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Abstract
Background—Corneal infections due to contact lens contamination are risks associated with contact lens wear. Care systems which reduce these risks are desirable.

Methods—This study evaluated a contact lens cleaning device using normal saline initially and then four contact lens solutions.

Results—Using saline, six out of 10 tests resulted in complete removal of challenge organisms or showed reductions to 10 cfu/ml or <10 cfu/ml. Tests upon organism using multipurpose solutions showed >6 log reductions in 2–3 minutes. With laboratory made biofilms, similar results were obtained.

Conclusions—This device improves lens cleaning and enhances lens care solutions. When used correctly it should lead to significant reductions in microbial keratitis associated with inadequate contact lens hygiene.

The incidence of microbial keratitis in contact lens wear appears to be increasing and indications are that up to 30% of corneal ulcers are associated with contact lens wear.1 Lens wearers are increasingly seen in accident and emergency departments of certain hospitals.2 A contributing factor to lens wear complication is microbial contamination, and reports suggest that up to 95% of lenses in use are inadequate on their own. Use of monthly disposables may encourage less diligence in cleaning lenses.3 Therefore, an ideal care system needs to eliminate the qualitative test of “rub and rinse” and substitute a more reliable method for cleaning contact lenses.

Devices for cleaning lenses that were marketed in the past, were generally found to be limited when tested with normal saline alone.4 Efron et al evaluated a standing wave device and an ultrasound unit,5 with standing wave providing little if any reduction to the microorganisms tested and ultrasound producing only minimal reduction over a 22 minute period of operation.

Materials and methods
The device is commercially available and is manufactured by a LensCare Ltd. At the heart of the system is a multidirectional, vibration generating device which is completely new to this field. It is a small battery operated device which produces incredible turbulence within the lens case, resulting in very thorough cleaning. The contact lenses used in the tests were sterile, non-ionic low water content lenses (Medalist Polymacon, Bausch and Lomb), and high water content ionic contact lenses (Acuvue daily disposable, Johnson and Johnson). The saline was Oxysept (Allergan) sterile buffered saline. The care products used were 10:10 (Ciba Vision), Optifree (Polyquad, Alcon), Complete (Polyhexamethylene, Allergan), and SOLOCare (Polyhexanide, Ciba Vision).

TEST ORGANISMS AND CULTURE MEDIA
The test organisms were: Pseudomonas aeruginosa, American Type Culture Collection (ATCC)–9027, Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC)–27735, Serratia marcescens (ATCC)–14756, Candida albicans, National Collection of Pathogenic Fungi (NCPF)–3324 and Fusarium solani, International Mycological Institute (IMI)–215765. The culture mediums used were: potato dextrose agar (PDA); tryptone soya agar (TSA), and Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA).

EVALUATION OF THE DEVICE
The device was tested according to the repetitive treatment method detailed in EN 1174–2, 1996, “Sterilisation of medical devices, Estimation of the population of micro-organisms on product. Part 2: Guidance”. The technique describes the repeated reduction of microorganisms by a given method until there is no significant increase in the number of...
Table 1  Log reduction of challenge organisms using normal saline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test organism and the log reductions after testing (cfu/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>S aureus</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOLOCare</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results after 1, 2, and 3 minutes with SOLOCare and Complete.

**EVALUATION WITH CONTACT LENS SOLUTIONS**

The device was tested as described for saline, but substituting multipurpose solutions for saline. The treatments were performed in various cleaning cycles as illustrated in Table 2.

The results summarised in Table 2 show that a 1 minute cleaning cycle using SOLOCare (Ciba Vision) achieved a 3–4 log reduction of S aureus from the lenses. The 2 minute cleaning cycle using Complete (Allergan) showed a 6 log reduction of P aeruginosa from lenses, and the 3 minute cleaning cycle using SOLOCare resulted in eradication of S marcescens.

**EVALUATION WITH LENS CARE SOLUTIONS ON LABORATORY BIOFILMS**

An experimental procedure developed at Moorfields Eye Hospital was used to produce simulated biofilms. The device was tested as described for saline but substituting 10:10 hydrogen peroxide (Ciba Vision) or Optifree (Alcon) for saline. The treatments were performed in two cleaning cycles and the results were as follows.

The device when used with Miraflow and 10:10 solution eradicated bacterial biofilm on the lenses. After 2 minutes of cleaning there was no recovery of the test organism. The device when used with Opticlean and Optifree showed a >10 log reduction of planktonic challenge organisms.

**Discussion**

This lens cleaning device when used with normal saline alone met the criteria given in the International Standards Organisation's directive ISO/CD14729.3, which gives performance tests using bacteria and fungi. Previous studies have shown that physical rubbing of a lens with cleaning solutions can reduce micro-organisms by 10^1–10^3 cfu/ml or more. However, reports show that 32% of users do not clean their lenses at all after each use. The hands of a lens wearer may transfer contamination to a contact lens during cleaning and/or general lens manipulation. The new cleaning device minimises the use of the hands in lens maintenance while increasing cleaning efficacy. It is safe to use with soft, PMMA, and gas permeable contact lenses and does not change their surface properties.

Studies of Acanthamoeba keratitis report that contamination of a contact lens case with bacteria can support amoebae and enable them to feed. The cleaning device not only cleans lenses but also cleans its own storage case simultaneously, thus reducing the chance of lens case contamination.

Preliminary tests using a hydrogen peroxide care product surpassed the criteria given in ISO/CD14729.3 and showed a >10 log reduction of the challenge organisms. Incubating the lenses for 72 hours after cleaning showed minimal growth (100 ml with Pseudomonas aeruginosa), but no colony forming units could be detected. Similar results were achieved when using Optifree and SOLOCare for 2–3 minutes. This device should help to significantly reduce contact lens wear complications that are associated with inadequate contact lens care procedures or contaminated contact lens cases.
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