frequently makes it difficult to clearly identify the lumen of Schlemm’s canal that has to be cannulated for the trabeculotomy. Trabeculotomy can also be modified to a filtering procedure by excising a block of scleral tissue as in routine trabeculectomy. This trabeculotomy combined with trabeculectomy has been performed as a planned procedure in developmental glaucoma by different surgeons with reasonable results. Finally, in the late 1980s and in the 1990s much better results for trabeculotomy in cases of primary congenital glaucoma were presented than those published in the decades before. Therefore, filtering procedures once again became a promising alternative for surgical management of congenital glaucoma and today are generally accepted even as primary surgical intervention. The fact that most ophthalmic surgeons are relatively familiar with this technique is a further reason for applying trabeculotomy to primary surgery for congenital glaucoma.

The aim of our study was to investigate the outcome of trabeculotomy, trabeculectomy, and a combined procedure as initial surgical treatment in primary congenital glaucoma over the past decade in patients treated in our department.

Patients and methods

The operating room records of the Department of Ophthalmology, University of Cologne, were used to identify all consecutive patients undergoing primary pressure reducing surgery in primary congenital glaucoma during the past decade in our department.
surgery for primary congenital glaucoma from the middle of 1988 to 1996; patients with a history of previous surgery, with complex ocular syndromes (for example, Axenfeld–Rieger syndrome, aniridia, Sturge–Weber syndrome) or with any suspicion of secondary glaucoma were excluded.

Diagnosis of primary congenital glaucoma was always established by an examination under general anaesthesia using halothane. Informed consent for pressure reducing surgery was obtained from the parents at least 1 day before examination under anaesthesia.

Indication for pressure reducing surgery was established if four or more of the following criteria were fulfilled: (1) typical symptoms (epiphora, photophobia, blepharospasm), (2) cloudy cornea, (3) increased intraocular pressure (>18 mm Hg by application or >23 mm Hg by indentation under deep general anaesthesia with halothane), (4) abnormally increased corneal diameter or ocular axial length in comparison with the growth curve of normal eyes, (5) if visible, a pathological excavation of the optic disc and dysgenetic signs of the chamber angle—for example, mesodermal remnants or fine iris roots, (6) primary congenital glaucoma of the contralateral eye.

Success of pressure reducing surgery was determined by an intraocular pressure <18 mm Hg under general anaesthesia or <21 mm Hg in the awake child measured by applation, stable axial length, improvement or at least stability of the optic disc excavation, and clearing of the cornea. Our definition of success excluded any antiglaucomatous medical treatment. Visual function was not taken as a criterion, since the mean age of patients included in the study was too young to obtain reliable results concerning visual acuity or perimetry.

Choice of surgical approach depended on two particular factors: (1) the year in which surgery was performed (Fig 1), as primary trabeculectomy alone was not introduced until 1993, while trabeculotomy was the standard procedure in the late 1980s; (2) the intraoperative identification of Schlemm’s canal: in at least four eyes an intended trabeculotomy or combined procedure turned into a trabeculectomy because Schlemm’s canal could not be localised. Pressure reducing interventions were performed by different surgeons all experienced in the field of paediatric eye surgery under supervision of the same senior surgeon (GKK). All initial pressure reducing ab externo interventions took place under general anaesthesia. A limbus based conjunctival flap was dissected in one of the upper quadrants without excision of Tenon’s capsule. Subsequently, a lamellar rectangular scleral flap of 4 × 4 mm size was dissected crossing the grey-white borderline zone into the clear cornea. In the case of trabeculotomy a radial incision was done to find Schlemm’s canal. A McPherson trabeculotome was inserted into the lumen of Schlemm’s canal on either side of the incision, if possible, and carefully rotated into the anterior chamber with the other parallel arm as a guide. In the case of trabeculectomy, after reaching the grey-white border and limbal clear cornea a trabeculectomy piece of 1 × 3 mm size was excised with the diamond knife and a small peripheral iridectomy was performed. In the case of trabeculotomy with trabeculectomy, both procedures were conducted subsequently as described. Finally, the scleral flap was loosely refixed using two 10-0 nylon sutures. The conjunctiva was closed with a running 8-0 Vicryl suture. The anterior chamber was filled with a balanced salt solution and the blood was washed out. Surgery was completed by a subconjunctival injection of dexamethasone and mezlocillin, and the eye was dressed with atropine and antibiotic corticosteroid ointment. Postoperative treatment comprised topical administration of combined antibiotic corticosteroid medication for 7–10 days.

Follow up data were collected from patients’ charts, by direct contact with the external ophthalmologist and by voluntary re-examination in our department.

Statistical analysis was performed using the software program PRISM Version 2.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc, USA). For evaluation of the postoperative outcome, Kaplan–Meier survival curves for censored data were plotted, and significance levels determined using the log rank test. Differences of distribution for different risk factors between the subgroups treated by different ab externo techniques were assessed by means of the Kruskal–Wallis test.
Results

Surgical Outcome

Primary pressure reducing surgery in patients with newly diagnosed primary congenital glaucoma was performed in 61 eyes (32 right eyes, 29 left eyes) of 35 patients. Among the 35 patients with primary congenital glaucoma 21 (60%) were male and 14 (40%) were female. Unilateral glaucoma was found in nine patients (25.7%), and bilateral glaucoma in 26 (74.3%).

Primary trabeculotomy was performed in 17 eyes (27.9%) of 11 patients, primary trabeculectomy in 29 eyes (47.5%) of 17 patients, and primary combined trabeculotomy-trabeculectomy in 15 eyes (24.6%) of 10 patients. In 22 patients with bilateral glaucoma the same type of surgery was conducted in both eyes. Only in four patients were different techniques of surgery performed in the two eyes (combined procedure and trabeculotomy or trabeculectomy). Age at initial surgery and follow up with range of values are indicated in Table 1. The difference regarding age at initial surgery was not significant \((p=0.54)\), while in follow up of the subgroups clear differences were found \((p<0.001)\) owing to the fact that trabeculectomy only became a primary procedure in recent years (1993–6). Distribution of various clinical factors were similar for all surgical subgroups assessed by the Kruskal–Wallis test as indicated in Table 2.

Kaplan–Meier survival analysis (Fig 2) revealed no significant difference in the surgical outcome between trabeculotomy and trabeculectomy \((\log \text{rank test} = 0.5)\), nor between the combined procedure and trabeculotomy \((\log \text{rank test} = 0.37)\) or trabeculectomy \((\log \text{rank test} = 0.25)\). Success rates after 6, 24, and 60 months are indicated in Table 3. During follow up 20 eyes (32.8%) of 14 patients required secondary pressure reducing surgery. Combined trabeculotomy-trabeculectomy was performed in one eye, the remaining cases were treated by trabeculectomy as secondary intervention. Secondary filtering procedures (Fig 3) showed a significantly higher failure rate than primary trabeculectomy \((p=0.03)\).

Taking into consideration large axial length and corneal diameters, high intraocular pressure, and young age at time of surgery as independent risk factors for surgical failure, we studied the outcome in patients with a specific risk factor by life table analysis. Buphthalmic eyes in patients younger than 3 months \((n=20, \ p=0.014)\) and with an axial length of >24 mm \((n=12, \ p=0.016)\) actually had a significantly different outcome from the remaining eyes (Figs 4 and 5), while the outcome in patients with corneal diameter of >14 mm \((n=14, \ p=0.44)\) and a preoperative intraocular pressure of >24 mm Hg under general anaesthesia \((n=13, \ p=0.41)\) was not significantly different. Outcome in Turkish or Arabian patients with glaucomatous eyes who underwent pressure reducing surgery was not significantly worse \((n=10; \ p=0.165)\) than that in white patients.

Complications

Chamber angle bleeding with small hyphaema occurred in 11 eyes (65%) during trabeculotomy, in four eyes (27%) during combined trabeculotomy-trabeculectomy, and in seven eyes (24%) during primary trabeculectomy. The blood was usually absorbed within the first postoperative day. Prolapse of ciliary processes was reported for two eyes during trabeculectomy (7%) and for one eye during the combined procedure (6%). Postoperative iris incarceration was reported in one eye following trabeculotomy with trabeculectomy (6%) and for one eye during the combined procedure (6%). Postoperative iridocorneal contact occurred in one eye following trabeculotomy with trabeculectomy (6%) and in three eyes after trabeculectomy (11%), but pressure reducing surgery was successful in each of the four eyes.

Severe postoperative complications (within 6 months after surgery) comprised subluxation of the crystalline lens in one eye following pri-
makes it very difficult to convince parents to include their diseased child in a randomised prospective study. Since no significant differences were found in the distribution of well-known risk factors among the subgroups treated by trabeculotomy, trabeculectomy, or the combined procedure we were able to attempt a statistical comparison of outcome between these surgical subgroups.

Criteria for surgical success in the treatment of congenital glaucoma mostly comprise resolution of the corneal oedema, stabilisation of the horizontal corneal diameters, axial length, and the cup-disc ratio, while tolerable intraocular pressure varies from 14 up to 22 mm Hg. Prognosis of any surgery in primary congenital glaucoma is strongly influenced by the individual risk factors of the patients included into study. A trabeculectomy study performed by Fulcher and coworkers included no patients with congenital glaucoma younger than 3 months and no patients who had undergone previous surgery, while in Beauchamps and Parks’ study proclaiming poor prognosis for trabeculectomy in congenital glaucoma, most patients were older than 4 years and had already undergone multiple operations. In our study 25 eyes (41%) showed clinical manifestation and underwent primary glaucoma surgery within the first 3 months after birth. This has to be kept in mind when comparing our success rates after 2 and 5 years with other published studies.

Depending on follow up and risk profile in the study patients the success rates published in the literature for primary trabeculotomy and for trabeculectomy in congenital glaucoma range between 50% and more than 90%. This is in line with results published earlier for goniotomy. Debnath at al., who clearly described the distribution of risk factors in their study group, found a 1 year success rate of 54% for trabeculectomy compared with 67% for trabeculotomy. However, this difference was not significant. The authors concluded that their high failure rate might be influenced by an ethnic factor which is well known for scarring after trabeculectomy in non-white people. Besides, the portion of patients manifesting glaucoma soon after birth was relatively high in this study and obviously predisposed to poor results for both procedures in general. Elder, who exclusively studied Palestinian patients younger than 1 year, reported better results for combined trabeculotomy-trabeculectomy procedures (93.5%) after 2 years compared with trabeculectomy; however, the incidence of corneal haze in the trabeculectomy group was obviously higher (82% versus 56%), whereas other preoperative factors (for example, age, intraocular pressure, corneal diameters) were similar in both groups. The author explained the superior outcome of the combined procedure by improving outflow through the trabecular meshwork as well as through the sclera. Although combined procedures likewise seemed to have a favourable outcome after 2 years in our study, the advantage of this procedure over trabeculectomy was far from statisti-
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detachment, and scleral collapse.16 Vitreous
prolapse with subluxation of the lens and uvei-
tis as possible risks of trabeculectomy were also
indicated by Rao et al,17 while shallow anterior
chamber and hyphaema as the most common
postoperative complications always resolved
spontaneously. In contrast with these studies
other groups reported much better results sev-
years after primary trabeculectomy for
congenital glaucoma. Burke and Bowell re-
ported a surgical success in 18 of 21 eyes
(86%) with congenital glaucoma after a mean
follow up of nearly 4 years11; in the same
patients group Fulcher et al even observed a 5
year success rate of 92% after the first
trabeculectomy in 13 eyes with primary
congenital glaucoma, although no patients
younger than 4 months of life, nor patients
with a corneal diameter larger than 13.5 mm
were treated in this study.13 The rate of
re-surgery (34%) after initial trabeculectomy
in the study of Detry-Morel and Feron was
similar to ours; they also underlined poor
prognosis for neonatal forms of congenital
glaucoma and highly myopic eyes.12

Although primary congenital glaucoma is
described as an entity with the leading
pathological feature of trabeculodysgenesis
resulting in pathologically increased outflow
obstruction,22 23 the starting point for pressure
reducing surgery varies considerably and the
prognosis of any surgery is thought to be influ-
enced by the individual nature of dysgenesis.5 11 15 25 Pronounced symptoms of
high intraocular pressure during the first days
of life in a neonate are a limiting factor for the
outcome of pressure reducing surgery in
congenital glaucoma.12 14 21 Russell-Eggitt et al
also reported a bad outcome for goniotomy in
very young patients with congenital glaucoma,
thereby confirming the importance of age for
individual prognosis whatever the pressure
reducing surgery.15

Any association with other ocular or sys-
temic abnormalities evidently worsens surgical
prognosis in congenital glaucoma.15 24 Axial
length of the eye is also a critical factor,
whereas corneal diameter was not found to
influence outcome in our study. Possibly,
because of the stretched limbal anatomy, the
reading of the corneal diameter by calipers
is not as precise as A-scan measurement of the
axial length. Lack of prognostic power for the
preoperative intraocular pressure should
mainly be attributed to measurement in deep
general anaesthesia.27 A massive decrease of
intraocular pressure (IOP) has been shown
in animal models after application of halothane.27
Consequently, it is important to consider that
normal intraocular pressure in children ranges
between 9 and 12 mm Hg under general
anaesthesia with halothane. IOP measure-
ments between 16 and 18 mm Hg might
already be an indication of glaucoma when
using halothane. Ketamine anaesthesia avoids
this considerable decrease of IOP, but can lead
transiently to a minor increase of IOP.16
Ketamine anaesthesia in young children was
abandoned in our department of anaesthesi-
ology owing to the relatively long lasting effects
of ketamine and the safety of short anaesthesia
with volatile halothane.

Although trabeculectomy is widely per-
formed by many ophthalmic surgeons, this
procedure remains technically difficult in cases
of congenital glaucoma as the limbal anatomy
is usually distorted and the sclera extraordin-
arily thin.13 This can lead to inadvertent scleral
perforation during preparation of the scleral
flap. Another potential risk can be the prolapse
of vitreous or ciliary body processes through
the peripheral iridectomy into the trabeculec-
tomy opening, especially with a primarily
dislocated lens. Retinal detachment after filter-
ing surgery in two patients of our group clearly
demonstrated the high susceptibility to retinal
tears of the stretched buphthalmic eye. Rice
also reported several cases of retinal detach-
ment in his large series of 246 eyes with
congenital glaucoma after goniotomy,26
although the postoperative risk for retinal tears
should be higher in filtering procedures owing
to frequent postoperative hypotony of the eye.
Considering clinical results after goniotomy it
seems reasonable to perform goniotomy or
similar pressure reducing ab interno surgery
(for example, endoscopically guided goni-
otomy or laser goniotomy)27–29 as a primary
intervention, because the conjunctiva is not
damaged and thus future surgery is not preju-
idiced. In order to avoid the use of antimetabo-
lites for as long as possible, a surgical strategy
sparing the conjunctiva from scarring at an
eye stage would be desirable in primary con-
genital glaucoma, since future re-surgery has
always to be taken into account.31 Application
of mitomycin or fluorouracil in young children
with a long life expectancy is associated with
the risk of late toxicity and potential mutagen-
ity of these antimetabolites, even though the
filtering procedures combined with the use of
mitomycin have become more and more
routine in antiglaucomatous surgery.32–34

Besides, rupture of thin walled blebs, wound
leakage, late endophthalmitis, and long stand-
ing hypotony might be further deleterious
complications of filtering procedures with
mitomycin.35–37 However, in refractory cases
the use of antimetabolites or other methods38
will prevent scarring is avoidable where
goniosurgery and ab externo procedures with-
out antimetabolites have failed.

We conclude that the different options for ab
externo glaucoma surgery do not clearly influ-
ence the outcome of surgery in primary
genital glaucoma. Moreover, early manifes-
tation and large ocular dimensions are the key
to a limited prognosis of any pressure reducing
surgery in primary congenital glaucoma. As
re-surgery is often inevitable in congenital
glaucoma owing to long life expectancy, a step-
wise surgical strategy has to be devised,
starting with ab interno surgery and proceeding to conventional ab externo procedures, before using antimetabolites and cyclodestructive methods in refractory cases.
