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Abstract

Background aims—The major complication of extracapsular cataract extraction (ECCE) is posterior capsule opacification (PCO). Posterior continuous circular capsulorhexis (PCCC) seems to be very promising in preventing PCO. This study was aimed at determining if the PCCC area changes as a function of time and if pearl formation could influence it.

Methods—24 eyes of 23 patients underwent ECCE with PCCC. Retroillumination photographs were taken at 6 months and then yearly. To measure the PCCC area, the computerised program EPCO (evaluation of posterior capsule opacification) was used. The ratio of the PCCC area in relation to the IOL surface was calculated for the different time stages and the presence of pearl formation was noted. Firstly, proportional changes in diameter were compared in PCCC areas measured after 6 months and after 1 year (group I, n=13) and after 1 year and 2 years (group II, n=14). Secondly, PCCC areas were compared between two time stages in patients with (group III, n=19) and without pearl formation (group IV, n=8).

Results—No statistically significant difference was found in diameter change in either group. The PCCC area remains stable between 6 months to 1 year and 1 year to 2 years. No differences are found between eyes with or without pearl formation.

Conclusions—The PCCC area remains stable as a function of time and is not influenced by pearl formation.

Posterior capsule opacification (PCO) or aftercataract is a major complication of cataract surgery, caused by the proliferation and migration of lens epithelial cells (LECs) left in the capsular bag after extracapsular cataract extraction (ECCE). As soon as cell migration occurs in the visual axis, patients will experience a decrease in visual acuity. The incidence of PCO is estimated to be 20–50% within 5 years after surgery. Two major forms are clinically distinguished—pearl formation and fibrosis. The standard treatment for PCO is neodymium:YAG (Nd:YAG) laser capsulotomy.

Materials and methods

Between 1993 and 1997 PCCC was performed in uncomplicated cataract extraction of 24 eyes of 23 adult patients without any medical or ocular history. Patient ages ranged from 39 to 90 years (mean 65). All patients were operated for cataract by one surgeon and the same surgical technique was used to remove the crystalline lens. Phacoemulsification was performed through a 4.5–5.0 mm anterior capsulorhexis using a standard two handed divide and conquer technique. The epithelial cells lining the internal surface of the anterior capsule were aspirated and the capsular bag was partially filled with sodium hyaluronate (Healon). The posterior capsule was punctured at the centre with a 19 gauge needle. Healon was injected through the hole to fill Berger’s space until the central 4.0 mm of the anterior hyaloid was separated from the posterior capsule. A 3.0–4.0 mm PCCC was performed using a capsular forceps. All patients had a one piece poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) IOL implanted in the capsular bag after it was refilled with Healon. Healon was aspirated from the capsular bag and the anterior chamber. The cornea was closed with one 10-0 nylon suture. A subconjunctival injection of methylprednisolone was administered at the 12 o’clock position. The postoperative treatment consisted of the instillation of two different eyedrops, indomethacin and a combination of neomycin and prednisolone, four times daily lasting for 1 month.
At 6 months, 1 year, and 2 years after surgery, pupils were dilated with a mixture of tropicamide and phenylephrine and the eyes were evaluated at the slit lamp. Standardised photographs of the pseudophakic anterior segment were obtained with a Nikon FS-2 digital slit lamp using retroillumination and lateral illumination. These pictures were processed for transfer to Photo-CD master disc (Eastman Kodak Company) by digital scanning allowing further analysis of the pictures for the measurement of the PCCC area. For this purpose, we used the computerised program EPCO (evaluation of posterior capsule opacification), a morphological scoring system for PCO. This program uses a standardised photodocumentation program whereby a morphological score, graded from 1 to 4, is given to each area of opacification, thus making it possible to measure the density of PCO as a function of time. For the purpose of this study, the PCCC area was measured instead of the PCO area. To achieve this goal, we first defined the IOL surface by selecting three points along the IOL margin (Fig 1a, b). The calculated lens optic area was defined as 100%. The PCCC area was delineated by a continuous line following the contours of the PCCC area (Fig 1c) and was considered as a grade 1 density area (Fig 1d). The program calculated the area as the product of the number of pixels in the marked area and the grade of density (which is 1 in our study) and related this to the IOL area; thus the PCCC area is calculated in relation to the size of the IOL. This was repeated at different times enabling us to evaluate the changes in PCCC surface as a function of time.

In the meantime, the photographs taken at different time intervals were evaluated by two observers for the presence of posterior capsule fibrosis or Elschnig pearl formation at the periphery of the capsular bag. Since the EPCO system was designed for the evaluation of PCO in the optical area, it was not used for this purpose.

**EVALUATION OF CHANGES IN PCCC AREA IN TIME**

Pictures of good quality and suitable for interpretation were obtained from 13 eyes with a follow up of 6 months to 1 year (group I) and of 14 eyes with a follow up of 1–2 years (group II). Statistical analysis of the proportional changes in surface as a function of time was made by means of the paired $t$ test.

**INFLUENCE OF PEARL FORMATION ON PCCC AREA AS A FUNCTION OF TIME**

In order to evaluate if pearl formation within the capsular bag would influence the shape of the capsular bag and thus the surface of the PCCC area, two observers reviewed all photographic data for presence or absence of clinically evident LEC proliferation as a function of time. Statistical analysis was made by means of paired $t$ test and a Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test.

**Results**

**EVALUATION OF PCCC AREA AS A FUNCTION OF TIME**

The changes in PCCC area in group I eyes with a postoperative follow up of 6 months to 1 year was not statistically significant ($p=0.11$).
Neither was there a significant difference in PCCC area in the second group, with a postoperative follow up of 1–2 years (p=0.9) (Table 1).

### Table 1  PCCC area at the different time periods in group I–IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Time 1</th>
<th>Time 2</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.360231</td>
<td>0.377046</td>
<td>−0.016815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.356714</td>
<td>0.35671</td>
<td>0.000143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.360368</td>
<td>0.369684</td>
<td>−0.00932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.35375</td>
<td>0.3987</td>
<td>−0.00495</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group I = evaluation of PCCC area in function of time: follow up of 6 months to 1 year.
Group II = evaluation of PCCC area in function of time: follow up of 1 year to 2 years.
Group III = influence of pearl formation on PCCC area in function of time: evidences of increased pearl formation between two time intervals.
Group IV = influence of pearl formation on PCCC area in function of time: no evidences of increased pearl formation between two time intervals.

Neither was there a statistically significant difference in group IV, where two time intervals were compared in eyes with no clinical evidence of pearl formation (p=0.53) (Table 1).

### Discussion

PCCC has been introduced in cataract surgery for paediatric cataract extraction and for extracapsular surgery complicated by capsular disruption. It was meant to prevent the central opacification of the posterior capsule and thus to avoid secondary Nd:YAG laser posterior capsulotomy. This is a very commonly used technique for the treatment of after-cataract. Nevertheless, it is far from innocuous, since it causes increase in intraocular pressure, IOL damage, cystoid macular oedema, and retinal detachment. PCCC as a primary intervention has been proposed by Galand et al to reduce the use of Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy. However, it was found by our group that 40% of the eyes with primary PCCC will present reclosure. As far as we know, no previous studies have been performed on the postoperative evolution of the PCCC area as a function of time and of LEC proliferation. We assumed that the size and shape of the PCCC area could change because of the presence of an IOL in the capsular bag and because of the presence of LEC proliferation.
proliferation. Whether the latter is the consequence of the former remains an open question. We first measured the changes in surface of the PCCC area without taking LEC proliferation into account. It must be stressed that for this study only eyes of patients without clinical or medical history, who underwent a successful cataract surgery, were considered. No statistically significant changes in PCCC area could be found by extrapolating the results of remains speculative. A tentative explanation The explanation of this clinical observation additionally, the longer the follow up, area as a function of time. Clinical evidence of influence of LEC proliferation on the PCCC. However, this was not found in our study, making the usefulness of this part of this lens design questionable.

Our second concern was to study the influence of LEC proliferation on the PCCC area as a function of time. Clinical evidence of increased LEC proliferation as a function of time was found in 19 out of the 27 eyes studied (70%). Additionally, the longer the follow up, the higher the frequency of LEC proliferation. The explanation of this clinical observation remains speculative. A tentative explanation could be found by extrapolating the results of Rakic et al. who demonstrated that LECs are stimulated by removing the fibres during cataract surgery, suggesting that stress could be a stimulating factor for proliferation. For technical reasons, it is impossible to have a perfectly well matched IOL for each capsule of each patient. According to postmortem studies, human capsular bags vary in diameter from 9.5 to 11 mm. Therefore, the IOLs are manufactured large enough to secure stable capsular fixation even for the largest capsular bags. Although not proved until now, one could assume that pressure exerted by the IOL haptics on the LECs, present in the capsular bag, could enhance LEC proliferation. It can thus be emphasised that the haptics of the modern “in the bag” IOLs stimulate LEC proliferation, while the optical part does not exert enough pressure to prevent LEC proliferation on the posterior capsular surface.
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