LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Viridans group Streptococcus subretinal abscess

EDITOR,—Subretinal abscess is an unusual entity, occurring primarily in immunocompromised individuals. Reported causative organisms include fungi and Gram negative rods.

Here we report a subretinal infection resulting from the Gram positive organism viridans group Streptococcus in a pancytopenic patient.

CASE REPORT
A 56 year old man undergoing chemotherapy for IgO multiple myeloma presented with 3 days of fever. He denied injecting drug abuse. He had a temperature of 101.5°F; poor dentition, a quiet indwelling catheter site on the chest, and no cardiac murmur. He was pancytopenic with a haematocrit of 14.2% (normal 39–49%), white blood cell count of 0.2 x 10^9/l (normal 4.5–11.0), absolute neutrophil count of 0.1 x 10^9/l (normal 1.8–6.8), and platelet count of 12 x 10^9/l (normal 150–450). Chest x-ray and urinalysis were clear. Empirical treatment with intravenous vancomycin and cefazidime was initiated.

The next day the patient reported decreased vision in the left eye. Visual acuity in the right eye was 20/25 and light perception in the left. The anterior segments were clear. Several cotton wool spots and blot intraretinal haemorrhages were present in the right eye. In the left eye, yellow white subretinal exudate was observed detaching two thirds of the retina; the vitreous was clear. Vitreous tap was unsuccessful. Since blood cultures had grown Gram positive cocci in pairs and chains that were subsequently identified as viridans group Streptococcus sensitive to penicillin and vancomycin, the left eye was injected intravitreally with vancomycin 1.0 mg/0.1 ml. Despite two further intravitreal injections of vancomycin, the patient’s left eye rapidly lost light perception and developed increasing vitreous haze (Fig 1). Repeat vitreous tap revealed Gram positive cocci in pairs and chains, although cultures grew no organisms.

The patient remained febrile and his mental status declined during treatment for presumed bacterial endocarditis. (He was too ill to undergo transthoracic echocardiography.) Head computed tomogram revealed multiple brain lesions consistent with septic emboli. On day 10, the left eye was eviscerated and the indwelling catheter removed because of concern these foci might represent persistent infectious reservoirs. The patient died of sepsis on day 16. Family members declined necropsy.

Histopathological examination of the evisceration specimen revealed fragments of subretinal exudate with virtually no inflammatory cells. (B) Gram stain shows numerous Gram positive cocci in clusters and individually in the inner retina (arrow) and the subretinal space.

Figure 1 Fundus photograph on hospital day 4. Left eye exhibits subretinal exudative material detaching two thirds of the retina. The arrow indicates the optic disc.

Figure 2 Histological sections of evisceration specimen. (A) Haematoxylin and eosin stain (×40) reveals coagulative necrosis of the inner retina. Arrow indicates outer nuclear layer. Asterisk indicates subretinal exudate with virtually no inflammatory cells. (B) Gram stain (×40) shows numerous Gram positive cocci in clusters and individually in the inner retina (arrow) and the subretinal space.

Comment
Viridans group Streptococcus (VGS) is a normal constituent of the oral flora. VGS is the leading cause of native valve endocarditis in non-injecting drug abusers and is also an increasing problem in neutropenic cancer patients. In adults undergoing chemotherapy for cancer up to 30% of bacteraemias result from VGS, with mortality rates of up to 30%. The most common source of VGS bacteraemias is the oropharynx.

Treatment guidelines for subretinal abscess are unclear. Although one of the most feared complications of vitrectomy surgery for endophthalmitis is retinal break leading to retinal detachment, successful vitrectomy with internal drainage has been described. We did not pursue vitrectomy surgery because a causative organism was identified, the vitreous was initially clear, and the patient was moribund. In retrospect, the absence of an inflammatory cell response in the retina (Fig 2), presumably because of severe pancytopenia, suggests sterilisation of the eye by any means would have been extremely difficult if not impossible.

The clinical picture of our patient was that of subretinal abscess. The absence of inflammatory cells in the pathological specimen, however, defines the subretinal exudate as non-pyurulent and primarily proteinaceous in nature.
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Inferior oblique myectomy using monopolar cutting diathermy resulting in bilateral retinal scarring

**EDITOR,—**Modern inferior oblique surgery is considered to be a safe procedure with little chance of serious complications. Monopolar diathermy is known to be a potentially dangerous tool if used inappropriately or inadvertently. There have been cases of fires, explosions caused by igniting bowel gas during laparoscopic surgery, and patient death caused by interference with a cardiac pacemaker. Our case demonstrates once again that an inadequate understanding of equipment or its inappropriate use can cause significant injury to the patient.

**CASE REPORT**

A 22 year old woman presented herself to Moorfields eye casualty department with a 2 day history of seeing a blob in front of her left eye. Her acuity was 6/6 right eye and 6/12 left eye corrected with no improvement with pinhole. Examination revealed left vitreous haemorrhage with bilateral choriretinal scars in the temporal periphery slightly below the horizontal in each eye (Fig 1, top). The scars roughly corresponded to the possible insertion of the inferior oblique muscles. She had no medical problems, was on no medication, but had a family history of retinal detachment. She had had bilateral inferior oblique myectomy 6 years earlier. Her acuity improved to 6/6 bilaterally when the vitreous haemorrhage had cleared 4 months later but she was left with bilateral scotomas. There was evidence of anomalous vessels in the large scar in the left eye but no leakage was seen on fluorescein angiography (Fig 1, bottom). These vessels have not been treated with laser therapy but are being observed regularly in clinic. She had normal pupillary responses to light. The surgeon who performed the inferior oblique myectomy was contacted and confirmed that it was their normal practice to use monopolar diathermy to cut the muscle during this type of surgery.

**COMMENT**

Monopolar diathermy works by forming an electrical current from the indifferent electrode plate (usually attached to the patient’s thigh) to the forceps or blade held by the surgeon. Current flows through the body creating thermal damage in the tissues near the forceps or knife resulting in coagulation. There have been case reports of current flowing in this way causing unexpected damage proximal to the forceps particularly when operating on vascular structures in which a lot of current must flow through a small amount of tissue. This situation has occurred during circumcision operations and in some cases total ablation of the penis has resulted. Similar damage may occur during bowel surgery resulting in pedicle injury. Current flows particularly well through blood vessels which means that vascular structures are at particularly high risk of damage from this mechanism. Bipolar diathermy is generally speaking a much safer way to cauterise tissue. Current only flows between the tips of the diathermy forceps rather than through the rest of the patient. This makes collateral damage much less likely to occur.

The normal insertion of the inferior oblique muscle is oblique with the convexity upwards and is predominantly below the horizontal meridian, with which it may make an angle of from 15° to 20°. The main variation in insertion is in the degree of obliquity and convexity of the curve but the insertion may often show gross irregularities such as angular serrations or dehiscences (Fig 2). The insertion is usually between 8 and 10 mm long. The macula is usually 1-2 mm posterior and 1 mm superior to the posterior tip of the inferior oblique insertion. Although at first sight our patient’s scars do not seem to directly correspond to this position there are a number of possible explanations. Firstly, this patient required vertical squint surgery so it is possible that her inferior oblique muscles did not have a normal site of insertion. Another factor might be the angle of traction on the muscle when the diathermy was being applied. The forward pull on the muscle would have brought it into close contact with the globe along some of its length anteriorly and this may have resulted in heat at the peripheral site of the scarring. Finally, there are other structures in close proximity to the muscles such as blood vessels (vortex veins), nerves, and their accompanying fibrous tissue all of which could have played a part in conducting current and causing a burn. The muscle belly where diathermy is applied has a larger cross sectional area than the insertion or place of approximation of the tendon to the globe. This results in a relatively large current flowing through a small cross sectional area which can easily result in a burn as it sometimes does in circumcision surgery.

A variety of techniques are used for weakening or severing the inferior oblique muscle in the treatment of vertical gaze deviations; these include myotomy, myectomy, disinsertion, denervation, and recession. Myotomy and denervation using cutting diathermy has been described and in one series of 86 patients who underwent thermo-electric weakening there was no mention of any visual complications. This procedure was carried out using an “electric knife” but it is not specified whether this was a monopolar or dipolar device.

Internal ophthalmoplegia has been described as a complication of inferior oblique myectomy using what was probably bipolar cautery and the most likely cause of this was thought to be excessive stretching of the nerve to the inferior oblique with secondary trauma to the ciliary ganglion.

In our case we believe that the potential of the monopolar diathermy to cause damage may have been exacerbated by stretching the inferior oblique muscle while using the cutting diathermy on it. This would have reduced the cross sectional area causing greater resistance to flow consequently generating more heat in the muscle including its site of origin which is usually in close proximity to the macula. Although difficult to prove this we have no
other explanation for the development of bilateral symmetrical choriotelial scars in this otherwise healthy young woman.

Monopolar electrocautery has been used successfully for many years by ophthalmologists particularly in the field of oculoplastics and should not be abandoned. However, we believe that monopolar diathermy should not be used during inferior oblique surgery as monopolar cutting seems to offer little advantage over the use of bipolar cautery and a conventional blade and has the potential to cause significant retinal injury.

B J L BURTON
A M P HAMILTON
Moorfields Eye Hospital, City Road, London EC1V 2PD
Accepted for publication 14 September 1998

Letters


Ultrasound biomicroscopy in juvenile xanthogranuloma of the iris

EDITOR,—Juvenile xanthogranuloma (JXG) is a rare disorder of infants and very young children affecting the ocular structures, particularly the iris.

It is characterised by spontaneous hyphaema associated with a yellowish, poorly demarcated iris tumour and increased intraocular pressure; the aetiology is unknown. Several reports have described cases of juvenile xanthogranuloma with development of increased intraocular pressure and hyphaema associated with a yellowish, poorly demarcated iris tumour and increased intraocular pressure. In these cases, the condition was diagnosed clinically by the presence of an iris mass and a uveitic reaction. However, it is possible that in some cases the diagnosis was made on histological examination of the iridocorneal angle or ciliary body, UBM findings with the histopathological pattern being consistent with a juvenile xanthogranuloma.

In our case, UBM was the major technique used to differentiate JXG from iris melanoma, which generally show more variable internal reflectivity patterns including a linear or highly reflective pattern in the superficial layer and lobulated appearance with internal space representing blood vessels.

In our case, UBM was the major technique which allowed us to determine the characteristics, thickness, location, and possible spread of the lesion. By defining the precise boundaries of the lesion and ruling out involvement of the iridocorneal angle or ciliary body, UBM

Figure 1 Juvenile xanthogranuloma of the iris. Left eye yellowish brown lesions with an irregular surface on the nasal side.

Figure 2 Ultrasound biomicroscopy of the juvenile xanthogranuloma lesion of the iris showing a free margin between the lesion and the angle; the ciliary body is not involved. Note the homogeneous reflectivity of the tumour mass.

(UBM) to define precisely the location of the tumour before excision and to correlate the UBM findings with the histopathological pattern.

Figure 3 Cellular mass consisting of numerous histiocytes, a few lymphocytes, plasma cells, iris melanocytes, and Touton giant cells (arrows). Haematoxylin and eosin; original magnification ×120.

COMMENT

The present study shows the importance of preoperative UBM evaluation in identifying the nature and location of any iris lesion in childhood, before surgical excision is performed.

The homogeneous cellularity of the whole mass together with the absence of significant vascular channels on histology correlated with the homogeneous reflectivity on the UBM. The slightly irregular surface of the tumour and lack of vascular channels helps to differentiate JXG from iris melanoma, which generally show more variable internal reflective patterns including a linear or highly reflective pattern in the superficial layer and lobulated appearance with internal space representing blood vessels.

In our case, UBM was the major technique which allowed us to determine the characteristics, thickness, location, and possible spread of the lesion. By defining the precise boundaries of the lesion and ruling out involvement of the iridocorneal angle or ciliary body, UBM...
A traumatic “peripheral iridotomy” protects against pigment dispersion and glaucoma

EDITOR,—Pigment dispersion syndrome is an autosomal dominant condition which leads to pigmentary glaucoma in up to 50% of cases. Campbell1 was the first to propose that posterior bowing of the peripheral iris with chafing against the ciliary body was responsible for the liberation of iris pigment which recent developments in high resolution ultrasound biomicroscopy appear to bear out. Current theories suggest that the cause of this posterior iris bowing is “reverse pupil block”, in which the iris acts as a flap valve against the anterior surface of the lens allowing aqueous to pass from posterior to anterior chamber but not vice versa.

Pigment dispersion syndrome is a bilateral, symmetric disorder and unilaterality or asymmetry should prompt further investigation as to the cause.2 An unusual case of unilateral pigmentary glaucoma is presented which is the first to report the possible long term effects of a peripheral iridotomy in pigment dispersion syndrome both may also be alleviated in the same way.

CASE REPORT

A 39 year old man was referred after his optometrician had noted elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) in his right eye. He was myopic and had sustained a blunt injury to his left eye at the age of 8 after which the vision in that eye had been poor.

His corrected visual acuity was right eye 6/5 Snellen −1.25/−3.25×180 and left eye 6/24 Snellen −1.25/−1.50×175. IOPs at presentation were 35 mm Hg right and 20 mm Hg left. He had been deeply pigmented irides bilaterally. His right eye showed inferocentral pigment deposition on the corneal endothelium (Krukenberg’s spindle) and mid-peripheral radial iris transillumination defects. The left cornea was clear and the only iris defects visible were a 2 clock hour iridodialysis and a partially denervated, ruptured iris sphincter muscle. Both anterior chambers appeared equally deep and gonioscopy revealed a wide open angle with a posteriorly inserted iris root in both eyes. In the left eye, on the borders of the dialysis only, there had probably been some iris recession. Heavy circumferential trabecular pigmentation was present in the right eye but no pigment was seen on the left. The right optic disc (Fig 1) was deeply cupped (vertical C/D 0.6/7) while the left optic disc (Fig 2) was not cupped but was slightly pale and surrounded by chorioretinal scarring. Right eye threshold visual field examination was suggestive of superior nasal step scotoma while the left showed an inferior altitudinal defect. A diagnosis of right pigmentary glaucoma was made and he was immediately started upon levobunolol twice daily in both eyes.

COMMENT

Much in the same way as conventional pupil block can be alleviated by an iridotomy (providing an alternative aqueous pathway and equalising anterior and posterior chamber IOP), it is suggested that “reverse pupil block” may also be alleviated in the same way. There is now evidence that laser peripheral iridotomy in pigment dispersion syndrome both restores a more planar iris configuration3 and may prevent the development of ocular hypertension.4 However, long term studies are awaited.

This report illustrates the effect of a traumatic iridotomy occurring in childhood in an individual who was destined subsequently to develop pigment dispersion syndrome and glaucoma. It would appear that the lack of pigment dispersion and optic disc cupping in the previously damaged eye provides evidence for the long term efficacy of laser peripheral iridotomy in this condition.
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Inadvertent exposure of corneal endothelium to 5-fluorouracil

EDITOR,—The use of postoperative subconjunctival 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) injection to inhibit scarring after glaucoma filtering surgery is now commonplace. Uncomplicated subconjunctival 5-FU injection is unlikely to produce endothelial toxicity, as post-injection anterior chamber drug levels remain low.5 However, inadvertent intraocular exposure can be toxic to the cornea.6 We report a case of postoperative subconjunctival 5-FU injection with accidental passage of the drug directly into the anterior chamber.

CASE REPORT

A left trabeculectomy was performed on a 72 year old white woman using peroperative 5-FU (25 mg/ml). Two days later the patient rubbed her eye and the anterior chamber became transiently flat. Over the next 4 weeks the drainage bleb encysted, and the patient received 5 mg (0.2 ml) 5-FU into the superior bulbar conjunctiva. Immediately after injection the intraocular pressure (IOP) dropped from 9 to 3 to 10 mm Hg, and air bubbles from the syringe were seen in the anterior chamber. It was clear that 5-FU had gained direct intraocular access. The anterior chamber was washed out with balanced salt solution within 30 minutes of exposure. The next day the IOP measured 15 mm Hg and the cornea was clear. Two uneventful subconjunctival injections of 5-FU (5 mg) were subsequently administered.

Eleven months after glaucoma surgery the patient underwent endocapsular cataract extraction and lens implant with one further peroperative subconjunctival 5-FU (5 mg) injection.

One month after the initial glaucoma surgery corneal specular microscopy was normal, although patient photophobia prevented detailed assessment. A second specular micrograph performed before cataract surgery revealed a central cell density of 2390 cells/mm², an average cell size of 430 µm, and a cell variation of 40%. Two years after the glaucoma drainage operation a third specular micrograph revealed a left eye central cell density of 1878 cells/mm², (right eye 2238 cells/mm²), an average cell size of 530 µm. (right eye 2238 cells/mm²), an average cell size of 530 µm.
(right eye 447 µm) and cell variation of 44% (right eye 41%). Endothelial polymegathism was noted (Fig IB). The corneal thickness measured 0.46 µm in the left eye and 0.42 µm in the right. The corrected visual acuity was 6/9 and the IOP 18 mm Hg on no therapy.

COMMENT
There are two possible mechanisms by which 5-FU may compromise cellular function. The first is inhibition of cell replication, and the second a toxic effect, either by direct drug action or an alkali effect (pH 8.9). Corneal endothelial cell toxicity has been reported in in vitro animal studies. However, the in vitro model does not allow for aqueous dilution, aqueous turnover, or aqueous pH buffer effects. In addition, corneal endothelial cells replicate in animal models, unlike their human counterparts. Direct and/or alkali toxicity is the more probable mechanism in the human cornea.

Two other cases of 5-FU gaining direct access to the anterior chamber have been reported in humans. The authors described the use of subconjunctival 5-FU (50 mg/ml) after a bleb needling procedure. Anterior chamber washout was not performed and severe corneal oedema developed at day 1 which resolved completely after 6 months. Increased endothelial cell pleomorphism was noted.

In our case report, the significant fall in intraocular pressure after injection suggests that the needle entered the bleb cyst, increased intracyst pressure, and enabled 5-FU to enter the anterior chamber.

The first and second specular micrograph findings indicate that the endothelium was relatively undamaged by 5-FU exposure, and the drop in cell count noted in the third micrograph is compatible with published reports of cell loss encountered after endocapsular cataract surgery. In conclusion, we did not experience clinically significant endothelial cell toxicity, presumably due to the dilution and pH buffering effects of aqueous, followed by prompt anterior chamber washout. As 5-FU is potentially toxic in the eye, our experience suggests that care should be taken when injecting around an encysted bleb.
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