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Abstract

Aim—To assess the efficacy of Nd:YAG laser iridotomy as initial treatment for primary angle closure in a community setting in rural Mongolia.

Methods—Subjects with occludable drainage angles in two glaucoma prevalence surveys in Mongolia (carried out in 1995 and 1997) were treated with YAG laser iridotomy at the time of diagnosis. These patients were re-examined in 1998. Patency of iridotomy, intraocular pressure (IOP), visual acuity, and gonioscopic findings were recorded. Iridotomy was classified unsuccessful in eyes where further surgical intervention was required or in which there was a loss of visual acuity to <3/60 from glaucomatous optic neuropathy.

Results—164 eyes of 98 subjects were examined. Patent peripheral iridotomies were found in 98.1% (157/160) of eyes that had not undergone surgery. Median angle width increased by two Shaffer grades following iridotomy. Iridotomy alone failed in 3% eyes with narrow drainage angles and either peripheral anterior synechiae or raised IOP, but normal optic discs and visual fields. However, in eyes with established glaucomatous optic neuropathy at diagnosis iridotomy failed in 47%. None of the eyes with occludable angles that were normal in all other respects, and underwent iridotomy, developed glaucomatous optic neuropathy or symptomatic angle closure within the follow up period.

Conclusions—Nd: YAG laser iridotomy is effective in widening the drainage angle and reducing elevated IOP in east Asian people with primary angle closure. This suggests that pupil block is a significant mechanism causing closure of the angle in this population. Once glaucomatous optic neuropathy associated with synechial angle closure has occurred, iridotomy alone is less effective at controlling IOP.

In Mongolia and other developing countries resources are limited and access to primary eye care is difficult. In many cases it is not practical to examine patients regularly or use topical medication. A “once only” treatment of PACG and primary angle closure (PAC) in the form of laser iridotomy may offer an ideal alternative. Prophylactic treatment of eyes at risk of angle closure may also be worthwhile. The portable Nd:YAG laser is robust, and easy to transport and set up in rural areas.

Laser iridotomy has been shown to be an effective primary therapy for early PACG although advanced cases require further treatment with topical medication or filtration surgery. Unaffected fellow eyes of patients who have had angle closure have a 50% risk of developing an episode of angle closure if not treated with prophylactic iridotomy. Iridotomy acts by eliminating relative pupil block which is one mechanism underlying the development of angle closure. Non-pupil block mechanisms may also contribute to angle closure in Asians. The relative importance of these factors in different Asian populations, and consequently the degree of benefit conferred by iridotomy, is not clear.

In this population based study we examined the efficacy of YAG laser iridotomy in the management of people with occludable drainage angles, and those with established glaucomatous optic neuropathy in rural Mongolia. Factors that may affect the outcome of iridotomy were evaluated.

Materials and methods

Ethical approval for this project was obtained from the Mongolian Ministry of Health. During glaucoma prevalence surveys of 1995 and 1997 in the Mongolian provinces of Hövsgöl and Ömnögobi, respectively, subjects with occludable angles were treated with YAG laser iridotomy in the affected eye using a portable laser (Carl Zeiss Visulas II, Carl Zeiss Ltd, Germany). In addition, people with occludable angles referred for assessment by local doctors were also treated with iridotomy. All these people were advised to seek regular follow up with the local ophthalmologist.

Selection and sampling of subjects

Subjects treated with laser iridotomy in 1995 and 1997 were traced and invited for re-examination in 1998. Details of the sampling in the prevalence surveys have been described elsewhere. In both surveys the samples were selected randomly from the over 40 year old population.
CLINICAL ASSESSMENT

Snellen visual acuities were measured on all subjects, and pinhole acuities were measured if less than 6/12. Visual fields were assessed using a static, semiautomated field analyser (Henson 3000, Tinsley Medical Instruments, Newbury, UK).

A slit lamp examination was carried out on every subject, looking for ischaemic sequelae of angle closure and signs of secondary glaucoma. The laser iridotomy site was examined for patency by retroillumination and direct visualisation of structures posterior to the hole. Intraocular pressure (IOP) was measured with a tonometer (Goldmann model, Haag-Streit, Bern, Switzerland). The median of three tonometer readings was recorded as the final IOP for each eye. Gonioscopy was performed with a two mirror Goldmann gonioscopy lens. The width of the irido-trabecular recess was recorded in the four quadrants. The angle was graded occludable or open (see diagnostic criteria). Dynamic (indentation) gonioscopy using either the two mirror Goldmann lens or Sussman four mirror lens was used to assess the presence or absence of peripheral anterior synechiae (PAS) in each quadrant.

All eyes with angles judged not occludable were dilated with tropicamide 1%. The optic disc was examined with the central lens of the Goldmann goniprism. The vertical cup:disc ratio was measured with the aid of a graticule (measuring eyepiece, Haag-Streit, Bern, Switzerland). The fundus was examined for any other pathology.

All slit lamp examinations at follow up were done by the same observer. Interobserver agreement was measured between the field investigator of this study (WN) and the two investigators on the previous surveys (PF, 1995 and JD, 1997) for measurements of IOP, gonioscopic angle grading, and optic disc assessment. To calculate interobserver agreement measurements were taken by WN and PF on 40 eyes of 20 people from a random sample of Chinese Singaporeans who were taking part in a glaucoma survey. WN and JD recorded these measurements on 32 eyes of 16 Mongolian people, some with normal eyes and the remainder who were a mix of normal cases and people, some with normal eyes and the remainder who were a mix of normal cases and individuals with occludable angles presenting outside the study. The gonioscopic angle width of the eyes examined for interobserver measurement varied over the range of Shaffer grades 0–4.

In four subjects follow up examinations were conducted as home visits. In these cases a hand held slit lamp was used for anterior segment examination, gonioscopy was not done and IOP was measured using a Tonopen. A direct ophthalmoscope was used for fundus examination.

DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA

An occludable angle was defined as one in which three quarters of the posterior pigmented trabecular meshwork was not visible on viewing with a Goldmann two mirror lens in the primary position of gaze without indentation.

Primary angle closure glaucoma (PACG) was diagnosed in eyes with an occludable angle and glaucomatous optic neuropathy. Evidence of glaucomatous optic neuropathy was defined as a cup:disc ratio (CDR) of ≥0.7 or ≥0.2 CDR asymmetry. In cases of early structural damage (0.7–0.8 CDR or 0.2 asymmetry) a reproducible visual field defect was required to confirm the diagnosis. In cases with advanced damage (≥0.9 CDR or ≥0.3 asymmetry) perimeter testing was not an absolute requirement in making the diagnosis. PACG was also diagnosed in eyes where it was not possible to visualise the optic disc, but which had ischaemic sequelae of primary angle closure (iris stromal atrophy with sectoral distortion of radially orientated musculature) and visual acuity < 3/60.

Primary angle closure (PAC) (previously termed “latent angle closure glaucoma”) was diagnosed in eyes with an occludable angle, normal optic discs and visual fields and any of the following: raised IOP (>19 mm Hg), PAS, pigment smearing in the superior angle, or sequelae of acute angle closure (iris whorling or glaucomflecken). A positive darkroom/prone provocation test (rise in IOP = 8 mm Hg) was another finding used to make this diagnosis in 1995. This diagnosis was also made in fellow eyes of eyes with PACG. An eye with an occludable angle but no other abnormality was classified as primary angle closure suspect (PACS); 19 mm Hg was used as the “upper limit of normal IOP” in both the original glaucoma surveys3,4 and in this study. Mean IOP in east Asian populations has been reported as lower than in white people.10 The value of 19 mm Hg was based on the mean IOP (+ 2 SD) from studies in Chinese and Eskimo populations11,12 which share a genetic heritage with the people of Mongolia.

Laser peripheral iridotomy was deemed to have failed on its own as the primary treatment, when glaucoma filtering surgery was required to control IOP either between the laser procedure and follow up examination or at the time of follow up. Eyes with a reduction in visual acuity to <3/60 with no other cause apart from glaucomatous optic disc damage were also classified as failures.

MANAGEMENT OF UNCONTROLLED GLAUCOMA

In the six eyes with non-patent or small iridotomies and occludable angles a further YAG laser treatment was given. Subjects with eyes that had evidence of glaucoma and persistently elevated IOP (>19 mm Hg) with a patent iridotomy were advised on management. Filtering surgery in the form of a trabeculectomy was offered and in cases where the subject refused surgery or it was not appropriate (visual loss due to glaucoma was advanced and there was unlikely to be any benefit) topical medication was prescribed.

DATA ANALYSIS

SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 6.1 was used for statistical analysis. McNemar’s test was used to assess numbers of eyes before and after iridotomy treatment, in two IOP measurement categories - >19 mm
**Table 1** Age and sex distributions of study populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male and female (% of total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hovsgol (1995) sample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15 (38.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11 (28.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10 (25.6)</td>
<td>29 (74.4)</td>
<td>39 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Omnogobi (1997) sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male and female (% of total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17 (28.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19 (32.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19 (32.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>18 (28.2)</td>
<td>41 (71.8)</td>
<td>59 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Initial diagnosis in eyes treated with laser iridotomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PACG</td>
<td>13 (20.3%)</td>
<td>10 (10%)</td>
<td>23 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAC</td>
<td>44 (66.8%)</td>
<td>23 (23%)</td>
<td>67 (40.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACS</td>
<td>7 (10.9%)</td>
<td>67 (67%)</td>
<td>74 (45.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>64 (100%)</td>
<td>100 (100%)</td>
<td>164 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PACS = primary angle closure suspect.
PAC = primary angle closure.
PACG = primary angle closure with glaucomatous optic neuropathy.

---

Results

Sixty four subjects were treated with YAG laser iridotomy in 1995 and 84 subjects were treated in 1997. Re-examination was possible on 39 (64 eyes) of the 64 subjects treated in 1995 (60.9%), and 59 (100 eyes) of 84 treated in 1997 (70.2%). In 1995, 16 patients were referred from outside the sampling frame of the survey whereas in 1997 only five cases were outside the sampling frame. The median follow up time was 35 months (range 33–37) for the 1995 group and 11 months (range 10–11) for the 1997 group. The age and sex distributions of the subjects examined are shown in Table 1 and the distribution of diagnosis by eye (at initial examination) is set out in Table 2.

During the follow up interval (between laser iridotomy and re-examination) four eyes had glaucoma surgery. These were excluded from analysis of slit lamp findings including patency of iridotomy and gonioscopy. They are classified as failures in the analysis of overall outcome of iridotomy and as having IOP >19 mm Hg in the analysis of effect of iridotomy on IOP.

Patent iridotomies were present in 157 of 160 (98.1%) eyes treated (excluding the four eyes mentioned above). In two eyes of the 1995 group and one of the 1997 group the iridotomies had closed over. In three eyes of the 1997 group the angles were still occludable or borderline occludable. It had been recorded in three of these six cases at the time of treatment that the iridotomy holes were small.

Thirteen of 164 (7.9%) eyes treated were classed as failures at follow up; 10 eyes from the 1995 group and three of the 1997 group. Of the 13 eyes, four had been operated on with either surgical iridectomy or trabeculectomy during the follow up period, five were referred for trabeculectomy at the time of follow up, and four had end stage glaucoma with “light perception” or worse visual acuity in the affected eye. All but one of the eyes classified as failures had evidence of glaucomatous optic neuropathy before iridotomy. The two remaining cases had PAC, one of which also had pseudoexfoliation. Both these PAC cases had elevated IOP at follow up despite open angles and no PAS. Apart from two cases which were classified as failures, none of the patients was using topical medication at follow up. The failure rate in PACG eyes was 11/23 (47.8%) and in PAC eyes was 2/67 (3%).

None of the 74 PACS eyes that had been treated with iridotomy had developed glaucoma or ischaemic sequelae of primary angle closure between treatment and follow up.

**Table 3** Pre and post laser intraocular pressures (IOP) greater than 19 mm Hg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IOP &gt;19 mm Hg</th>
<th>PACG (no of eyes)</th>
<th>PAC (no of eyes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before laser</td>
<td>9/13</td>
<td>8/44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After laser</td>
<td>7/13</td>
<td>2/44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNemar’s test for matched pairs</td>
<td>χ²=15, p&lt;0.01</td>
<td>χ²=15, p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PACS = primary angle closure with glaucomatous optic neuropathy.
PAC = primary angle closure.

---

INTRAOCULAR PRESSURE

Twenty seven eyes had an IOP recorded as >19 mm Hg before iridotomy. Of these, 15 (55.5%) had IOP ≤19 mm Hg at follow up on no medication (McNemar’s test for matched pairs χ²=15, p<0.01) (Table 3). In 8/27 eyes the IOP was >19 mm Hg at follow up and in 4/27 eyes, as mentioned above, glaucoma surgery was performed during the follow up period. Surgery was required in these four cases as laser iridotomy had failed to control the IOP. Therefore for the purposes of this analysis they are defined as having IOP >19 mm Hg at follow up. Extent of change in IOP after iridotomy is demonstrated in Figure 1 (excluding the four operated eyes).

GONIOSCOPY

In all, 148 of 151 (98%) iridotomy treated eyes had angles that were no longer classified as occludable (excluding the four operated eyes, eight eyes examined at home visits, and one eye with dense corneal scarring). Of the three eyes with angles that remained occludable one had a non-patent iridotomy, another had a small PAS and the third had extensive PAS.

---
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The median change in angle width between initial examination before iridotomy and follow up examination was an increase of two Shaffer grades. All four angle quadrants had a similar degree of change in angle width. The number of quadrants of the angle containing PAS remained the same in 96 (64%) eyes, decreased in 28 (18.8%), and increased in 25 (16.8%). There were 10 (6.7%) eyes in which a decrease of two quadrants of PAS was seen and four (2.7%) in which an increase of two quadrants of PAS was seen.

**Factors Influencing Outcome of Laser Iridotomy**

Among the 13/90 eyes with an initial diagnosis of PAC or PACG that were classified as failures seven had a combination of CDR \(>0.8\), three or four quadrants containing PAS, and IOP \(>19\) mm Hg at the pre-laser examination (Table 4). Results of univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis of predictive factors for a failure outcome after iridotomy are shown in Table 5. The nine fellow eyes classified as PAC but with occultable angles only are excluded from this analysis. The adjusted odds ratios are lower in the multiple regression model and in the case of PAS do not reach significance, demonstrating the relation between the three factors. The results of this analysis should be interpreted with caution in view of the large confidence intervals which are due to the small number of eyes in the failure outcome group.

**Discussion**

The outcome of the cases in this study was related to the stage of disease at diagnosis. Forty seven per cent of eyes with glaucomatous optic neuropathy were classified as treatment failures on grounds that IOP was poorly controlled or visual acuity had deteriorated due to progression of glaucoma. Three per cent of PAC eyes were found to have glaucomatous optic neuropathy at follow up, but there were other features such as pseudoexfoliation to explain a poor prognosis. None of the PACS eyes suffered symptomatic angle closure or glaucomatous optic neuropathy. It appears that once extensive synechial angle closure and glaucomatous optic neuropathy have developed, an iridotomy will be less likely to reduce IOP and protect visual function than in eyes treated at an earlier stage. However, it must be acknowledged that this finding could be explained by the phenomenon of “lead time bias”. This form of bias can result in an apparent increase in survival or, in the case of glaucoma, increased time to loss of visual function in a case detected and treated at an early stage of the disease process. The real time from incidence of disease to visual function loss or blindness in such a case may be no different from that of a case detected at a more advanced stage. Longer follow up or a randomised controlled trial would be required to overcome the possible influence of this bias.

Long term patency rates of YAG laser iridotomy were very good in these dark Asian irides in line with other studies in white and Afro-Caribbean eyes. Closure, or reduced effectiveness of the iridotomy, seemed to be related to a documented “small” initial hole. Our results show normalisation of IOP without medication in 55.5% (15/27) of eyes with elevated pressure before iridotomy. None of...
the eyes with an IOP ≤19 mm Hg before treatment had elevated IOP at follow up. Other studies have shown rates of IOP normalisation ranging from 9% without medication and 51% with medication to 90% in a mixture of cases with and without maintenance medication.1 19

CDR ≥0.8, PAS involving three to four angle quadrants, and IOP >19 mm Hg at diagnosis were all significantly associated with future failure of iridotomy. Table 4 shows that in eyes where two of these factors were present, approximately half were controlled with iridotomy, although there were small numbers of eyes with CDR ≥0.8 with one other factor; 15/16 eyes with only one of these factors had a successful outcome after iridotomy. Eyes with all three factors present appear to be at highest risk of failure after iridotomy. Quigley found no association between any examination findings before treatment and outcome of argon laser iridotomy,20 but several studies demonstrate a relation between the extent of angle closure by PAS and failure of iridotomy to control IOP and progression of glaucoma.5 9 21 Iridotomy or iridectomy is less effective in eyes with glaucomatous visual field loss and further surgical or medical treatment is often required to control IOP.21

In the absence of sophisticated resources, CDR is probably more useful than visual fields in predicting outcome of treatment. Visual field testing in a rural population is fraught with problems related to reliability and the need for multiple tests to achieve a baseline result. Our results suggest that in PAGC eyes with advanced optic disc damage and three or four quadrants of PAS, trabeculectomy would be a better option than iridotomy. However, we do not know the success rate of filtering surgery in this population. It is likely that early detection of eyes at risk of angle closure will increase the probability of success of an iridotomy.

Despite the subjective nature of gonioscopy we have demonstrated good agreement between observers. It may be more difficult to reliably assess PAS in the presence of an occludable angle at initial examination. PAS were reassessed a day after iridotomy when the angle had widened.

The development of new PAS in the presence of a patent iridotomy suggests that irido-trabecular apposition is still occurring. IOP rises are known to occur in some eyes with patent iridectomies subjected to the dark prone provocative tests.8 As pupil block is eliminated by an iridotomy, ciliolenticular block or peripheral iris crowding are presumed to play a part in these pressure rises. Despite this, it does appear that pupil block is the main mechanism in the development of angle closure in the Mongolian population. This is demonstrated by reduction in IOP, widening of the angle, and recorded regression of PAS in some of the cases of PAGC and PAC.

In summary, this is the first comprehensive study of the outcome of peripheral iridotomy in Mongolia, and may have relevance to neighbouring populations. It is documented that glaucoma and, particularly, PACG are a major cause of blindness in the east Asian region.7 24

This fact demands that we investigate strategies for the prevention of PAGC. Laser peripheral iridotomy appears to offer a simple, low risk method of achieving this goal. However the appropriate timing for intervention remains uncertain.

To answer this question, we have begun a randomised controlled trial of screening for primary angle closure in Mongolia using bilateral laser peripheral iridotomy as a prophylactic treatment.
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