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Abstract

Background/aims—Leber’s hereditary optic neuropathy (LHON) is a mitochondrial DNA mediated disease which causes severe visual deficits. Although expressivity of the disease is 100%, penetrance is variable, and environmental factors may influence risk of becoming symptomatic. The causative relation between cigarette smoking and disease penetrance was examined.

Methods—The incidence of smoking in 65 age matched family members of one LHON pedigree was retrospectively obtained. Smoking in groups which expressed disease was compared with those which did not. Male subgroups were analysed separately in addition to combined sex groups.

Results—The association between smoking and disease penetrance was significant in all subgroups (p values from p=0.0009 to p=0.0001, 95% confidence intervals). Disease penetrance was higher in males than females. The association was weaker in the male group than combined sex groups (p values from p=0.0146 to p=0.0008, 95% confidence intervals), probably because of elimination of female asymptomatic non-smokers in the comparison groups. The association was strengthened in older age groups and in groups which smoked more heavily.

Conclusions—Smoking is significantly associated with disease penetrance in this LHON pedigree. Degree of smoking and number of years smoked correlate with increased risk of developing symptoms.

(\textit{Br J Ophthalmol} 1999;83:577–581)

Leber’s hereditary optic neuropathy (LHON) is a mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) mediated disease which usually affects people in their mid 20s. Members of an affected pedigree possess one or more specific mitochondrial DNA point mutations which predispose them to a bilateral optic neuropathy and severe visual loss.\cite{1,2} Although expressivity of the disease is 100%, penetrance within Leber’s families is variable, and environmental factors may influence the risk of becoming symptomatic. Smoking, drinking, diet, exposure to toxins, and head trauma have been among the epigenetic factors suspected of increasing the penetrance of LHON.\cite{3,4,5,6}

No statistical analysis implicating cigarette smoking as an initiating factor in LHON penetrance has been published. However, physicians anecdotally note the increased incidence of smoking in patients who develop symptoms of LHON. Furthermore, cases may be mislabelled as tobacco-alcohol amblyopia when both patient and physician are unaware of the patient’s hereditary background.\cite{7}

To learn if there is any correlation between smoking and disease penetrance, we examined the smoking habits in one pedigree proved to carry the LHON trait. Our tested hypothesis was that smoking statistically increases the risk of disease penetrance in individuals who carry the LHON trait.

Subjects and methods

A six generation LHON pedigree of maternally related family members was identified based upon a 25 year old male proband (Fig 1). The proband presented with acute vision loss and a 13 year history of heavy smoking. He was found to have specific class I (mt11778), and class II (mt13708) mitochondrial mutations.\cite{6}

All major arms of the family were found to possess LHON mutations identical to those of the proband. Information about past medical and social history, smoking habits, and other possible disease related questions were retrospectively obtained by telephone interviews and questionnaires (see appendix). We were able to obtain information about 65 family members.

Symptomatic and non-symptomatic groups were age matched. The standard pack year method was used to measure the incidence and degree of smoking. The incidence of smoking was compared with disease penetrance at two designated critical ages. Cigarette consumption by age 25 was analysed because that is the usual age at which symptoms of LHON occur.\cite{1,7} Cigarette consumption by age 35 was analysed because that is the average age at which symptoms of LHON occurred in this pedigree. For each designated critical age, all symptomatic LHON individuals were used in the analysis, and only non-symptomatic individuals who were at least as old as the designated critical age were used. Individuals who were not at least as old as the designated critical age would not yet have had equal time and opportunity to develop symptoms. Counting these individuals in the analysis would bias the study towards acceptance of the null hypothesis—that is, there is no correlation between cigarette smoking and disease penetrance.

At each designated critical age, two different smoking classifications were used in the analysis to help establish a dose-response relation. In smoking classification 1, subjects were designated as smokers if they smoked as much or
more than the least number of cigarettes smoked by any individual, symptomatic or asymptomatic. In smoking classification 2, subjects were designated as smokers if they smoked as much or more than the least number of cigarettes smoked by any symptomatic LHON individual. If subjects were symptomatic before the designated critical age, pack years calculated included only those years smoked up to the time of disease penetrance. Subjects were automatically classified as non-smokers if they did not have a history of smoking on a daily basis for at least 1 year.

Since molecular confirmation of LHON had only been widely available within the last few years preceding the study, subjects were counted as symptomatic with LHON if (1) they carried a diagnosis of tobacco-alcohol amblyopia, bilateral idiopathic non-resolving optic neuritis or LHON, and, (2) they had a disease course which was consistent with LHON. The above criteria were confirmed in each case by careful examination of medical records and personal communication with the patient’s physician. Since penetrance of the disease is generally noted to be higher in males, they were analysed separately as well as with the total group. Contingency tables were constructed using symptomatic and non-symptomatic individuals as comparison groups. Fisher’s exact test was used to analyse the incidence of smoking between comparison groups. The results of the analyses are summarised in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Designated critical age</th>
<th>Pack year cut off</th>
<th>Symptomatic</th>
<th>Non-symptomatic</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Fisher’s exact p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M, F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5 pack years (smoking classification 1)</td>
<td>Smoke 10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No smoke 0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M, F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.5 pack years (smoking classification 2)</td>
<td>Smoke 10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No smoke 0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5 pack years (smoking classification 1)</td>
<td>Smoke 9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.0146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No smoke 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.5 pack years (smoking classification 2)</td>
<td>Smoke 9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No smoke 0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M, F</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.75 pack years (smoking classification 1)</td>
<td>Smoke 10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No smoke 0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M, F</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.5 pack years (smoking classification 2)</td>
<td>Smoke 10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No smoke 0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.75 pack years (smoking classification 1)</td>
<td>Smoke 9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No smoke 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.5 pack years (smoking classification 2)</td>
<td>Smoke 9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No smoke 0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

TOTAL SAMPLE GROUP

Smoking was analysed in 65 family members at the 25 year old designated critical age, of whom 10 (15%) were symptomatic. Smoking was analysed in 58 family members at the 35 year old designated critical age, of whom 10 (17%) were symptomatic. Minimal pack years smoked by any individual smoker was 0.5 pack years. Minimal pack years smoked by any symptomatic individual was 3.5 pack years. The percentage of asymptomatic family members who had a history of smoking ranged from 25% to 42% (calculated according to the two different smoking classifications at the two different age groups). The percentage of symptomatic family members who had a history of smoking was 100% in every subgroup (Fig 2). There was a significant correlation between incidence of smoking and penetrance of LHON, with two sided p values ranging from p=0.0009 to p=0.0001 (95% confidence intervals). Signifi-

Figure 1  A six generation pedigree of maternally related family members possessing the LHON trait.
Figure 2 Comparison of percentage of smokers in symptomatic (Sx) and non-symptomatic (Nsx) LHON groups at designated ages (DA) 25 and 35. In the male subgroups and total groups of each designated critical age, all asymptomatic individuals were smokers while only a percentage of the non-symptomatic individuals were smokers.

Figure 3 Comparison of average pack years smoked by symptomatic (Sx) and non-symptomatic (Nsx) LHON groups at designated ages (DA) 25 and 35. Symptomatic individuals smoked more pack years than non-symptomatic smoking individuals in the male subgroups as well as in the total groups at each designated critical age.

The non-symptomatic while only a percentage of individuals were smokers of each designated critical subgroups and total groups LHON groups at non-symptomatic (Nsx) symptomatic (Sx) and percentage of smokers in Figure 2. Smoking as an aetiological factor in a pedigree with LHON.

Females than there were males (3% of the total population sampled as well as in the male group, v non-smokers. Relative risk of smoking was noted to be infinity, since no non-smokers developed symptoms.

MALE SAMPLE GROUP

Smoking was analysed in 35 male family members at the 25 year old designated critical age, of which nine (26%) members were symptomatic. Smoking was analysed in 31 family members at the 35 year old designated critical age, of which nine (29%) were symptomatic. Minimal pack years smoked by any individual smoker was 0.5 pack years. Minimal pack years smoked by any asymptomatic individual was 3.5 pack years. The percentage of asymptomatic male family members who had a history of smoking ranged from 32% to 54% (calculated according to the two smoking classifications at the two different age groups). The percentage of symptomatic male family members who had a history of smoking was 100% in every subgroup (Fig 3). There was significant correlation between incidence of smoking and penetrance of LHON, with two sided p values ranging from p=0.0146 to p=0.0008 (95% confidence intervals). Significance of the correlation increased at older v younger designated critical ages. Significance of the correlation increased when using smoking classification 2 to designate smokers v non-smokers. In each corresponding subgroup the correlation was weaker in the male group v the total group, probably because of elimination of female asymptomatic non-smokers in the comparison groups. There were far fewer symptomatic females than there were males (3% v 26%). However, females smoked less overall, and those who did smoke tended to smoke less than their male counterparts. Relative risk of smoking was infinity, since no non-smokers developed symptoms of the disease.

Discussion

In this LHON pedigree, smoking is correlated significantly with disease penetrance in the total population sampled as well as in the male subgroup. In this small study using Fisher’s exact test, degree of significance can be used to comment on the association between the variables. By using two different smoking classifications, it was shown that proportionally more symptomatic LHON individuals smoked (Fig 2), and smoked more (Fig 3), than non-symptomatic individuals by the designated critical ages. In fact, no non-smokers developed symptoms of LHON. This implies that there may be a threshold of smoking below which symptoms of LHON do not occur in this pedigree. The correlation was strengthened at older v younger designated critical ages in seven of eight comparison groups, probably because younger asymptomatic smokers were not included in the analysis in the older age groups. This implies a high likelihood that significant numbers of asymptomatic young smokers will become symptomatic as they approach the older designated critical ages. There was significantly decreased disease penetrance in females v males, which paralleled a decreased incidence of smoking in females. Females who did smoke tended to start smoking later in life and smoked fewer pack years. However, endogenous factors may also contribute to the sex asymmetry which previously had been attributed to an X linked genetic factor. The correlation was weakened in the male group v the total group probably because of elimination of female asymptomatic non-smokers in the male comparison groups.

Sources of bias in the study may have been introduced at the level of subjective recall of information. Although subjects were carefully interviewed to ascertain accurate data, there may have been a tendency for subjects to exaggerate smoking history if there was a personal history of visual impairment. Prospective studies examining pedigrees over the span of generations would eliminate such bias. In addition, the pack year method used to quantify smoking habits did not take into account variations in smoking habits over the years. It may be that a crucial amount of cigarette smoking at a critical age is necessary to trigger the symptoms of LHON. The possibility that childhood exposure to second hand cigarette smoke may play a role in expression of disease could not be ruled out. Information about brand of cigarettes, nicotine, tar content,
inhalation habits, alcohol consumption, and others was insufficient for analysis. In recent years, significant inroads have been made towards identifying and characterising LHON on a genetic basis. However, little progress has been made in terms of its treatment or prevention. Identifying environmental factors which may significantly influence penetrance of the disease is of importance to those at risk. Results of this study indicate that smoking may be an important risk factor in some pedigrees. Further research into the detection, prevention, and treatment of this genetic disease is warranted.

Appendix

Questionnaire used to obtain information about past medical and social history, smoking habits, and other possible disease related questions.

Basic information:
1. Name:
2. Age:
3. Sex:
4. Who is your eye doctor?
5. Who is your regular doctor?

Occupational information:
1. What do you do for a living and what other jobs have you held in the past?
2. Has your job(s) involved exposure to any chemicals or gases you are aware of which you would not normally have encountered in everyday life? (For example, exhaust fumes, paint, lead or mercury, dry cleaning solvents, mining, insecticide):
3. Are you often around co-workers who smoke?

Environment/diet/habits:
1. Do you live or have you ever lived at an unusually high elevation above sea level?
2. Do you use or have you ever used a wood stove in your house?
3. Do you eat more than average of any of the following foods: bamboo shoots, cassava, peaches, apricots, almonds, apple juice/sauce/seeds? Which ones?
4. Are you a vegetarian or practise unusual eating habits or fad diets? Please describe
5. Do you smoke?
6. If yes, how many years? How many packs per day?
7. Are you often around family members who smoke?
8. Were there family members who smoked in the house when you were growing up?
9. Are there currently other family members who smoke in your house? How much (packs per day)?
10. Do you drink alcohol? How much? (How many drinks per day, week, year? One drink equals one beer, equals one martini, equals one glass of wine, equals one shot glass)
11. Do you exercise regularly? Have you always been physically active?

Past medical history:
1. Do you wear glasses?
2. Are you near sighted or far sighted?
3. Do you have a history of respiratory disease such as asthma, COPD, severe hay fever/dust allergies, tuberculosis, cancer? Please specify which one
4. Do you have any heart problems?
5. Have you ever been diagnosed with multiple sclerosis?
6. Have you ever had any of the following: seizures, numbness in arms or legs, muscle weakness, double vision, difficulty with walking and coordination, incontinence, incurable headaches, other neurological problems, diabetes, depression, vitamin deficiency such as B12, choline, or folate
7. Have you ever had urinary tract, bladder, or kidney infections? If so, how often, and how severe?
8. Do you have any blood disorders such as anaemia, thalassemia, or abnormal clotting?
9. Did you have a birth complication such as prematurity, caesarean section, or prolapsed cord?
10. Were either of your parents alcoholic?
11. What operations have you had, and did any of them require general anaesthesia?
12. Have you ever had a major accident such as a fall or car accident, and did you sustain head injuries with loss of consciousness?
13. List all major illnesses you have or have had
14. List all medications and drugs that you take, including inhalers or oxygen
15. List any medication you have taken in the past for an extended period of time, including chemotherapy, vitamins
16. Are you allergic to any drugs? If so, which ones?
17. Have you ever been tested for LHON? If yes, was the test positive or negative?
18. Have you ever been diagnosed with LHON?
19. Did anything seem to trigger the symptoms to begin with?
20. Does anything seem to make it worse or better?
21. Describe the course of your disease so far

Family history:
1. Are you married?
2. How many children do you have?
3. Do your children have any significant visual problems or wear glasses? Please describe
4. Please list as many family members as you can, their relation to you, and whether they have any significant visual problems that you are aware of.
   Name: Relation: Vision:
5. Is there anything else you feel is important to let us know that we have not asked you? (Please use more paper if necessary)
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