Detection of herpes simplex virus DNA in atypical epithelial keratitis using polymerase chain reaction
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Abstract

Aim—To study herpes simplex virus (HSV) DNA in tears from patients with atypical epithelial keratitis of unknown aetiology.

Methods—Tear samples were collected from 17 affected eyes of 17 consecutive patients suffering from epithelial keratitis in whom HSV keratitis was suspected but whose diagnosis was difficult on the basis of clinical manifestations alone. Using reduced sensitivity polymerase chain reaction (PCR), tear samples were tested for HSV DNA. Tears from the unaffected eyes of the 17 patients were also examined, along with 38 tear samples from 19 normal volunteers. Southern blot analysis was performed to confirm that amplified DNA bands were specific for HSV. Clinical correlation with photographs of corneal lesions was also investigated.

Results—HSV DNA was detected in tears from the affected eyes of eight of the 17 patients with suspected HSV keratitis. Tears from the affected eyes of the other patients were PCR negative, as were tears from the unaffected eyes of all 17 patients, and from the 38 normal eyes. There was no correlation between PCR results and clinical manifestation of keratitis.

Conclusions—Based on the sensitivity of the PCR system, eight of 17 suspected HSV keratitis patients were confirmed as suffering from HSV keratitis. HSV keratitis should therefore be considered as a possible diagnosis in atypical epithelial keratitis.

With proper informed consent, we performed PCR to amplify and detect HSV DNA in tears taken from the 17 affected eyes of the suspected HSV keratitis patients, along with tears from their unaffected contralateral eyes and tears from 38 eyes of 19 healthy volunteers. Sample collection and PCR testing were performed in accordance with our previous report.3,4 We had confirmed that the method was sufficiently sensitive to detect HSV DNA in eyes with clinically diagnosed herpetic keratitis or conjunctivitis, and reliable enough not to give false positive results in normal subjects.3,4

In brief, tear samples were collected by placing Schirmer’s strips on the inferior temporal epit
Figure 1  Fluorescein stained anterior segment photographs of 17 patients with atypical epithelial keratitis. Photograph numbers match patient numbers.
Table 1  Summarised data of study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Patient No</th>
<th>Age, sex</th>
<th>Number (%) of ophthalmologists suspecting HSV keratitis</th>
<th>PCR result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affected eyes of patients</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23, M</td>
<td>5/14 (36)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42, F</td>
<td>5/14 (36)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66, M</td>
<td>8/14 (57)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60, M</td>
<td>9/14 (64)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24, M</td>
<td>7/14 (50)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>74, M</td>
<td>12/14 (86)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>74, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>63, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>82, M</td>
<td>12/14 (86)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18, M</td>
<td>6/14 (43)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>62, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>82, F</td>
<td>5/14 (36)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>81, F</td>
<td>13/14 (93)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>73, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>69, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaffected eyes of patients</td>
<td>1-17</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal controls</td>
<td>1-19</td>
<td>Average age 69 years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(38 eyes)</td>
<td>10 males, 9 females</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2  Detection of herpes simplex virus DNA in tear samples. (Top) Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products. Lanes 1–17 represent tears from 17 eyes of atypical epithelial keratitis patients. P=positive control; N=negative control. Patient Nos 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 14, 17 showed 142 bp amplified bands. (Bottom) Southern blot hybridisation confirms results of agarose gel electrophoresis. Lanes 1–P are same as those at the top.

Figure 3  Distribution of percentages of 14 ophthalmologists suspecting herpetic keratitis in PCR positive and negative patients. Each lozenge matches one patient.
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cul de sac for 5 minutes. Each strip, when wet to at least 5 mm in length, was placed in a microtube containing 100 µl of distilled water, and vortexed. To this was added 100 µg/ml of proteinase K and 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulphate, 1 mM of ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid (EDTA), and 10 mM of TRIS-hydrochloride (pH 7.8), giving a final reaction volume of 500 µl. The solution was incubated at 55°C for 3 hours, then extracted by phenol chloroform. After glycogen was added as a carrier, the DNA was precipitated with ethanol and dissolved in 10 µl of distilled water.

The PCR reaction for each sample included 1 µl of DNA and 24 µl of PCR reaction buffer (10 mM TRIS-hydrochloride (pH 8.3), 50 mM potassium chloride, 1.5 mM magnesium chloride, 0.01% gelatin) in the presence of 80 µM each of all four triphosphates, 5 pmol each of oligonucleotide primer, and 1.25U Taq DNA polymerase. The pair of primers used bracketed a 142 base pair segment of the DNA polymerase gene of the HSV genome (5'-ATCCGAAGCAGCCCCGCTG, 5'-TCT CGTCCAGTGTCTTATCCT). After layering with 50 µl of mineral oil, the mixture was placed in a thermal cycler (Cetus, Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, CT, USA) and heated for 1 minute at 94°C for denaturation, cooled for 1 minute at 55°C for annealing, and incubated for 1 minute at 72°C for extension. This cycle was repeated 30 times.

After DNA amplification, 7 µl of each sample was electrophoresed on ethidium bromide stained 1.5% agarose gel at 100 mV. To confirm that the amplified bands were specific for HSV, we performed Southern blot hybridisation using a 32P labelled oligonucleotide probe specific for the amplified DNA (5'-GGTTCGTTGAGCCCGCGGCACT GTACC CGCGCT-3').

The t test was used to detect any difference in percentage of ophthalmologists suspecting HSV keratitis in the PCR positive (that is, HSV DNA detected) group, compared with the PCR negative (that is, HSV DNA not detected) group.

Results

HSV DNA was detected by PCR in eight of the affected eyes of the 17 patients, but in none of the unaffected eyes, nor in any of the 38 eyes of the 19 normal subjects (Table 1; Fig 2). These results were confirmed by Southern blot hybridisation. In the 17 patients, the percentage of ophthalmologists who suspected that the corneal lesions represented herpetic keratitis varied considerably (Table 1).

There was no statistically significant difference (p=0.220) between PCR positive and PCR negative patients as regards the percentage of ophthalmologists who diagnosed HSV keratitis (Fig 3).

Discussion

In recent years, we have demonstrated the use of a diagnostic PCR strategy4 5 and have reported its clinical use in the diagnosis of herpetic keratitis,4–7 corneal endothelitis,8 and uveitis.9 10 These previous studies employed a reduced sensitivity PCR assay which is approximately 100 times less sensitive than the method used by Boerman and associates in their work on HSV in small volumes of cerebrospinal fluid.24 In our 1994 report describing the use of this reduced sensitivity PCR system, we reported that we had never detected HSV DNA in tear samples taken from normal subjects, but were able to successfully detect HSV DNA in tears from 100% of patients examined who had been clinically diagnosed with HSV epithelial keratitis.4
Moreover, even though its sensitivity is reduced, this PCR system is more sensitive than a viral culture system.1

In the present study, we used the reduced sensitivity PCR system to investigate HSV DNA in tear samples from 17 consecutive patients with unusual epithelial defects or ulcers that led us to suspect HSV keratitis but which made definitive clinical diagnosis difficult. The results showed that none of the tears from the 17 contralateral unaffected eyes of the suspected HSV keratitis patients was positive for HSV; nor were the tears from 38 normal eyes. However, of the 17 affected eyes of 17 patients with suspected epithelial keratitis examined, HSV DNA was present in almost half (eight of 17). Although viral culture was not performed, on the basis of our PCR sensitivity the eight PCR positive eyes are strongly suspected of having HSV keratitis.

For the purposes of this study, we defined atypical epithelial keratitis as a condition characterised by the presence of unusually shaped defects or ulcers in the cornea, and in which characteristic HSV keratitis findings, such as dendritic or geographical ulcers with terminal bulbs and epithelial infiltrations, are not evident. When we showed clinical photographs to 14 ophthalmologists and asked for a diagnosis, we found little correlation (p=0.220) to 14 ophthalmologists and asked for a diagnosis.

The present study, using our reduced PCR system, indicates that some cases of atypical keratitis are in fact HSV keratitis; HSV epithelial keratitis should therefore be considered in cases of atypical epithelial keratitis.
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